## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

Virgin Records America, Inc., ) File No. CV-06-1497 a California corporation; (MJD/RLE) Capitol Records, Inc., a Delaware corporation; Sony BMG Music Entertainment, a ) Duluth, Minnesota Delaware general partnership; ) October 2, 2007 ) 9:05 a.m. Arista Records, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; Interscope Records, a California general partnership; Warner Bros. Records, Inc., a Delaware corporation; and UMG Recordings, Inc., a Delaware corporation, Plaintiffs, VS. Jammie Thomas, Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL J. DAVIS and a Jury UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

(TRIAL - VOLUME I)

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer.

1	<u>APPEARANCES</u>	
2	For the Plaintiffs:	Holme, Roberts & Owen RICHARD L. GABRIEL, ESQ.
3		TIMOTHY M. REYNOLDS, ESQ. Suite 4100
4		1700 Lincoln Street Denver, Colorado 80203
5	For the Defendant:	Chestnut & Cambronne
6		BRIAN N. TODER, ESQ. Suite 3700
7		222 South Ninth Street Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
8	Court Reporter:	LORI A. SIMPSON, RMR-CRR
9		1005 U.S. Courthouse 300 South Fourth Street
10		Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	INDEX	
2	PRETRIAL	PAGE 5
3	JURY SELECTION	12
5	OPENING CHARGE BY THE COURT	65
6	OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. GABRIEL	73
7	OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. TODER	88
<ul><li>8</li><li>9</li><li>10</li></ul>	JENNIFER PARISER  Direct Examination by Mr. Gabriel  Cross Examination by Mr. Toder  Redirect Examination by Mr. Gabriel  Recross Examination by Mr. Toder	95 131 148 152
11 12	MARK WEAVER  Direct Examination by Mr. Gabriel  Cross Examination by Mr. Toder  Redirect Examination by Mr. Gabriel	153 196 202
<ul><li>13</li><li>14</li><li>15</li></ul>	DAVID EDGAR  Direct Examination by Mr. Gabriel  Cross Examination by Mr. Toder  Examination by the Court  Recross Examination by Mr. Toder	204 220 223 223
16 17 18	DOUG JACOBSON  Direct Examination by Mr. Gabriel	224
19	PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBITS	REC'D
20	1 2 3	107 111 114
21	5 6	117 131
22	7 8 9	168 171 174
24	10 11	186 190
25	12	164

1	INDEX (Cont.)	
2		
3	PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBITS 13	REC'D 194
4	14 15	102 211
5	16 17	215 218
6	19 21	103 227
7	22 24	242 272
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>		
<b>Z</b> 3		

### 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 IN OPEN COURT 3 (JURY NOT PRESENT) THE COURT: Let's call this matter. 4 5 THE CLERK: Virgin Records of America, Incorporated vs. Jammie Thomas, Civil Case No. 06-1497. 6 7 Counsel, will you please state your appearances for the record. 8 9 MR. GABRIEL: Good morning, Your Honor. 10 is Richard Gabriel. I'm the lead counsel for the 11 plaintiffs. And with me at counsel table is Mr. Matt 12 Oppenheim, who is a client representative for my clients, 13 and my colleague Tim Reynolds. 14 THE COURT: Good morning. 15 MR. TODER: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm Brian Toder. 16 I'm here with Jammie Thomas, the defendant. I'm 17 also here with my paralegal from our law firm, Chestnut & 18 Cambronne, Carol Dawson. 19 THE COURT: Good morning. We just have a few 20 matters to deal with this morning; is that correct, Counsel? 21 MR. GABRIEL: I believe that's correct, Your 22 Honor, 23 THE COURT: Dealing with the motion in limine --24 plaintiffs' motion in limine to preclude defendant from 25 making unfounded prejudicial statements to the jury, has

that been taken care of?

MR. GABRIEL: Your Honor, I think that it's been taken care of. If I understand -- and Mr. Toder will have to speak for himself.

We filed our motion regarding his statements in his statement of the case about our allegedly following a motto from *Soldier of Fortune* in our suing lower income people.

As I read his -- we did contact him before we filed it to see if he was really going to say that and we didn't manage to communicate or didn't hear back from him a definitive statement.

When he filed his response, he appears to concede the motion. He appears to say -- as I read it, he says he's not going to make any of those statements. Again, we believe it would, of course, be just inflammatory. So I think the issue is resolved, but I guess we need to ask Mr. Toder.

MR. TODER: Thank you, Judge. It's half resolved.

I believe our response was that we were not going to make
any statements that the record companies target people of
low income.

As far as the first one goes, we have no intention of saying in this courtroom that their motto is like *Soldier* of Fortune's "Kill them all; let God sort them out," to use

those words, unless they in their opening statement decide to use this as some sort of a soapbox to talk about the danger of piracy running through America.

In that case, if they are going to do that, the only way we can counter is the effects of this campaign of theirs, which is that they have very often sued the wrong people, they have sued -- in our district alone they have sued people who were not the people that had done what they said they did, they've sued dead people.

If they do not turn this into a campaign regarding piracy and just deal with the evidence regarding this specific case, we will certainly not bring anything up as suggested.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. GABRIEL: May I respond to that, Your Honor?

THE COURT: No, you don't have to. The Court doesn't have to rule at this time. The Court will deal with any objections during the course of any opening or closing statement or during the course of the trial. So that will just continue. I will rule on those issues when they arise.

That will deal also with defendant's motion in limine to prohibit the parties from making certain allusions or references during opening statements. If that's done and an objection is made, I will rule on those at that time.

Dealing with the last motion that was made late

```
1
       yesterday or sometime yesterday by defense counsel, docket
 2
       number 93, of course that is something not to be raised
 3
       during the course of this trial.
 4
                 MR. GABRIEL: Your Honor, if I may on that, just
 5
       to say that Mr. Toder represents he was made aware that this
       may happen. I wish he would have called me. I assure the
 6
 7
       Court he wasn't made aware from us. We would never raise
       that issue.
 8
 9
                 MR. TODER: Your Honor, I didn't -- I'm not saying
10
       that they would have raised it. I did that out of an
11
       abundance of caution.
                 THE COURT: I understand. Any other issues that
12
       we have to deal with?
1.3
14
                 MR. GABRIEL: One moment, Your Honor.
                 MR. TODER: Your Honor?
15
16
                 THE COURT: Yes.
                 MR. TODER: Will we be permitted to conduct any
17
       voir dire of our own of the jurors as follow-up?
18
19
                 THE COURT: Do you wish to?
20
                            We may, depending on what we hear
                 MR. TODER:
21
       during the course of --
22
                 THE COURT: What I'll do is give you 15 minutes
23
       per side, 15 minutes per side after my voir dire.
24
                 MR. TODER: And, Your Honor, may we move to have
25
       the witnesses sequestered?
```

1 THE COURT: You may. 2 MR. GABRIEL: Your Honor, there is one preliminary 3 matter. THE COURT: Excuse me, Counsel. Do you object to 4 5 the witnesses being sequestered? MR. GABRIEL: No. Actually, under the rule I 6 7 don't think I even can object. If it's requested, I think 8 it's mandatory, as I read it. 9 THE COURT: All right. All witnesses will be 10 sequestered and make sure that rule is enforced. 11 MR. GABRIEL: Yes, Your Honor. And will that be 12 when the evidence starts or from what point will the Court 13 apply that? There is one witness in the courtroom and I'm 14 not sure if she should be hearing --15 THE COURT: She can stay for this, but once the 16 opening statements start, she has to leave. MR. GABRIEL: Very well. Thank you, Your Honor. 17 18 Just one preliminary issue. As the Court, I 19 think, knows from our case -- our statement of the case, we 20 were originally proceeding on 27 recordings. In our 21 statement of the case we indicated that there would be 26. 22 For reasons that really aren't important, we dropped one. 23 And then we have decided to drop another one and I 24 will represent to the Court that for purposes of this case 25 we will not proceed on the Virgin Records recording Janet

1 Jackson's "Back," which is the first one in Plaintiffs' 2 Exhibit 1, also Exhibit A to the Complaint. 3 MR. TODER: Exhibit? MR. GABRIEL: It's Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1. 4 5 very first recording on there, we will not be proceeding on that. 6 7 Similarly, Your Honor, in Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2, which is a list of the other recordings at issue, we will 8 9 not be proceeding on the UMG recording by Godsmack called 10 "Moon Baby." 11 So it will be 24 recordings that we're suing on, 12 Your Honor. 25, excuse me. THE COURT: So it's 24? 1.3 14 MR. GABRIEL: 25 total. THE COURT: 25 total? 15 16 MR. GABRIEL: Yes, Your Honor. So it's all the ones on Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1 and Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2 with 17 18 the exception of the two that I mentioned. 19 Thank you, Your Honor. We have nothing else. 20 THE COURT: Anything further for defense? 21 MR. TODER: Your Honor, I was not aware that this 22 was going to happen. Does that mean that Virgin Records of 23 America -- they are in this suit all by themselves and 24 therefore are they asking to dismiss the single suit brought 25 by Virgin Records of America against my client?

1	MR. GABRIEL: The answer to that is yes, Your
2	Honor. That was the only recording Virgin Records had in
3	this case, so we would ask that the claims by Virgin Records
4	be dismissed.
5	MR. TODER: And we would ask that they be
6	dismissed without prejudice, Your Honor I mean with
7	prejudice.
8	MR. GABRIEL: No objection.
9	THE COURT: The claims by Virgin Records will be
10	dismissed with prejudice against the defendant. So Virgin
11	Records of America is out of this case; is that correct?
12	MR. GABRIEL: Yes, that's correct, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: So it's Capitol, Arista, Interscope,
14	Warner Bros., and UMG?
15	MR. GABRIEL: Sony BMG is still in the case, Your
16	Honor. I think you said the rest of them.
17	THE COURT: Why don't you go through the
18	plaintiffs for me.
19	MR. GABRIEL: The plaintiffs, Your Honor, are
20	Capitol Records, Sony BMG Music, Arista Records, LLC,
21	Interscope Records, Warner Bros. Records, and UMG
22	Recordings.
23	THE COURT: All right. Anything else before we
24	bring the jury in?
25	MR. GABRIEL: Nothing from the plaintiffs, Your

1	Honor.
2	MR. TODER: Nothing from defense. Thank you.
3	THE COURT: We'll take a short recess.
4	(Recess taken at 9:15 a.m.)
5	
6	* * * *
7	(Jury selection, pages 13-62,
8	transcribed in a separate volume)
9	* * * *
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

Capitol Records, Inc., a Delaware corporation; Sony BMG Music Entertainment, a Delaware general partnership; Arista Records, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; Interscope Records, a California general partnership; Warner Bros. Records, Inc., a Delaware corporation; and UMG Recordings, Inc., a Delaware corporation,  Plaintiffs,		File No. CV-06-1497 (MJD/RLE)  Duluth, Minnesota October 2, 2007 9:20 a.m.
-	) )	
vs.	)	
Jammie Thomas,	)	
Defendant.	)	

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL J. DAVIS and a Jury UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

(TRIAL - JURY SELECTION)

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer.

1		
1	<u>APPEARANCES</u>	
2	For the Plaintiffs:	Holme, Roberts & Owen RICHARD L. GABRIEL, ESQ.
3		TIMOTHY M. REYNOLDS, ESQ. Suite 4100
4		1700 Lincoln Street Denver, Colorado 80203
5	For the Defendant:	Chestnut & Cambronne
6		BRIAN N. TODER, ESQ. Suite 3700
7		222 South Ninth Street Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
8	Court Reporter:	LORI A. SIMPSON, RMR-CRR
9	- <u>-</u>	1005 U.S. Courthouse 300 South Fourth Street
10		Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

#### PROCEEDINGS

#### IN OPEN COURT

2.1

2.2

### (JURY PRESENT)

THE COURT: Prospective Members of the Jury, you have been called up to my courtroom to possibly sit on a civil matter.

