UNITED STATES DIS DISTRICT OF MASS	STRICT COURT FILED SACHUSETT CLERKS OF FICE
CAPITOL RECORDS, INC. et al.,  Plaintiffs,	DISTRICT COURT Civ. Achie Altroff MASSG (LEAD DOCKET NUMBER)
NOOR ALAUJAN,  Defendant.	) ) ) )
SONY BMG MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT, et al.  Plaintiffs,	) ) Civ. Act. No. 07-cv-11446-NG ) (ORIGINAL DOCKET NUMBER)
v.  JOEL TENENBAUM  Defendant.	) ) ) )
NOTICE OF CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION	

Dear Mr. Attorney General:

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.1(a), Joel Tenenbaum, Defendant and counterclaim-Plaintiff in the above-captioned case, submits this Notice of Constitutional Question on the Attorney General of the United States. Defendant is challenging the constitutionality of the Copyright Act of 1976 and the Digital Theft Deterrence and Copyright Damages Improvement Act of 1999 ("the Acts"). Please see the following statement of questions and identification of papers asserting constitutional questions:

## **Statement of questions:**

- The Acts authorize prosecutions which are civil in form but criminal in nature.
   Plaintiffs are attempting to enforce them against Defendant without the criminal safeguards to which he is entitled to under the constitutional requirements of criminal procedure.
- The Acts unconstitutionally delegate prosecutorial power to private parties.
- The Acts violate constitutional separation of powers by enlisting civil courts and civil process in the prosecution of a strategy of legal extortion being pursued for ulterior purpose.
- The Acts, as applied to Defendant, violate the substantive Due Process
  requirements of the Fifth and Eighth Amendments to the Constitution by
  mandating grossly excessive minimum statutory damages and allowing grossly
  excessive maximum statutory damages.

## **Identification of papers raising constitutional questions:**

Defendant asserted a constitutional challenge by counterclaim. Sony BMG Music Enternainment, et al., v. Tenenbaum, D. Mass., Case No. 03-cv-11661-NG, Doc. No. 625.

Pending before the Court at the time of this mailing is Defendant's Motion to Amend Counterclaim, appended to which is a proposed amendment. *Doc. No. 686.* The proposed amendment asserts constitutional challenges.

Pending before the Court at the time of this mailing is Defendant's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Dismiss Counterclaims. *Doc. No. 676.* This Opposition asserts constitutional challenges.

Dated: November 17, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

Charles R. Nesson

1575 Massachusetts Avenue

Cambridge, MA 02138

E-mail: nesson@law.harvard.edu

Telephone: (617) 495-4609

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Charles Nesson, hereby certify that on November 17, 2008, a true copy of the above document was served on the Attorney General of the United States and counsel for Plaintiffs at the addresses below.

Charles R. Nesson

1575 Massachusetts Avenue

Cambridge, MA 02138

E-mail: nesson@law.harvard.edu Telephone: (617) 495-4609

1 ,

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

Attorney General of the United States Department of Justice Room 4400 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20530-0001

Claire E. Newton Robinson & Cole LLP One Boston Place Suite 2500 Boston, MA 02108 617-557-5900

Fax: 617-557-5999

Email: cnewton@rc.com

Eve G. Burton Holme Roberts & Owen LLP Suite 4100 1700 Lincoln Street Denver, CO 80203-4541 303-866-0551 Email: eve.burton@hro.com

John R. Bauer Robinson & Cole LLP One Boston Place, 25th Floor Boston, MA 02108 617-557-5900

Fax: 617-557-5999 Email: jbauer@rc.com

Laurie Rust Holme Roberts & Owen LLP Suite 4100 1700 Lincoln Street Denver, CO 80203-4541

Nancy M. Cremins Robinson & Cole LLP One Boston Place Boston, MA 02108-4404 617-557-5971 Fax: 617-557-5999

Email: ncremins@rc.com

Timothy M. Reynolds Holme Roberts & Owen LLP 1801 13th Street Suite 300 Boulder, CO 80302 393-861-7000

Email: timothy.reynolds@hro.com