1 2 3 4 5	Nicholas Ranallo, Attorney at Law SBN 275016 371 Dogwood Way Boulder Creek, CA 95006 Phone: (831) 703 -4011 Fax: (831) 533 – 5073 nick@ranallolawoffice.com Attorney for David Trinh	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE	
7	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
10	AF HOLDINGS, LLC Plaintiff, vs.  DAVID TRINH Defendant	Case No.: 3:12-cv-02393-CRB  RANALLO DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR COSTS AND ATTORNEY FEES
25		
26		
27		
28		

3:12-cv-02393-CRB Declaration in Support of David Trinh's Motion for Attorney Fees

## RANALLO DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES

- I am an attorney duly licensed to practice in the State of California and before the District Court for the Northern District of California. This declaration is based on personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein.
- 2. I am attorney of record for David Trinh in the instant matter.
- 3. In accordance with Local Rule 54-5(b)(1), I have met and conferred with opposing counsel via telephone prior to filing the instant motion, though the parties were unable to agree on acceptable terms.

## Attorney's Fees, Costs, and Basis of Calculation

- 4. I graduated *cum laude* from Tulane Law School in May, 2007.
- 5. I passed the July 2007 New York Bar Examination and was admitted to practice in July, 2008.
- 6. I previously worked for the law firm of Stern & Montana, LLP from approximately May, 2008 until September 2010 as a litigation associate.
- 7. While working at Stern & Montana, I took and passed the July, 2010 California Bar Exam, and was admitted to practice in this state in January, 2011.
- 8. I have represented a large number of defendants and putative "Doe" defendants accused of similar BitTorrent copyright infringement, including successfully defending a number of individuals who have been sued by AF Holdings during its litigation campaign.
- 9. I represented Mr. Trinh at a discounted rate in this action, as I have done with a number of innocent BitTorrent defendants in similar circumstances. I have offered these discounted rates based on my particular distaste for the "cost of defense" settlement angle embodied in these types of suits, and the otherwise hopeless situation that an innocent defendant faces when pursued in these actions.
- 10. For the purposes of calculating attorneys fees in this action, I have therefore determined a reasonable hourly rate for my services in this district, as further described below.

- 11. This court's November 9, 2012, Order Requiring an Undertaking determined that my "proposed hourly rate, of \$250/hour is reasonable," based on the same sources relied upon below. See ECF No. 24. As such, I have selected \$250/hour as the reasonable hourly rate for this matter.
- 12. To determine a reasonable hourly rate for this matter, I previously consulted two primary sources the "Laffey Matrix" issued by the United State Department of Justice and a recent survey of hourly billing rates published by the San Francisco Daily Journal.
- 13. A copy of the Laffey Matrix is annexed to Defendant's Motion as Exhibit K, and can be accessed from the United States Justice Department website at: http://www.justice.gov/usao/dc/divisions/Laffey\_Matrix\_2003-2013.pdf
- 14. According to the Laffey Matrix, an attorney with 4-7 years of experience should be entitled to a reasonable hourly rate of **\$290/hour** in 2012-2013.
- 15. According to the August 10, 2012 edition of the San Francisco Daily Journal, a reasonable hourly rate for attorneys in the San Francisco Bay Area is significantly higher than the rate suggested by the Laffey Matrix. According to this survey, the 2012 average billing rate in the San Francisco market was \$482/hour for an associate, up from \$449 in 2011. A copy of the chart summarizing billing rates is annexed hereto as Exhibit L.
- 16. In light of the foregoing, I have adopted a reasonable hourly rate for services in this matter of \$250/hour.
- 17. Up to and including the instant motion and supporting declarations, I have reasonably expended 33.7 hours in defense of this action. These hours are broken down more fully on Exhibit M to Defendant's motion, which is hereby incorporated by reference.
- 18. As such, the total value of reasonable attorney fees accrued is \$8425.00

## Other Relevant Facts

19. The deposition excerpts attached to defendant's motion as Exhibit E are true and correct copies of the relevant deposition transcript received from California Deposition Reporters, including a true and correct copy of the Certificate of Reporter.

1	20. I personally attended the March 11, 2013 Order to Show Cause hearing described in	
2	Defendant's Motion as co-counsel for the putative "Doe" defendant.	
3	21. At the March 11 <sup>th</sup> hearing, Alan Cooper was called to testify and specifically denied	
4	executing the assignment that forms the basis of the instant action	
5		
6	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11	/S/ Nicholas Ranallo	
12	Nicholas Ranallo, Attorney at Law (SBN 275016)	
13	371 Dogwood Way, Boulder Creek, CA 95006	
14	(831) 703-4011 Fax: (831) 533-5073	
15	nick@ranallolawoffice.com	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

3:12-cv-02393-CRB Declaration in Support of David Trinh's Motion for Attorney Fees

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of March, 2013, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system and served on all of those parties receiving notification through the CM/ECF system.

By:<u>/s/Nicholas Ranallo</u> Nicholas Ranallo