The official title of this matter is Capitol
Records, Incorporated, a Delaware corporation; Sony BMG
Music Entertainment, a Delaware general partnership; Arista
Records, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company;
Interscope Records, a California general partnership; Warner
Bros. Records, Incorporated, a Delaware corporation; and UMG
Recordings, Incorporated, a Delaware corporation,
Plaintiffs, vs. Jammie Thomas.

This is a civil case that involves copyright infringement and I believe the case will take approximately three to four days to try. Hopefully we can get the case done this week. If not, we'll pick up early next week to finish the case.

The corporations are represented by Richard

Gabriel and Timothy Reynolds. They're from the law firm of

Holme, Roberts & Owen in Denver, Colorado. Also at their

counsel table is Matthew Oppenheim, who is a representative

of the Oppenheim Group, one of the music groups that are

involved in this case. Is that correct?

1 MR. OPPENHEIM: Yes, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Representing Jammie Thomas is Brian Toder from the law firm of Chestnut & Cambronne in 3 Minneapolis. Also at counsel table is the defendant, Jammie 4 Thomas, and Carol Dawson, who is Mr. Toder's paralegal. 5 There are a number of witnesses that may be called 6 in this matter. Counsel, if you would get your witness --7 prospective witness lists out and go to the podium and read 8 9 those names to the jury. 10 MR. GABRIEL: Good morning. The witnesses that we 11 expect to call are Jennifer Pariser, Mark Weaver, David 12 Edgar, Douglas Jacobson, JoAn Cho, Kevin Havemeier, Eric Stanley, Jammie Thomas, Ryyan Maki, Betsy Brown, Christopher 13 14 Bavitz, and Cary Sherman. THE COURT: Mr. Toder. 15 16 MR. TODER: We just will be calling Jammie Thomas. THE COURT: Prospective Members of the Jury, I 17 18 have introduced the parties involved in this matter, the 19 lawyers that are involved in this matter, and the companies 20 that are involved in this matter. 2.1 Anyone work for any of the corporations, have 2.2 worked or any family members worked for any of the record 23 companies that I've listed? 24 Does anyone know Mr. Gabriel and Mr. Reynolds, who 25 is representing those record companies?

1	Mr. Toder is representing Ms. Thomas. Does anyone
2	know Mr. Toder?
3	The witness list was read off to you. Anyone
4	recognize any of the names on that list?
5	How many have served on a jury in previous years,
6	whether or not it's in state court or in federal court?
7	Keep your hands up for me.
8	Let's go back and we'll talk to Mr. Rossow. If
9	you would keep your voice up so everyone
10	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Your Honor, I do know
11	Jammie Thomas.
12	THE COURT: We'll get to that later.
13	Mr. Rossow, you've served on a jury in previous
14	years?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROSSOW: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Where was that?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROSSOW: State court, Kanabec
18	County, Minnesota.
19	THE COURT: Did you sit on a criminal or a civil
20	case?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROSSOW: I believe it was a
22	criminal case.
23	THE COURT: How long ago was that?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROSSOW: Three years.
25	THE COURT: Can you tell me what the nature of the

1	case was about?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROSSOW: Yeah. It was a
3	probation violation, I believe. A woman who was not to be
4	in possession of firearms due to her criminal history was
5	charged with in fact being in possession of a firearm and
6	then she was contesting that.
7	THE COURT: And were you did the jury come back
8	with a verdict in that matter?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROSSOW: No, we didn't,
10	actually. That trial ended in a mistrial.
11	THE COURT: Anything about the nature of that
12	trial that would keep you from being a juror on this matter,
13	something that happened, something the lawyers did,
14	something the judge did, or just the nature of the process
15	that would keep you from being a fair and impartial juror in
16	this matter?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROSSOW: No, I don't think so.
18	THE COURT: All right. And let's go down and talk
19	to is it Barbara Veit?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR OBERG: Patricia Oberg.
21	THE COURT: Patricia Oberg. And when did you
22	serve as a juror and where?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR OBERG: Down in Rochester,
24	Minnesota. I don't know. About 20, 25 years ago maybe.
25	THE COURT: Do you remember if it was a civil or a

1	criminal matter that you sat on?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR OBERG: It was a robbery case.
3	THE COURT: Robbery. And did you come back with a
4	verdict in that matter?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR OBERG: Yes, sir, we did.
6	THE COURT: And what was your verdict in that
7	matter?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR OBERG: It was guilty.
9	THE COURT: Anything about the nature of that
10	trial that would keep you from being a fair and impartial
11	juror in this matter?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR OBERG: I don't think so, no.
13	THE COURT: And I saw a hand down here. Bruce
14	Cornelius?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CORNELIUS: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Where did you serve as a juror?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CORNELIUS: In Virginia,
18	Minnesota, at the county courthouse.
19	THE COURT: And when was that?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CORNELIUS: About 15 years ago,
21	sir.
22	THE COURT: Do you know if it was a civil or
23	criminal matter that you sat on?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CORNELIUS: It was civil, sir.
25	THE COURT: A car accident or

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR CORNELIUS: It was actually a murder trial, sir. 2 3 THE COURT: And did you come back with a verdict in that matter? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR CORNELIUS: Yes, we did, sir, 6 innocent. 7 THE COURT: And were you the jury foreperson in that matter? 8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR CORNELIUS: No, sir. 10 THE COURT: Anything about the nature of that case 11 or the length of the trial or the way the lawyers handled 12 it, the way the judge handled it that would keep you from being a fair and impartial juror in this matter? 13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR CORNELIUS: Absolutely not, sir. 15 THE COURT: Anyone else? 16 Is anyone presently involved in any type of litigation? Litigation means that you are involved in a 17 18 lawsuit, whether or not at the beginning stages or the trial 19 stages or the deposition stages or the discovery stages. Is 20 anyone -- and if you're working for a company, a business, 2.1 or a corporation that's involved in a litigation and your 2.2 division is helping with that litigation, that you're 23 providing documents to lawyers or involved in having your 24 deposition taken, please raise your hand. Is anyone 25 presently involved in any type of litigation? That includes

1 going to conciliation court, going to divorce court, 2 anything. 3 All right. We'll start with Jill. Why don't you tell me what type of litigation that you're involved in with 4 5 now. PROSPECTIVE JUROR FORSEEN: Currently going 6 through a divorce. 7 8 THE COURT: I'm sorry? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR FORSEEN: Currently going 10 through a divorce. 11 THE COURT: All right. Divorces can be very 12 contentious and lawyers get involved in that and a judge gets involved in that. Anything about the nature of that 13 14 process that would keep you from being a fair and impartial juror in this matter? 15 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR FORSEEN: No. THE COURT: All right. Anyone else in the back 17 18 row? Let's go down and talk to Michele. Is that correct? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NIVALA: Yes. I'm going through 20 a divorce as well, but the judge just has to sign the 2.1 papers, that's it. We did it all on our own. We had no 2.2 lawyers or nothing. 23 THE COURT: So nothing about the process, about 24 the court process, that would keep you from being a fair and 25 impartial juror in this matter?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NIVALA: (Shaking head.)
2	THE COURT: Anyone else?
3	Change the question just a little bit. Any close
4	friends or relatives that are involved in litigation at the
5	present time, any close friends or relatives that are
6	involved in litigation?
7	Jill.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR FORSEEN: My husband has been
9	charged with a gross misdemeanor.
10	THE COURT: You're going to have to speak up
11	because I'm hard of hearing.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR FORSEEN: My husband has been
13	charged with a gross misdemeanor.
14	THE COURT: All right. And anything about the
15	nature of that that would keep you from being a fair and
16	impartial juror in this matter?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR FORSEEN: No.
18	THE COURT: Anyone else?
19	Change the question just a little bit again. In
20	previous years have you or close friends or relatives been
21	involved in any type of litigation?
22	Let's go to James Anderson in the back row. Yes,
23	sir.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ANDERSON: It was a car
25	accident.

1	THE COURT: Car accident. And was it you that was
2	involved in that accident or
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ANDERSON: I was a passenger in
4	the vehicle.
5	THE COURT: All right. Were you injured?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ANDERSON: Yes.
7	THE COURT: And did it go to trial or was it
8	settled before trial?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ANDERSON: It was settled before
10	trial.
11	THE COURT: Anything about the nature of that
12	process, the legal process, the way the lawyers treated you,
13	the way the judge treated you that would keep you from being
14	an impartial juror in this matter?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ANDERSON: No.
16	THE COURT: Any other hands in the back row?
17	Okay. Let's talk to Kathleen Burt.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURT: It was divorce and that
19	was we settled it ourselves too.
20	THE COURT: So nothing about that process that
21	would cause you any problems here?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURT: No.
23	THE COURT: Anyone else? Debra.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR KLEIN: Two divorces in the
25	past.

1 THE COURT: Anything about those matters that 2 would cause you any problems? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR KLEIN: (Shaking head.) THE COURT: I failed to mention to you if there's 4 5 anything that you feel that you would like to talk to me in private about, certainly we can have a sidebar and have a 6 7 private conversation. Anyone else in the second row? All right. 8 9 Roseanne. Is that correct? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MORISCH: Yes. I've been to 11 court several times with my husband for DUI, driving without a license. 12 13 THE COURT: Anything about the way the lawyers 14 handled that matter, the way the judge handled that matter 15 that would keep you from being a fair and impartial juror in 16 this matter? PROSPECTIVE JUROR MORISCH: No. 17 18 THE COURT: And we're talking to Patricia again? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR OBERG: Yes, sir. We sold a 20 house and had an issue of a furnace not working properly and 2.1 the people we sold the house to took us to court, but we 2.2 settled before the case actually went to trial. 23 THE COURT: Anything about that legal problem that 24 would cause you any problems serving as a juror in this 25 matter?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR OBERG: No, sir.
2	THE COURT: Anyone else?
3	All right. How many of you like music?
4	How many of you have CD's or some type of tape
5	recordings of music?
6	How many of you have ever downloaded music from
7	the Internet? We'll get to that in a few minutes.
8	Those of you that how many of you are
9	musicians, whether or not professional or for fun? Keep
10	your hands up so we can see.
11	And how many of you have recorded your own songs
12	or someone else's songs for a CD?
13	Let's go back and talk to Benjamin. Do you have a
14	copyright on your music?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROSSOW: No, I don't.
16	THE COURT: So you were recording someone else's
17	songs?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROSSOW: No, they're my songs,
19	but I record them at home and don't release them under a
20	label or anything. It's almost more of a hobby, but and
21	I don't sell them either. I just give them to people for
22	fun.
23	THE COURT: And do you have an Internet site
24	that
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROSSOW: No, I don't.

1	THE COURT: Let me ask you well, back up. How
2	many of you have computers in your home and have an Internet
3	connection?
4	Now, I've asked about whether or not any of you
5	are musicians. Let me change that just a little bit. Any
6	close friends or relatives that are musicians?
7	Do you know, Patricia, whether or not, your close
8	friend or relative has ever recorded songs?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR OBERG: Both my children are
10	musicians and, yes, they have.
11	THE COURT: And do they have copyrights on their
12	songs, if you know?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR OBERG: I believe my daughter
14	does.
15	THE COURT: And does she sell her songs over the
16	Internet, or do you know?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR OBERG: I don't believe she
18	does, no.
19	THE COURT: Barbara?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR VEIT: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Family and friends that are musicians?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR VEIT: My brother is a musician.
23	He's a percussionist. He's usually in a group situation.
24	THE COURT: And as far as you know, he's recorded?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR VEIT: I think informally just

1	for himself. Nothing has ever been published or sold.
2	THE COURT: All right. Now, I saw some hands
3	dealing with using the computer to download music. Those of
4	you who raised your hands, would you raise your hands again
5	for me.
6	Let's start in the back. Benjamin, do you
7	download what programs do you use to download music?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROSSOW: Primarily iTunes.
9	THE COURT: All right. And how long have you been
10	doing that?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROSSOW: Oh, probably two or
12	three years.
13	THE COURT: Anything about that process, other
14	than you can't use it on other MP3 players?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROSSOW: No. I've pretty much
16	accepted the Mac world.
17	THE COURT: I saw some other hands. Lisa, what
18	programs do you use?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEYESEN: iTunes.
20	THE COURT: Then we have Daniel. And what do you
21	use?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR GOLDEN: iTunes as well.
23	THE COURT: Roseanne.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MORISCH: It was about five
25	years ago. I'm not really sure what it was. My significant

1	other is the computer savvy one. I just did it one time, a
2	couple of songs. I couldn't tell you what program it was.
3	THE COURT: Then we have Michele.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NIVALA: I did it once too,
5	downloaded on my MP3 player. I have no idea how it got
6	there, not a clue. I did it once. That's it.
7	THE COURT: The magic of the Internet.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NIVALA: I was just pushing
9	buttons.
10	THE COURT: Anyone else?
11	Well, there's other uses for the Internet.
12	Certainly you know this case is about music, but has anyone
13	downloaded I guess you can download movies now and books
14	and other copyrighted material. Has anyone else done that
15	sort of thing?
16	All right. Daniel, movies or books?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR GOLDEN: Television shows and
18	like podcasts. Television shows mostly.
19	THE COURT: Let me ask this question. How many
20	would consider yourself Internet savvy, that you know
21	something about the Internet?
22	Since most of you have computers, do you have
23	family members in your household that download music?
24	Now, has anyone heard of the Napster program?
25	Please raise your hands.

1 Have any of you heard of the lawsuits that have 2 been brought by record companies against people who download or share music files over the Internet? 3 4 All right. Let me talk to you. Benjamin, you had What have you heard about those lawsuits? 5 your hand up. PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROSSOW: Well, lots, I guess. 6 Being a big music fan, I have a subscription to Rolling 7 Stone magazine. They write about it in their magazine 8 9 frequently, about these kinds of lawsuits. 10 I also happen to be a high school English teacher 11 and my students will often do research papers and especially 12 more so, I guess, maybe four or five years ago it was a 13 pretty popular topic because kids were into downloading and 14 there were lots of issues, so it made for a good controversial, debatable topic for students to write about. 15 16 So, you know, reading a lot of student papers and reviewing the research they've done, I feel like I've learned quite a 17 18 bit about it. 19 THE COURT: This is a lawsuit by record companies 20 against Ms. Thomas regarding downloading of music. Anything 2.1 about the research or your knowledge of the topic that would 2.2 keep you from being a fair and impartial juror to either 23 side?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROSSOW: I don't think so. I'm trying to think of the slant that Rolling Stone magazine

24

25

1 often takes. I don't know that I would necessarily call 2 them neutral on the issue. 3 I would say that probably most of my students aren't necessarily on the side of, when it comes to a 4 5 lawsuit, on the side of the labels or the corporations. They're probably more on the side of the downloaders 6 themselves because that's who they relate to. 7 But having read a lot about it, I know that it's a very debatable topic and there's lots of issues surrounding 9 10 So I don't know that I've come to my own conclusions on it. 11 it. I feel like I sometimes go back and forth on the issue. 12 THE COURT: Under the copyright laws of the United 13 States, the music companies have brought a lawsuit here in 14 this courtroom. And the question is: Will you be able to follow the law as I give it to you --15 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROSSOW: Yes. THE COURT: -- and come back with a fair and 17 18 impartial verdict in this matter? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROSSOW: Yes, I would be able to 20 follow the law as you give it to me. 2.1 THE COURT: You may have many opinions on the 2.2 The question is whether or not you will be able to subject. 23 listen to the testimony and follow the law as I give it to 24 Will you be able to do that? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROSSOW:

1 THE COURT: All right. Who else? Lisa. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEYESEN: I basically had only heard headline topics that lawsuits have been brought to --3 I don't know that they've been brought to a jury yet, but I 4 just have heard about the lawsuits, more the headline. 5 THE COURT: It's gathered headlines. 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEYESEN: Headlines. THE COURT: The question is -- we read headlines, 8 9 we hear sound bites on TV. And the question is whether or 10 not you have formed a strong opinion, one way or the other, 11 about whether or not it's legal or illegal and whether or 12 not you could be fair to the music corporations or the 13 defendant. The question is: Can you be fair and impartial 14 to both sides? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEYESEN: Yes, Your Honor, I can 16 be. THE COURT: Who else has heard about the -- in the 17 18 back row first, let's go to Daniel. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR GOLDEN: I remember hearing 20 about it a few years ago on the news and hearing about some

PROSPECTIVE JUROR GOLDEN: I remember hearing about it a few years ago on the news and hearing about some bands suing Napster and I remember something where they changed their service and made it a pay site. And I tried to actually set up an account with it and I couldn't figure out how to do that, just to use it as, like, another means to get music and -- once it became a pay site at least --

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

and I couldn't figure out how to set up the account. So I wasn't really sure either way. That's pretty much the extent of what I heard about it.

2.1

2.2

THE COURT: Any firm opinions, one way or the other, that would keep you from being a fair and impartial juror in this matter?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR GOLDEN: I don't think so, no.

THE COURT: Let's go to the second row. I saw some hands. Roseanne.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MORISCH: Just a few years ago just the headlines and all the lawsuits that were being brought up.

And I guess as far as -- I haven't really formed any strong opinion on either side. I guess I see both sides and it depends upon how -- I guess to me the songs that I did download were of such poor quality and other people kind of mess with them along the way and they add different sounds and slow them down and stuff so by the time you get it, it's really not the same as if you go out and buy a CD. And I guess I prefer when you buy a CD, sometimes you get songs that you never heard before.

So to me I guess I didn't think it was really -- I didn't think it was right that all these musicians' songs were being downloaded for free, but I thought, you know, they shouldn't be too worried about it because, you know,

1	it's not the quality of the CD's, in my opinion.
2	THE COURT: Do you feel that you could be fair and
3	impartial to both sides on this issue?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MORISCH: I think I could.
5	THE COURT: Patricia.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR OBERG: For me it's more I
7	think of course I've heard of it, but I don't have any
8	strong feelings about it one way or the other, but I do hear
9	a lot of conversation when my kids are home since they're
10	both musicians, you know, kind of both sides of the story.
11	THE COURT: The question here is we all hear
12	certain things. It's whether or not you have such strong
13	opinions that you could not be fair and impartial to either
14	side here.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR OBERG: I don't think so.
16	THE COURT: Will you be able to listen to the
17	testimony that comes from the witness stand, follow the law
18	as I give it, and come back with a fair and just verdict?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR OBERG: I believe so, yes.
20	THE COURT: And we'll talk to Barbara.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR VEIT: Just several years ago I
22	remember hearing quite a bit on National Public Radio, on
23	the news. That's about my only source. And maybe some
24	headlines in newspapers. I don't have a strong opinion. I
25	never looked into it, really, to see what the

1 THE COURT: So you can be fair and impartial to both sides? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR VEIT: I can. 3 THE COURT: Anyone else? 4 5 All right. Let me ask this question. How many own an MP3 player or iPod or iPhone? 6 7 How many in your family own iPods or iPhones? Have any of you ever made a CD compilation from 8 9 the CD's that you own or burn your own CD? 10 Okay. Let's go to the sheets that we have. Ι 11 would ask you to please stand and tell us who you are and go 12 through those list of questions. We'll start with Jill. PROSPECTIVE JUROR FORSEEN: Jill Maureen Forseen. 13 14 I live in St. Louis County. I do work outside the home. 15 work for the City of Mountain Iron. No other jobs besides 16 that one. Level of school, I did graduate high school and went to one year of vo-tech for accounting. I'm currently 17 18 married. I have three children, three daughters, 30, 23, 19 and 15. I've never been on a jury before and I don't have 20 any problems seeing or hearing. I do have a mousy voice, 2.1 though. 2.2 THE COURT: Thank you. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROSSOW: I'm Benjamin Curtis 24 I do work outside the home. I'm a high school 25 English teacher at Mora High School, Kanabec County, here in

1 Minnesota. I've been an English teacher for -- this is my 2 ninth year overall. I have a master's degree in education. I am married. I have three kids, 6, 3, and 1, all girls. 3 And I have served on a jury before, which I mentioned 4 before, so we're good there, and I can see and hear fine. 5 THE COURT: Does your wife work outside the home? 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROSSOW: Oh, I'm sorry. I skipped that one. She does. My wife is a social worker. 8 9 THE COURT: Thank you. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEYESEN: I'm Lisa Heyesen. I 11 live in St. Louis County. I do work outside my home. I 12 work for the Area Partnership for Economic Expansion as a 13 director of business development. I have had other jobs in 14 the past five years. I've worked in the medical equipment 15 industry, in sales. I have graduated from college. I have 16 a B.S. in marketing. I am married. My husband works for Compudyne, a managed service IT company. We have no 17 18 children. I have not served on a jury and I have no 19 problems that would prevent me from seeing or hearing. 20 THE COURT: Thank you. 2.1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR ANDERSON: My name is Jim 2.2 Anderson. I live in St. Louis County. I do work outside of 23 the home. I own a funeral home. I attended college. I'm 24 married. My wife is a nurse. We have no children and I

have never served on a jury before.

25

1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURT: Kathleen Burt and I am a 3 bartender. I live in St. Louis County. I've been a bartender for 11 years. I reached two years of college. 4 am divorced. I have two children, 31 and 29, and they've 5 given me five grandchildren. I have never served on jury 6 duty before and I have no problems seeing or hearing. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR KLEIN: I'm Debra Klein. I live 8 9 in Benton County. I work for [inaudible]. 10 COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Could you speak up? 11 You work for who? PROSPECTIVE JUROR KLEIN: I'm Debra Klein. I live 12 13 in Benton County. I've worked as a phlebotomist for Quest 14 Diagnostics for the last little over six years. I have a 15 bachelor's of elective studies degree. I'm not married. I 16 have two children, 30 and 27. Never been on jury duty before. 17 18 The only medical problem is I have advanced 19 degeneration of my spine and I'm currently seeking 20 professional help, but I haven't seen the specialist quite 2.1 yet. I have an appointment next month. 2.2 THE COURT: Are you in pain right now? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR KLEIN: They have me on some medication. 24 25 THE COURT: Is it hard for you to sit for long

1 periods of time? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR KLEIN: For long periods of 3 time, yes. THE COURT: We sit for -- we do take breaks. 4 take a morning break for approximately 15 minutes, lunch 5 break for approximately an hour, and then an afternoon 6 break, but we go from 9:00 to 5:00, 5:30. Is that going to 7 cause you problems? We're going to go at least three or 8 9 four days to try this case, I suspect. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR KLEIN: I do believe it probably 11 would be causing a problem, yes. 12 THE COURT: I'm going to excuse you from this 13 Please leave your placard in your chair. 14 Let's call another juror. 15 THE CLERK: Michael Hegg of Duluth. 16 THE COURT: Michael, we'll come back to you in a few minutes after we've gone through the panel. We'll 17 18 continue with Daniel. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR GOLDEN: Daniel Golden, resident 20 of St. Louis County. I work for a health insurance company. 2.1 And the question is work outside the home. Technically I 2.2 work in the home, I have a home office. I have been doing 23 that for a year. I've worked for that company for the last 24 four years. I worked for a restoration company prior to

25

that.

THE COURT: What do you do for the company that you're working for now?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR GOLDEN: Pencil pusher. I work in the billing department, just random billing paperwork. I am married, been married for nine years. I have a 13-year-old stepson and a 6-year-old daughter. My wife also works for that same health insurance company, although she works actually at the building. Never served on a jury before and no problems seeing or hearing. Oh, and very little college, one semester.

THE COURT: Thank you.

2.1

2.2

PROSPECTIVE JUROR REINKE: My name is Lisa Reinke.

I live in Koochiching County. I work outside of the home
for United Healthcare. I've worked there for three months.

Before then I worked at Ben Franklin Crafts as a retail
merchandiser for 12 years. I have a high school diploma.

I've been married for 23 years. I have three children, 18,

20, and 22. I've never served on a jury before and I have
no problems.

THE COURT: Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MORISCH: My name is Roseanne

Morisch. I live in Crow Wing County. I work outside the

home. For the last three years I've been working in the

patient accounting department at St. Joseph's Medical Center

in Brainerd and before that, for about a year, I worked at

1	St. Cloud Times down in St. Cloud. I have an associate in
2	applied science degree in accounting. I am married, my 20th
3	anniversary is coming up here, but me and my husband haven't
4	lived together for the last five years. We just haven't
5	filed for a divorce yet. He does construction work and
6	cement work. Right now he's unemployed. We have a
7	19-year-old daughter. I have never served on a jury before
8	and I have no problems hearing, but I have been told I need
9	to get glasses. When I went to renew my driver's license a
10	couple months ago, I was told I would have to get glasses
11	before I can by the next time I take my test.
12	THE COURT: Thank you. We'll go on to Kathy.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MESSENGER: I'm Kathy Messenger,
14	St. Louis County. I am an LPN. I've worked at SMDC for the
15	past 30 years. High school, technical school. I am not
16	married. I have two boys, 26 and 27. I have never been on
17	a jury and I don't have any other problems.
18	THE COURT: And what is SMDC?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MESSENGER: SMDC, St. Mary's
20	Duluth Clinic. It's a hospital.
21	THE COURT: And what do you do there?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MESSENGER: I'm an LPN.
23	THE COURT: Thank you.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BITZAN: I'm Doug Bitzan. I
25	live in Mille Lacs County. I'm a foreman for East Central

Energy. I've been there for 20 years. I went to vocational school. I am married. I have a stepson who is 24, a stepdaughter who is 20; and we have two of our own, 12 and 10, a son and a daughter. My wife would be a homemaker, stays at home. I've never served on a jury before and I have no problems hearing or seeing.

THE COURT: Thank you.

2.1

2.2

PROSPECTIVE JUROR OBERG: Patricia Oberg of

St. Louis County. I do work outside the home. I work -- I

supervise a small group of people at a golf and ski resort.

That's been for about the past eight years. I have a couple

of years of college, but no degree. I am married. My

husband is a retired systems analyst with IBM Corporation.

I have two children. My son is 39. My daughter is 37. I

have served on a jury, which I explained to you earlier, and

I don't have any problems seeing or hearing.

THE COURT: Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NIVALA: I'm Michele Nivala. I live in St. Louis County. I work outside the home. I work at a jewelry store in the mall in Virginia and I've been there about three months, and prior to that I worked at Kmart in Virginia. I never went on to school after graduating. I'm currently going through a divorce. My husband works at one of the mines up on the Iron Range. We have no kids. I've never been on a jury and I don't have

any other problems.

2.1

2.2

THE COURT: Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR VEIT: My name is Barbara Veit.

I live in Itasca County. I am a retired elementary school teacher. I have had no other jobs in the last five years.

I have a bachelor's degree in education plus about 75 credits. I've been married for 41 years. My husband is a retired county forester. We have no children. I have never served on a jury before and I have no problems seeing or hearing.

THE COURT: Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR CORNELIUS: I'm Bruce Cornelius. I live in St. Louis County. I'm a retired postal employee. I was a postmaster for 30 years and now the last five years I'm just having fun doing odd jobs, worked for the airline industry and I did computer networking for about five years as well. Two years of college. I'm married with four children and four grandkids. My oldest child is 32 and all the way down to 26. Don't ask me all their ages. That's more Mom's job than Dad's job. I served on a jury before, as we discussed, up in northern Minnesota. And I have no problems seeing or hearing.

THE COURT: Thank you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR CURTIS: My name is Dale Curtis.

I reside in Aitkin County. I work down in the Cities,

1 Brooklyn Park, a suburb, for Kraft Nabisco. I got a college 2 degree back in 1968, bachelor of science. I'm single. children. Never served on a jury before, but I was a 3 witness in a murder trial -- two murder trials 20 some years 4 ago. And I don't have any problems seeing or hearing. 5 THE COURT: 6 Thank you. 7 All right. Michael. PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEGG: My name is Michael Hegg 8 9 and I live in St. Louis County. I do work outside the home. 10 I'm a steel worker. I've been on the job for ten years 11 there. I have a two-year degree in firefighting. I am 12 I've been married for 17 years. My wife is a married. 13 finance manager at St. Mary's Duluth Clinic. I have two 14 children, 11 and 17. I've never been on a jury before and I 15 don't have any problems seeing or hearing. 16 THE COURT: I've got some other questions for you. 17 Please be seated. Have you -- are you involved in any 18 litigation now or close friends or relatives involved in any 19 litigation right now? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEGG: No. 2.1 THE COURT: In previous years have you or any 2.2 close friends or relatives been involved in any type of 23 litigation, either as a plaintiff or a defendant? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEGG: 25 THE COURT: Have you served on a jury in past

1	years?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEGG: No.
3	THE COURT: Have you do you have Internet
4	access?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEGG: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Do you have a computer at home?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEGG: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Have you ever downloaded music, books,
9	magazines, or
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEGG: I'm computer illiterate.
11	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEGG: I'm computer illiterate
13	in the family.
14	THE COURT: All right. Any of your family members
15	that have downloaded anything, music or otherwise?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEGG: No.
17	THE COURT: Do you own an MP3 player, one of those
18	little digital players, or an iPod or
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEGG: No.
20	THE COURT: Are you a musician or do you have any
21	family members or close friends that are musicians?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEGG: No.
23	THE COURT: Have you ever heard of the company
24	called Napster?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEGG: Yes.

1 THE COURT: Have you ever heard about any of the 2 lawsuits regarding people that have downloaded music over 3 the Internet illegally? PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEGG: Just what I have read in 4 5 the paper. 6 THE COURT: And what you have read in the paper, 7 would that keep you from being a fair and impartial juror in this matter, either for the plaintiff or the defendant? 8 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEGG: No. 10 THE COURT: All right. That's all the questions I 11 have. Now I'm going to turn the questioning over to the 12 attorneys for a very short period of time, so they can 13 follow up with any questions that I've asked you, and then we'll go into the selection of the jury. 14 15 Mr. Gabriel. 16 MR. GABRIEL: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 morning, Ladies and Gentlemen. Again, my name is Rich 18 Gabriel. I'm an attorney representing the record companies 19 in this case and I have just some follow-ups on questions 20 that Judge Davis asked all of you. 2.1 Can I see hands if any of you have heard any news 2.2 stories about this case, heard or read any stories about 23 this case. Nobody? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEYESEN: I heard something on 25 the news this morning, just that the case was being tried.

1	That's the only thing I've heard.
2	MR. GABRIEL: It's Ms. Heyesen?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEYESEN: Heyesen.
4	MR. GABRIEL: Did anything that you heard affect
5	your view, one way or the other, how this case should come
6	out?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEYESEN: No.
8	MR. GABRIEL: A number of you, I think, indicated
9	that you've heard stories through over the years
10	regarding cases like this one brought by the record
11	companies. Have any of you heard anything that you
12	believe let me ask Mr is it Rossow?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROSSOW: Rossow.
14	MR. GABRIEL: I know you've heard many stories
15	about it. Anything that you've heard affected your do
16	you have a bias? I know you've talked about your kids
17	writing and you've read Rolling Stone. Does it lean you one
18	way or the other, do you think?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROSSOW: You know, for me
20	personally it's so complex and I can see it from both sides.
21	I feel like I've gotten really good at seeing a lot of
22	issues from both sides with the job that I have. You know,
23	I think each circumstance is different.
24	I've personally never downloaded music illegally.
25	I've paid for everything I've ever downloaded. I don't know

that I believe that everyone who has -- my brother is a perfect example. My brother was big into Napster when it was downloading music for free and he did a lot of that and he did it for himself. Should he have paid for those? Should he have been punished because he didn't pay for those? I don't know. It's complex.

MR. GABRIEL: All right.

2.1

2.2

PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROSSOW: Maybe you can ask me to -- clarify what you want to know.

MR. GABRIEL: Sir, I respect your personal opinions and I'm not trying -- I'm trying to ask gingerly and not pry.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROSSOW: Right.

MR. GABRIEL: Has anything you have heard leaned you one way or the other? I recognize you say it's complex. Do you feel that you're in the middle or is it kind of day to day?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROSSOW: I feel like I'm in the middle. I can certainly understand an artist saying I feel like I should be compensated for this music that I've created to sell to people, but I also can feel empathy for individuals who maybe did some downloading on their own computer and are suddenly being faced with huge fines or litigation. I can feel some empathy for them as well. So I guess I feel like I'm in the middle.

1 MR. GABRIEL: Thank you very much for your candor 2 on that. 3 Anybody else have -- from what you've heard about lawsuits by the record companies against people who 4 5 downloaded, anyone else have any feelings or come away from those stories with an opinion about them? Nobody? 6 Does everybody feel that they're -- does anybody 7 feel they're not in the middle, you know, that they feel 8 9 they really couldn't decide fairly based on the evidence? 10 Everybody is comfortable they can do that? 11 I saw a couple of folks -- when Judge Davis asked 12 who had computers, there were many, many people that raised their hand and I couldn't write fast enough, but I think I 13 14 saw two people who didn't. Were there some folks who don't 15 have computers? 16 And is it Mr. Curtis? Okay. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR CURTIS: (Nodding.) 18 MR. GABRIEL: Have you ever worked on a computer before? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR CURTIS: At work I do one thing 20 2.1 on a computer. I know how to do that, but as far as -- I don't even know how to send an e-mail. I wouldn't know how 2.2 23 to get on. I don't know how to do anything. 24 MR. GABRIEL: And I guess my wife tends to tell 25 people she's computer phobic and afraid of technology.

1	Would you describe yourself as somebody if you hear about
2	computers, do you kind of daze out or are you computer
3	phobic?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CURTIS: I don't like them
5	because I don't understand them. I hate using new cameras
6	because I don't know how to operate them. Any of that kind
7	of technology thing, I avoid it. It's not me, I'm not
8	interested in it, and I don't understand it. I don't
9	attempt to understand it.
10	MR. GABRIEL: Thank you so much for that.
11	Ms. Burt, do you you also do not have a
12	computer?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURT: No.
14	MR. GABRIEL: Have you ever worked on a computer
15	before?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURT: Yes, I have. I have
17	taken classes and gone to the library and used the computer.
18	MR. GABRIEL: Do you use the Internet when you go
19	elsewhere or have you
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURT: No.
21	MR. GABRIEL: Can you just generally tell us what
22	you've used a computer for when you've used it.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURT: When I went to college it
24	was doing accounting and then the classes were discontinued.
25	The library was just looking up information. I wasn't on

1	the Internet.
2	MR. GABRIEL: Oh, I see. Okay. Would you do
3	you describe yourself as a computer phobic person or are you
4	okay with
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BURT: No. I'm just afraid if I
б	got one, I would spend all my time on it and wouldn't do my
7	other work and the other things I need to get done.
8	MR. GABRIEL: I understand. Thank you.
9	Do any of you have following up on one of Judge
10	Davis's questions, do any of you have a view as to a
11	strong view as to whether materials on the Internet should
12	be free or not? Let me ask you it this way. Does anyone
13	feel that things on the Internet are free or should be free;
14	does anybody think that?
15	Yes, sir.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BITZAN: I guess I don't have
17	the Internet, but from what I've heard, it's kind of free
18	will on there, you can do what you want, but I've never been
19	on it.
20	MR. GABRIEL: You anticipated what I was going to
21	ask you. It's Mr. Bitzan?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BITZAN: Yeah.
23	MR. GABRIEL: You've never used the Internet
24	itself?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BITZAN: No.

1 MR. GABRIEL: Anybody else have a view -- yes, 2 Is it Ms. Veit? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR VEIT: Yes. I think some things should be free on the Internet, but other things should not 4 5 I have never -- I have to say I've never purchased programming or things that you pay for on the Internet. I 6 7 use it for e-mailing mostly and looking up information on the Internet. And I think one should be able to look up 8 9 information and do e-mails for free, but I certainly think 10 other things should be paid for. 11 MR. GABRIEL: What kind of things do you think 12 should be paid for? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR VEIT: I think if you're going 14 to subscribe to a service of some kind, that should be paid 15 for, a magazine or newspaper or music, I guess, although 16 I've never looked into that at all. MR. GABRIEL: And you can be open-minded in this 17 18 case? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR VEIT: Yes. 20 MR. GABRIEL: Anybody else have a view on whether 2.1 things should be free on the Internet? 2.2 The case, as Judge Davis will tell you, involves 23 copyrights and music copyrights. Do any of you have 24 opinions as to whether there are certain kinds of music that 25 shouldn't be copyrighted or shouldn't be allowed to be

copyrighted for whatever reason?

2.1

2.2

Can everybody accept as a premise that copyrighted -- music should be copyrighted no matter what the content of it is?

Does anyone think the content makes a difference on whether you should be able to -- the content, you know, what the song is, think that makes a difference on whether you should be allowed to have a copyright? Nobody thinks that?

Judge Davis asked -- just a couple of more questions. I appreciate all of your patience. Judge Davis asked whether -- those of you who may have used a service to download, a bunch of you have talked about iTunes. Have any of you used any service where you were trading files over the Internet with somebody else?

Yes, ma'am. Is it Ms. Morisch?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MORISCH: Yes.

MR. GABRIEL: Okay. And I think you said you may have downloaded some time ago just trying to --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MORISCH: I don't even remember how I did it, but it seemed like, like I said, the quality was bad on some of the stuff. And the way my significant other explained to me is that people can manipulate them and stuff and they are sharing their files or whatever. I didn't really like it, so I'm not into getting music, but

1 yet my significant other, he has an MP3 player and he's got 2 all kinds of songs. When I listen to them, you know, some are okay, some of them aren't. It's just you really get 3 what you pay for. If it was free, then it's not very good 4 5 quality. 6 MR. GABRIEL: Was this some time ago when you downloaded this? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MORISCH: Yeah, yeah. I would 8 9 say probably 2003. 10 MR. GABRIEL: And I think you told us you didn't 11 remember which service you used. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MORISCH: No, I'm not sure what 13 it was. It had all kinds of radio stations that you could 14 go to, including other countries and stuff. I have no idea 15 what it was called. And I'm not sure what my significant 16 other uses at this time. I don't even know how he does it 17 or anything. 18 MR. GABRIEL: And from your -- did your experience 19 affect, one way or the other, whether you think it was right 20 to trade files over the Internet? 2.1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MORISCH: Well, I think that was 2.2 before I heard about people getting in trouble for 23 downloading music. You know, I felt -- I was, like, oh, I 24 didn't even realize I was doing something wrong because, you

know, if it's there and you have access to it and nobody is

25

charging you for it, you don't really think anything of it and I was just using it just for myself.

2.1

2.2

You know, the music, if I wanted to listen to it,
I had to sit at the computer and use the computer speakers,
which I don't do very often, you know. I usually listen to
a CD on the stereo or a tape in the car or the radio.

So, yeah, I guess I was surprised when people were getting in trouble for it, for downloading it free. I guess I felt like if you are just using it for yourself, it doesn't seem like it's wrong, you know. I could see if you are copying it and selling it to other people, you know, that obviously seems wrong.

MR. GABRIEL: And is that your view -- do you have that view today?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MORISCH: Yeah, I still kind of feel that way. You know, I don't feel like I did something -- at the time you don't really think of it and then when it all came out, then you kind of realize, well, you know, maybe that was wrong, you know, getting it for free. But then, like I said, it was such poor quality and it is other people's files that, you know, it seemed like no big deal.

MR. GABRIEL: Okay. And is it your -- would it make a difference if the quality was better? You said the quality was really --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MORISCH: Well, yeah, because I know there's that newer stuff out there and that you can buy songs for like 99 cents or \$1.99 or whatever it is. If you are paying that, yeah, they better be good quality.

MR. GABRIEL: And in terms of your view as to what's right and wrong about trading files, does the quality make a difference, does the quality affect your view?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MORISCH: Well, so you're saying, like, if I got some really good quality music, if I was getting that from another person --

MR. GABRIEL: Yes.

2.1

2.2

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MORISCH: -- sharing the files?

Well, there again, if it's just for me, for my own, you

know -- and most of these songs I've listened to over the

last 30 years of my life and I have probably already bought

the CD's and the tapes over and over and they've gotten lost

and technology keeps changing and pretty soon the CD's will

be -- it's like you have to keep buying your whole record

collection over and over again.

You are only getting maybe -- it's not like you're getting the whole tape with all the songs. I guess it just -- you know, if you are just using it for yourself, it doesn't seem like it's, you know --

MR. GABRIEL: Okay. And you mentioned when you're trading files for pay, that you are getting money for that.

Would it make a difference if you're trading files and there was no money? So, in other words, you were trading files, but you weren't getting any money for it. Does that affect your view, one way or the other?

2.1

2.2

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MORISCH: Well, I guess I could look at it like when I was a teenager and we would tape stuff, you know, when tape recorders first came out and somebody would make a tape and they would share it with you and stuff. I guess I never, growing up, never thought there was anything wrong with that.

But there again, you would maybe get poor quality with the taping and stuff. If I really liked something, I would go out and buy it. I want to own the CD, you know, have it.

MR. GABRIEL: Okay. Thank you. I didn't mean to monopolize all your time. Thank you very much.

Does anyone -- and I appreciate very much
Ms. Morisch's candor. Does anyone have a different view?

Does everybody generally agree with Ms. Morisch's view?

THE COURT: One of the -- let me stop here. I want to make it clear that we all have different opinions on what happens in our community, but in a courtroom you will hear the evidence and then you will have to follow the law that I give you.

Most consumers don't know what the copyright law

1	is, and you will find out what that is and you will have to
2	apply that to the facts in this case. And so let me it
3	may come as a surprise that something may be illegal that
4	you didn't know about or something that you thought was
5	illegal is legal. You just don't know until I give you the
6	law.
7	So will you be able to follow the law as I give it
8	to you? That's the big thing.
9	MR. GABRIEL: Thank you for that, Your Honor.
10	Really just one more question I think to you,
11	Ms. Veit. You indicated that you listen to National Public
12	Radio?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR VEIT: Yes.
14	MR. GABRIEL: Did you hear stories about cases
15	like this very recently, in the last two weeks?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR VEIT: No.
17	MR. GABRIEL: Ladies and Gentlemen, thank you so
18	much for your time and patience with me.
19	THE COURT: Mr. Toder.
20	MR. TODER: Thank you. Hello. I just have a few
21	follow-up questions. Mr. Gabriel took the words out of my
22	mouth for most of these.
23	Ms. Oberg, you said a little while ago that your
24	kids tell you a lot of things about downloading and the
25	things that we're talking about today.

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR OBERG: Um-hmm. 2 MR. TODER: What are the things that they've told 3 you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR OBERG: I think kind of 4 generally about the current state for musicians. 5 industry seems to be in such a flux and it doesn't seem to 6 be as simple as it used to be. You used to go out and get 7 8 your band and make your recordings. Now there's a lot of 9 technology involved. It seems like copyright comes into 10 play. Fairness to the artist, both my children are 11 musicians and I'm a visual artist, so, you know, that's 12 always a big issue. Things like that in general. haven't specifically discussed Napster or any of the other 13 14 things that have come up today. 15 MR. TODER: Did you form any kind of opinion based 16 on what your children told you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR OBERG: I would have to say I 17 18 don't believe I have really because I don't feel like I know 19 enough about it at this point in time. I just kind of 20 listen to their conversation and take it in. You know, 2.1 since it doesn't directly affect me on a day-to-day basis, I 2.2 haven't researched it or done, you know, any kind of further 23 information gathering. 24 MR. TODER: Are any of you familiar with copyright

25

law?

1	Ms. Morisch.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MORISCH: My significant other
3	is a professional photographer and that's a very big deal to
4	him. He puts "copyright" on everything that he does.
5	MR. TODER: Has he actually registered copyrights
6	too?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MORISCH: I'm not sure. He
8	might do that online. I don't know. I don't get real
9	involved with all the technical stuff. I just know that he
10	puts "copyright" on every one of his pictures.
11	MR. TODER: Ms. Foreseen.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR FORSEEN: Well, I work for a
13	government office and you just know what you need to buy and
14	what you can copy as far as the copyright laws. Like I
15	teach election classes. Everything we get we can print, but
16	there is certain things that are copyrighted that you have
17	to purchase everything for.
18	MR. TODER: Do any of you have strong feelings
19	about lawsuits in general?
20	Ms. Reinke, am I pronouncing your name right?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR REINKE: Yes.
22	MR. TODER: We don't know each other, right?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR REINKE: No.
24	MR. TODER: I'm from International Falls too. Do
25	you know me by reputation or my family or anything like

1	that?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR REINKE: No.
3	MR. TODER: You work for United Healthcare?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR REINKE: Yes.
5	MR. TODER: Are you aware of some litigation right
6	now involving United Healthcare and Mr. McGuire?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR REINKE: No. I've only been
8	there three months.
9	MR. TODER: Where were you before then?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR REINKE: I worked at Ben
11	Franklin Crafts.
12	MR. TODER: Mr. Hegg, you're not from
13	International Falls, are you?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HEGG: No.
15	MR. TODER: That's all, Your Honor. I think all
16	my questions have been answered. Thanks.
17	THE COURT: Sidebar with the attorneys, please.
18	(At sidebar.)
19	MR. GABRIEL: We would move to strike juror
20	number 9 for cause. She indicated well, everyone heard
21	her responses to my questions. She indicated that she
22	thinks it's okay to share files unless someone paid for it
23	and we believe that recognizing she also said she thought
24	she could be fair, we don't believe that she could be fair
25	given her fairly strong conviction.

1	THE COURT: Admit or deny the challenge for cause?
2	MR. TODER: We will deny the challenge for cause.
3	We think that you asked her if she could still be fair in
4	spite of her opinions, and she obviously doesn't know what
5	the law is and that's why she has the opinion. When she's
6	instructed to what the law is and she's wrong, it will be
7	fine.
8	THE COURT: Challenge for cause denied. Any other
9	challenges?
10	MR. GABRIEL: No, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Other challenges for cause?
12	MR. TODER: No, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Thank you. Counsel, did you get a
14	sheet?
15	MR. GABRIEL: Yeah, we have one.
16	MR. TODER: Yes.
17	THE COURT: You may begin.
18	MR. TODER: Thank you.
19	(In open court.)
20	THE COURT: Let's take a stretch break while the
21	attorneys are making their final selections. Those in the
22	back in the gallery can stretch and those of you
23	Prospective Members of the Jury, those of you that need to
24	use the restrooms, go ahead and use the restrooms, but
25	please come back you cannot talk about this case with the

1 parties or anybody else that's in the gallery. Just use the 2 restrooms and then come back to the seat that you're in. (Recess taken at 10:30 a.m.) 3 4 (10:40 a.m.) 5 IN OPEN COURT 6 (JURY PRESENT) 7 THE COURT: Prospective Members of the Jury, when 8 9 you hear your name called, would you please stand. When you 10 hear your name called, please stand. 11 THE CLERK: Daniel Golden, Roseanne Morisch, 12 Patricia Oberg, and Barbara Veit. 13 THE COURT: Thank you for your time and 14 consideration. You are excused from this jury. Please 15 leave the placards in your chair. The prospective -- and 16 you can leave now. Prospective members of the jury that are in the 17 18 back, I wish to thank you for the time and consideration of 19 coming down to the courthouse to possibly serve on this 20 We needed a number of jurors for this case because of 2.1 the nature of the case and the length of the trial, but you 2.2 are excused. So those of you that are in the back, you are 23 excused. 24 Let's get organized. Bruce, if you would take the

second chair in the second row. Dale, if you would take the

25

1	fifth chair in the second row.
2	If you would all rise.
3	THE CLERK: Members of the Jury, please raise your
4	right hand.
5	(Jury panel sworn.)
6	* * *
7	
8	
9	I, Lori A. Simpson, certify that the foregoing is a
10	correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
11	above-entitled matter.
12	
13	Certified by: <u>s/ Lori A. Simpson</u>
14	Lori A. Simpson, RMR-CRR
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Jury, we will take a short recess at this time so we can get organized and take you back to the jury room and so you can get familiar with the surroundings here and where the jury room is, where the restrooms are there and what treats that we have for you for our break. Then we'll come back and we will begin this trial. We will have the opening statements from counsel and start taking testimony.

2.1

My normal court hours are from 9:00 to 12:30 and from 1:30 to 5:00 or 5:30, depending on the witness that we have on the stand and whether or not we can finish up with that witness.

My understanding -- I have not specifically talked to the lawyers, but I suspect that, just looking at the witness list, this case is -- hopefully we can be done with all the testimony and final arguments to the jury by Thursday. If not, we'll have to go into next week.

I cannot try this case on Friday. The Eighth
Circuit Court of Appeals is coming to Duluth and they have
exercised their prerogative to take over this courtroom, so
I can't be in it.

On Saturday we have a great ceremony. Judge

Gerald Heaney, who served on the Eighth Circuit for many,

many years, this courthouse is going to be named after him.

So everyone is coming to Duluth this weekend to have the

renaming of this courthouse for Judge Gerald Heaney. And so that's why if we don't finish this week, we'll go into next week.

And so we'll take a short recess, but let me introduce my staff. Mrs. Simpson is my court reporter. She takes down every word that's in this -- uttered in this courtroom. And everyone that sits on a jury thinks that they can get a transcript of what she's doing, and I'm the only one who can do that.

And so it's your job -- we will give you notepads and pens for you to take notes, if you so wish, but you will not be able to have written transcripts of the testimony from the witnesses. Your job is to listen and to remember what is said from the witness stand.

Kristine Wegner is my courtroom deputy and she controls the courtroom for me and she's here to serve you to make sure that your service is a pleasant one. And she will give you the rules and regulations for the jurors, what's happening, where everything is in the jury room, and what -- any needs that you have.

Do we have any smokers? We do have smokers. How bad is your habit?

(Laughter.)

THE COURT: If you need a smoking break, please alert that to -- tell Ms. Wegner about that, Mrs. Wegner

about that, and then she'll come to me and we'll talk about taking a break and having you go downstairs and outside the building to have a smoke. I do not allow smoking in the jury room, so I just want to alert you to that fact.

All right. We'll take a ten-minute break at this time and then we'll start with the opening statements and then break for lunch and come back with witnesses this afternoon.

All right. All rise for the jury. I need you to go through right here (indicating). Leave the placards. We'll pick those up.

(Recess taken at 10:45 a.m.)

\* \* \* \* \*

14 (10:55 a.m.)

## IN OPEN COURT

## (JURY PRESENT)

THE COURT: Members of the Jury, you have been selected to serve on this case. The official title, again, is Capitol Records, Sony BMG Music Entertainment, Arista Records, Interscope Records, Warner Bros. Records, and UMG Recording, Plaintiffs, vs. Jammie Thomas. This is a case regarding possible copyright infringement, where the plaintiffs are alleging that defendant downloaded copyrighted music over the Internet.

You have been selected to serve on this case to

exercise an important part in the administration of justice. At the end of the case I will be giving you the law on the case.

Your duties during the course of the trial is to be listening to all the testimony and taking that in and then at the end of the case you will hear the arguments of counsel and then get the instructions of law from me and then you will come back with a fair and just verdict in this matter.

A fair and impartial and orderly trial by jury is the desire of all the participants in this case. The plaintiffs will first proceed to outline its case and then introduce evidence tending to prove that the defendant has violated the statutes involved in this case. The defendant will have an opportunity to give an opening statement and to call any witnesses they feel are necessary for their case.

It is important for you to remember that the questions, remarks, and arguments of the attorneys are not evidence in this case. The duty of a lawyer is to present his client's cause fully, fairly, and vigorously, and to assist you in an important decision that you will have to make based upon the evidence presented to you and in accordance with the law, which I will give you at the conclusion of the final arguments of counsel.

To ensure a fair and impartial verdict, the

members of the jury must be impartial. You must keep an open mind and you must listen to all the testimony in this case. Do not form any conclusions about how you're going to decide this case until the case has been turned over to you for your decision.

By following these instructions and by performing your duties carefully and impartially, the right of trial by jury can be fully realized and justice will be done in upholding the laws of the land.

You are the sole and exclusive judges of the fact questions. It will be your duty to decide any disputed questions of fact. You have to determine the truthfulness and credibility of the witnesses and the weight to be given their testimony.

I ask you to be patient and listen carefully to the testimony of all the witnesses. Keep it all in mind until you hear the entire case.

As you listen to each witness you should take note of such matters as his interest or lack of interest in the outcome of the case, his ability and opportunity to know and to remember and tell the facts, his or her manner, his or her experience, his or her frankness and sincerity or lack thereof, the reasonableness or unreasonableness of a witness's testimony in light of all the other evidence in the case, and any other factors that bear upon the question

of believability and weight. You should in the last analysis rely on your own experience, your own judgment, and your own common sense.

During the course of the trial, the Court may be called upon to rule on objections to evidence. Whether or not the evidence should be received is governed by rules of law, which it is my duty to apply.

If you should consider the evidence, I will overrule the objection. If you should not consider the evidence, I will sustain the objection. If an answer is given to which an objection is made and sustained, you should disregard the answer. You should bear in mind and consider only evidence which is properly submitted to you during the course of the trial.

Occasionally during the course of this trial there may be sidebars or conferences with the attorneys in chambers. Because of several technicalities involved in the charge that we are trying here today, our consultations may be held in such a manner as to prevent you from hearing what we say. Please do not feel that you are being ignored or left out of your important task.

This we do not to conceal from you anything that is proper or necessary for you to hear in the discharge of your duties as judges of the fact. This we do, rather, to make certain that the parties will receive a fair trial,

that nothing will come before you which might jeopardize the fairness of the trial or which might be improper for you to hear under the rules of law which govern jury trials, rules which have been developed through centuries of experience to guarantee a fair jury trial to the parties before the court.

2.1

2.2

You may, if you wish, take notes during the course of this trial, but you should not feel compelled to do so.

The most important thing is for you to give your full attention to the testimony as you hear it.

Please remember what you should not do during the course of this trial. You are not investigators. You are not to go out and do any looking. You are not to go on the Internet to find out what this case is about or what people think about this case. You are here to hear the testimony in court and follow the law as I give it to you.

Above all, you must not talk to anyone who is involved in this case, the lawyers or the witnesses, or anyone that may be in the gallery that may have an interest in this type of lawsuit. You are not to talk to anyone.

If anyone approaches you and wants to talk to you and you do not know them, you make sure that you let me know immediately. In the back of the courtroom we always have a court security officer in a blue coat. We call them the blue coats. You have my staff and you have me.

Again, I want to make sure that if anyone tries to

talk to you about this case or voice an opinion about this case, that I know about it immediately and know who that is so I can take the appropriate action against that person.

2.1

Also, you should not discuss this case amongst yourselves. At the end of the trial the 12 of you will have as much time as you need to discuss it and that's at the end of the trial.

I assume that publicity will continue, and you will have to avoid that publicity. You will not be able to read the newspaper articles about this case or listen to any of the TV or radio broadcasts regarding this case. You are the judges of the facts. You will hear all of the evidence and you are not to be persuaded by anything that may be in print or on the air or on the TV.

When you go home during the course of this trial, your family and friends will be curious on what you are doing. You can tell them that you are sitting on a civil trial and you can tell them what the nature of the case is, but you cannot talk to them about it because they may say, Oh, I read about this case or I heard something about it or I have an opinion about whether or not someone can download music for free or have to pay.

Again, we do not want anyone to influence you in any way on what your verdict should be. That would be a

subversion of justice and you have sworn to uphold the laws of the land and you are the finders of the facts in this case and you will apply the law as I give it to you in this case.

Neither the parties nor the judge nor the lawyers nor the court personnel will be -- will make any effort to be friendly outside of the courtroom, and this is not a discourtesy. We are endeavoring to avoid any statement or act which may influence the jurors during the course of the trial.

If anyone should observe any of us talking to you, the person observing us talking to you will not know what is being said and he or she may believe that we are discussing a portion of the trial. So we wish not only to avoid improper conduct, but also avoid any appearance of improper conduct. If any person persists in discussing this case with you, please refer it immediately to me.

During the course of the trial, please be prompt in returning to the courtroom at the hour stated so that any delays will not be attributed to you.

Be patient during the course of this trial. We know that it is difficult for most of us, especially those of us who are usually quite active physically, to remain seated quietly for long periods of time. There will be recesses during the course of this trial and you know where

the restrooms are located in your jury room.

One question that usually arises is whether or not the jurors can ask questions, and the answer to that question is no. You have to listen and come to a decision based on what you've heard.

As I've told you, my normal court hours are from 9:00 to 12:30, 1:30 to 5:00, 5:30 depending on the course of the trial at that time. Is that going to cause any problems for anyone getting here or leaving, day care problems?

I know that maybe one of you have worked the night shift and may be a little tired. If you need a stretch break, need a break, don't feel that you can't raise your hand and say, Judge, let's take a break at this time.

That goes for any and all of you, because sometimes I get going here and I can push the lawyers to get the case done so you're not here for long periods of time, but I want to make sure that you're comfortable. I don't know how comfortable those seats are and so you may have to take more stretch breaks than normal, but you just let me know or let Mrs. Wegner know about that.

Counsel, any additions or corrections to the Court's opening charge?

MR. GABRIEL: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

MR. TODER: None from defendant. Thank you.

THE COURT: We'll begin with the opening

statements.

MR. GABRIEL: May it please the Court, Counsel, Ms. Thomas, Ladies and Gentlemen. Again, my name is Rich Gabriel and I represent the plaintiff record companies in this case.

And I want to again reintroduce my client, Matt Oppenheim, who is a representative from the record companies, and my partner Tim Reynolds, who is sitting at the table with me.

Ladies and Gentlemen, before I launch into my opening remarks, on behalf of my clients -- and I know Mr. Toder will join me in this -- we thank you for your service here today. We all understand how important this is and we know you didn't volunteer, but we appreciate you being here very much.

Ladies and Gentlemen, the plaintiffs in this case are record companies that, among other things, record and distribute music. These record companies are made up of real people, ranging from people who find new artists, to people who work to promote new artists, to people who run the sound boards, get the new artists' music recorded, to backup musicians, to graphic artists, to those who deliver my clients' music all around the world.

All of these people, Ladies and Gentlemen, face a threat, a significant threat to their livelihoods on a daily

basis and that threat is due in large part to the problem of copyright infringement over the Internet, of which the defendant is part. The problem has not only affected the industry generally, it's affected everyone in it, from top to bottom.

In this case the defendant, Jammie Thomas, was distributing over 1,700 music files, that's 1,700 music files, through the so-called Kazaa file sharing or file trading service. Kazaa is K-a-z-a-a. She was distributing 1,700 music files to millions of other people on the Kazaa network, all without the permission of the copyright owners. Even though the infringement in this case was massive, my clients have chosen to focus on a sampling of that and we're suing in this case on 25 sound recordings.

My clients claim that the defendant, Ms. Thomas, infringed on their copyrights and 25 sound recordings made famous by people ranging from Gloria Estefan to Green Day, Sarah McLachlan, Sheryl Crow, Journey, and Aerosmith by copying and distributing, copying and distributing these copyrighted recordings to millions of other people using this so-called Kazaa file sharing or file trading service. We're here, Ladies and Gentlemen, to ask you to hold the defendant responsible for her actions.

The case begins, as I've said, with what people call a file sharing or file trading service called Kazaa and

you'll hear evidence in the case to explain to you what that is.

You'll hear that file sharing is really a bit of a loose term. It isn't sharing in the sense of our kids sharing toys with their friends. Services like Kazaa are designed so that people who use them can trade files, hear music files with users they don't even know. And these services are set up so that the strangers connect to each other completely anonymously, and they do that through made-up user names.

Because users connect with each other, directly to each other, sometimes you'll hear the phrase -- and you will hear it in this case, I'm sure -- of peer-to-peer or P2P file sharing because there are people, "people" being peers, communicating with each other, their computers are talking directly to one another.

You'll hear in this case how Kazaa works. A user first downloads software. You can search on Google for Kazaa and you can download the software and install it on your own computer.

This doesn't happen by accident. When you install it, you have to go through a lot of steps. You go through screen after screen asking -- the computer asking you questions about do you want this, do you want that, and it goes through many, many steps to get this program installed

on your computer.

Once the program is loaded and installed on the computer, the software creates what is called a share folder or share directory on the user's computer. You can think of it like a file folder.

A user then stores all the files that he or she downloads or copies from other people into that folder and the recordings that are in that folder are then being distributed simultaneously to everybody else on the network.

Now, how does that happen, how do you share files? The process is quite easy. A user can search for music by artist, song title, album title, or even music category, jazz, top 40 and the like.

A user who wants a particular artist, say, types in the artist's name and a screen will come up listing a whole bunch of things available from that artist. You merely click on that entry and in doing so the user gets an exact digital copy into that user's share folder that the user then can listen to as many times as a person wants.

We are going to play for you some of these digital files that were recorded as well as legitimate recordings so that you'll be able to hear that they sound exactly the same. These are good, quality digital recordings.

So what's happened, then, when this happens, you'll hear, the evidence will show you, the user has made a

copy of the recording from somebody else, one of those other peers, that went into the hard drive, no different than if somebody gave someone else a CD and the person had gotten a copy of it. The user then has it and can listen to it forever.

But what's important is once the user has made this unauthorized copy in the way I've described, the copy is in the share folder, that share folder I talked about, and is being distributed to everyone else in the network, literally millions of people.

And interestingly, the person from whom the user -- the user copied it from somebody else. Well, that person who kind of sent it to the user still has her copy. So at the end of it, you've got two users now who have perfect digital copies of the same recording and both of them are distributing to other people.

And when those other people distribute, they then get copies. It's like that old commercial, "and so on and so on and so on." It's an ever multiplying and replicating problem or issue of creating copies and distributing other copies.

In this case, Ladies and Gentlemen, my clients will prove that the defendant infringed on their copyright interest by using the Kazaa file sharing service to copy and distribute at least 25 copyrighted sound recordings

belonging to them. In fact, as I said, you'll see evidence that there were more than 1,700 sound recordings that the defendant was distributing. We are here to ask you to hold the defendant responsible for her actions.

So what happened in this specific case with the background of how Kazaa works? On the evening of February 21, 2005 a company called SafeNet, used to be called MediaSentry -- you may hear those names interchangeably, but it's a company that the record companies hired -- was searching on the Kazaa file sharing service for the record companies' copyrighted sound recordings.

In performing this search MediaSentry/SafeNet did exactly what any other user could do, it's searching for recordings. It logged onto Kazaa, searched for recordings. In the course of that search SafeNet found a computer that was distributing a lot of the plaintiffs' copyrighted sound recordings.

And the way Kazaa is set up, you can actually search for one recording, Sarah McLachlan. You can then ask Kazaa, I'd like to see what else this person has in his or her share folder. It's set up that way. MediaSentry, just like anybody else, said let me see the entire share folder; and that's how we see a share folder with 1,700 sound recordings in it.

The person who was using this share folder had logged in -- remember I talked about anonymous user names -- under the screen name tereastarr@kazaa, which will become important for reasons that I will get to in a moment.

1.3

The user was also connected to the Internet at something called an Internet protocol or IP address. Here the numbers don't matter for the immediate purposes, but it was 24.179.199.117. That's the IP address.

And the evidence will describe to you what an IP address is. The evidence will show you that an IP address -- that every computer must have a unique IP address.

To ensure that those IP addresses are unique, blocks of IP addresses have been assigned to various organizations, like Internet service providers. So, for example, Charter Communications has one block of IP addresses and Adelphia or Comcast or AOL will have different blocks of IP addresses.

Think of the IP address just like a mailing address or a phone number. It's a unique person and I call that number. And you can think of these assignments to Charter and whoever else as the area code.

You can tell the way it's assigned, by some of the numbers, who's who, who had the Charter ones. Just like you would know 218 is the Duluth area code, you would know from

the numbers you see, okay, this person is a Charter customer, we know that much. And then each ISP then divvies up the block it has to its customers and they send them all out, and they keep records of that.

2.1

2.2

The point is that at any given moment in time an IP address is unique, it's a unique identifier. And the fact is you'll hear evidence that two computers cannot work if they are connected to the Internet with the same IP address at the same time, just physically can't happen.

So, as I've said, SafeNet did a search that I described, just like anyone else, and found a computer distributing over 1,700 music files. SafeNet then took screen shots of the share folder at issue.

And screen shots are basically photographs. You will see photographs of each page on the screen that SafeNet was looking at. These screen shots are going to show you all of the files, including the 1,700 music files that were being distributed.

And as I mentioned to you, you'll see on these screen shots that the person using the Kazaa service used a screen name called tereastarr@kazaa. You'll see it hundreds of times next to virtually every file in that share folder.

So what does SafeNet do? It has a share folder and takes these photographs of each page. It also actually downloads -- it starts downloading all 1,700 music files to

make sure they're really there and then it downloads fully a sampling to make sure that these really are the record companies' copyrighted music files. And SafeNet then downloads and keeps a whole bunch of computer mumbo jumbo data that it keeps and makes a copy of right then and there.

Among other things that you'll see in that computer data is the Internet protocol address that I mentioned to you. When computers talk to each other, you will see in the data that happens that they actually -- the IP address will be shown on there and that's how they figure out the IP address.

So we have a whole bunch of that data and these screen shots and we have music files that SafeNet actually downloaded from this particular user that they were at, tereastarr@kazaa.

And when it was done, after that, on February 21st and 22nd, SafeNet sent instant messages to this Kazaa user, tereastarr@kazaa, telling this user she was engaged in copyright infringement and should stop. So instant messages were sent at about that time as well.

So the evidence will show you that we come to this point in the chronology that SafeNet had found significant copyright infringement happening at a particular IP address with a user name of tereastarr@kazaa.

But remember what I said before, file sharing is

anonymous. So the record companies had found significant infringement, but they didn't know specifically who the infringer was. So far we have a computer, we have an IP address, and a name of tereastarr.

Remember I told you that the IP addresses are assigned in blocks. So we knew from the numbers that it was Charter Communications. The record companies went through a legal process that allowed them to serve a subpoena on Charter Communications to ask them for identifying information.

Specifically, as you'll see, we served a subpoena on Charter that had a list of IP addresses of people that the record companies had caught infringing and we asked Charter to tell us the name, address, phone number, e-mail address, and something called a media access control or MAC address associated with the person in this case who had that IP address, that long string of numbers I gave you, on February 21, 2005 at about 11:00 p.m.

Now, the media access control or MAC address is basically a serial number that Charter Communications puts on devices it leases. If you have Internet service, you'll have a modem. There's a little machine there. There's a serial number on it called a media access control device.

So we asked Charter for that information. Charter was internally able to search its records and it quickly

determined that the individual who was connected to the Internet at the IP address I gave you was the defendant, Jammie Thomas.

Now, Charter didn't immediately tell the record companies this. What Charter did first is it wrote a letter to the defendant, its customer, Jammie Thomas, and told her we have a subpoena that indicates there was improper conduct, infringement, on this Internet account and it tells her other things about their policies and it says, We're about to give up your name and address to these people. If you want to take action, you can. It said on the top, "Important Legal Notice." The defendant will tell you that she got it, but she chose not to read it.

Shortly after that, not having heard from the defendant, Charter responded to the subpoena and provided the record companies with the information that they had asked for. Charter told the record companies that the user at the particular IP address on the date in time that I mentioned was Jammie Thomas and Charter provided to us her correct address, her mailing address.

As it turned out, Charter had also rented to the defendant a modem, a computer modem, so she could access the Internet. Charter provided the MAC address, another series of numbers that are not important to remember now, but it happened to be 00028ACF5590. The evidence will show you

that the defendant herself admitted that the MAC address -that MAC address matched the address on the modem that she
had.

2.1

And Charter provided the defendant's e-mail address. Now, this is separate from the Kazaa user name.

The e-mail address that she used was tereastarr@charter.net, which, of course, is an obvious match to tereastarr@kazaa.

And the evidence is going to show you more than just the identifying information that I just mentioned to you from Charter that connected what our people found to this defendant.

The evidence will show, for example, that the defendant used the name tereastarr for everything relating to computers. She's done so for the past 13 or 14 years. Her user name on her computer -- when you boot up the computer, there's kind of account names that come up -- was tereastarr. Her e-mail address is now and in the past tereastarr. Her instant message address was tereastarr. She used the tereastarr name on online accounts, bestbuy.com, walmart.com. She used it for online video games. She uses it on a personal website that she has designed and created and uses at, which some of you will recognize, myspace.com. She uses tereastarr for everything.

The evidence will also show you that the computer that we captured was the only computer in the house at the

time. It was in the defendant's bedroom and it was password protected. The evidence will show you this defendant was the only one who had access to the password and was the only one that could have used that computer.

So the infringement occurred at an IP address that Charter identified as belonging to the defendant and a MAC address that Charter identified as belonging to the defendant, and the only person who was able to use the computer was the defendant.

And the evidence will show you that this defendant happened to have a far-ranging and eclectic taste in music. She will identify numerous artists and groups that she listens to and likes, many of which are not household names.

The evidence will show you that over 60 of the groups and artists that the defendant listens to were in the Kazaa share folder, over 60 of them matching. And the evidence will show you that this defendant listens to every artist who recorded the sound recordings that are at issue in this case, 25 of them.

But, again, Ladies and Gentlemen, that's not all you're going to hear. The evidence will show not only that the defendant, Ms. Thomas, was responsible for the infringements at issue, but also that she took pains to conceal what she had done.

I mentioned to you that Charter identified the

defendant through which the infringements happened. The record companies then -- what they do when they get the identification, we send a letter to the defendant, you've been caught, we lay out what copyright infringement is about and why it's a bad thing, and we say we'd be delighted to talk with you about this case if you would like to call us and perhaps even try to work it out before we go further.

And the defendant did call the record companies' representatives and she said -- and she'll say this in this courtroom -- she didn't do anything, she didn't do it, and we should come and inspect her computer, we're welcome to look at her computer whenever we want, and we will not find any evidence of Kazaa on there.

And the defendant, true to her word, provided her computer's hard drive to us. That's where all the computer's data is found, kind of the brain of the computer, that you can take out and give to somebody else.

She gave that to us so our expert could inspect it and she told us that's the hard drive that was connected to the Internet on February 21, 2005, the date we discovered infringements at issue, what we call the capture date.

In fact, she also told us that the hard drive had been replaced once before in January or February of 2004, a year before. So she represented that we had the right hard drive.

Now, our expert, Dr. Doug Jacobson of Iowa State
University, inspected that hard drive. He looked at it and,
in fact, so did the defendant's expert, a man named Eric
Stanley.

And it turned out that the hard drive the defendant was anxious for us to look at and review was not the hard drive that was connected to the Internet on February 21, 2005.

In fact, Ladies and Gentlemen, you will hear from a witness from Best Buy that this hard drive was put into the computer in March of 2005, a month after we actually caught -- found the infringements at issue and a month after we sent those instant messages I told you about on February 21st and 22nd to tereastarr@kazaa saying, Cut it out, you're infringing.

The evidence will show you, Ladies and Gentlemen, the defendant told us to come look at her computer and we would find nothing and then she gave us the wrong hard drive to inspect. It wasn't the one connected to the Internet on February 21, 2005, the date that we found the infringing conduct.

And not only did our own expert ultimately figure that out, the defendant's expert, Mr. Stanley, figured that out. And interestingly enough, when he did, the defendant made a choice not to call him as a witness in this trial.

The plaintiffs are going to call him as a witness in this trial.

Ladies and Gentlemen, at the end of this trial the evidence will show you that the record company plaintiffs own or control the exclusive rights in all of the 25 copyrighted sound recordings at issue; the evidence will show that the defendant, without the record companies' permission, copied and/or distributed at least those 25 copyrighted sound recordings to SafeNet, the company we hired -- they actually were a distributee because they got it from her -- and also to millions of other people on the Kazaa network; and the evidence will show you that the defendant attempted to conceal her conduct by providing the wrong hard drive to us and not only to us, but even to her own expert.

Ladies and Gentlemen, at the end of this trial we will ask you to hold this defendant responsible for her actions.

Thank you.

MR. TODER: May it please the Court, Counsel, Members of the Jury.

This is a tough case for the defendant. It's a real tough case because she's put in a position of trying to prove some alternative theory when she doesn't know what happened out there.

We're here today because plaintiffs have evidence that someone was on Kazaa, that someone offered copyrighted material, and then someone who works for plaintiffs, MediaSentry, downloaded that material. But the only identity you're going to find for whoever was doing this is an IP address, an IP address.

You're going to find out from plaintiffs' own experts that there are other explanations for how someone can hijack someone else's IP address. You can use your imagination. Someone else in her home could have used this. There's all kinds of things. But, again, we're in a position of trying to prove a negative and we can't do it. We don't have that kind of evidence.

But they don't have, the plaintiffs don't have the evidence that she downloaded anything. You're not going to see any evidence that she distributed anything. The best, the best that they can come up with is that somebody out there in cyberland, somebody out there using an IP address, an account that was assigned to Jammie Thomas, offered some Kazaa -- on Kazaa some copyrighted material that was downloaded by plaintiffs. And Jammie Thomas didn't do any of that. It wasn't Jammie Thomas that did that, if somebody, in fact, did that.

So why are we here, then, if it's that cut and dried? We're here because plaintiffs believe that because

Ms. Thomas's hard drive was replaced, that is somehow covering up something. Because they'll never be able to tell who was the person, the individual. They can't even tell which computer it is.

They talk about MAC addresses. A MAC address is assigned from Charter Communications to a particular device and if you take one computer and -- if you unplug her computer and took some other computer and plugged it into the same modem, it would be the same -- no one would know whether it was -- it would still appear to be Jammie Thomas's computer.

But they're here because -- we're here today because plaintiffs believe that they can convince you that somehow Jammie Thomas pulled a fast one. By virtue of the fact that her hard drive was replaced, that that somehow proves that, yes, that person out there who offered 1,702 titles and distributed it to millions, although you are going to see no evidence that anybody distributed millions to anybody, they are going to say that because she replaced her hard drive, that proves she must have had something to hide, so it must have been her.

But the plaintiffs have one thing working against them and that's the calendar, the calendar. It was on February 21, 2005 that somebody out in cyberland offered to share -- there's no evidence that anybody sold anything --

somebody offered to share some songs, February 21, 2005, and of course the people that work for plaintiffs captured it.

They sent an e-mail -- or they say they sent an e-mail. How would we know? We'll believe them for a second. But they sent an e-mail to tereastarr@kazaa. They didn't send it to tereastarr@chartercommunications, which is Ms. Thomas's address. This is in February, February 21, 2005.

It was after that, it was after that, in March, specifically March 7th, that Ms. Thomas had a problem with her computer. It had beeping and error codes and she brought this to Best Buy.

She didn't bring it to Best Buy asking them to replace her hard drive. You can look at the paperwork, which will be evidence in this case. It was Best Buy's decision to replace the hard drive and that's what they did.

And, again, this is before Jammie Thomas had any idea whatsoever that out there somewhere in cyberland plaintiffs, MediaSentry/SafeNet, were putting together a case against her and 20,000 other people.

It wasn't until April 22, 2005 that Charter

Communications notified Jammie Thomas that they were being subpoenaed because of a John Doe complaint that plaintiffs filed so they could get a subpoena to see what individual owned which accounts, not computers, but which individuals

owned which accounts, which is the IP number. And so they -- according to them, the IP address, the IP account was in the name of Jammie Thomas. That was April 22, 2005.

It wasn't until August 19, 2005 that Jammie Thomas was notified by plaintiffs that she was targeted, that she was going to be sued for infringing. And they're saying 25 songs that they can prove and they're also saying today that there was 1,702 songs that were distributed to millions of people, but you are going to see no evidence of that anywhere in the case.

So, you see, this thing about the hard drive, this was something that Jammie Thomas did before, before she got any notice from anybody that had anything to do with this case.

The problem, though, that Jammie Thomas has is that she came to our firm and she wanted representation and she said that -- we asked her, Can we look at your hard drive? We made the hard drive available to plaintiffs. And she said, Sure. We did a forensic examination of it, they did a forensic examination of it, and there was no evidence on there whatsoever.

Our expert, who is not here today because we can't afford to pay him, he's not here today because he found something. Our expert found nothing on there and their expert found nothing on there.

And then it came to pass that Jammie Thomas, she had a problem with the calendar. She had a problem with the calendar because she represented to us that it was in March of 2004 instead of March of 2005. And this whole case is going to turn on that.

This case isn't about right or wrong. It's not about whether the record companies are the bad guys suing thousands -- tens of thousands of people or whether people who download are the bad guys because they share music with each other.

This case is whether you are going to believe

Jammie Thomas as to whether or not it was an honest mistake

when she represented what dates it was that Best Buy changed

out her hard drive.

Plaintiffs also are here today because they have circumstantial evidence, the name tereastarr. And they are really excited about it, tereastarr, because Jammie Thomas uses tereastarr on everything. She uses it on her e-mails. She uses it on other web pages.

But ask yourself, if somebody was going to download something illegally or infringe on somebody's copyright, would they use the name that everybody knows that they use? It makes no sense whatsoever. On Kazaa you can use whatever name you can make up, and no one would make up the name that everybody knows him or her by.

So this idea about tereastarr being -- somehow proving that it was her computer doesn't get us anywhere because no one can prove which computer actually did this. The only evidence they have is that an IP address assigned to her by Charter Communications was identified by their people as having offered to share a number of files.

Again, this is a very, very tough job for us because she didn't do this, she didn't do this. And there are, again, alternative explanations as to how this could be done, but we can't go out there and get evidence of maybe it happened this way, maybe it could happen this way. We don't know what happened.

But there is still a level playing field there because, as the Judge will instruct you, the recording companies have the burden, they have the burden of proof. We don't have to prove how it really happened.

This is a level playing field because they have to prove that Jammie Thomas, not somebody using Jammie Thomas's computer, not somebody who went into her house with a different computer, not somebody who used a spoof or a drone or used some of these terms you're going to hear about, the mumbo jumbo counsel referred to, somebody else, did this.

It is plaintiffs who have to prove that Jammie

Thomas actually did this, and I submit that on this record

you're not going to be able to find that she did this. They

```
1
       will not meet their burden and I'm going to help you find
 2
       that.
 3
                 Thank you for your attention.
                 THE COURT: Call your first witness.
 4
 5
                 MR. GABRIEL: The plaintiffs call Jennifer
       Pariser.
                 She's on the way. I apologize.
 6
 7
           (Witness sworn.)
 8
                 THE COURT: Good morning.
 9
                 THE WITNESS: Good morning, Your Honor.
10
                 THE COURT: Would you state your true and correct
11
       name for the record, please.
12
                 THE WITNESS: My name is Jennifer Pariser.
13
                 THE COURT: Spell your last -- first and last name
14
       for the record, please.
                 THE WITNESS: J-e-n-n-i-f-e-r. Last name is
15
16
       P-a-r-i-s-e-r.
17
                 THE COURT: You may inquire.
18
                 MR. GABRIEL: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
                             (Jennifer Pariser)
20
                             DIRECT EXAMINATION
2.1
       BY MR. GABRIEL:
2.2
           Good morning, Ms. Pariser.
       Q.
23
       A. Good morning.
24
          What do you do for a living?
       Q.
25
           I am the head of litigation and antipiracy for Sony BMG
```

- 1 Music Entertainment.
- Q. And what is Sony BMG Music Entertainment?
- 3 A. Sony BMG is a record company. It happens to be the
- 4 second largest record company in the world.
- 5 Q. And how is Sony BMG organized?
- 6 A. Sony BMG is something of an umbrella organization and
- 7 underneath it are record labels, the labels that artists are
- 8 assigned to and marketed by. Columbia, RCA, Epic, Arista
- 9 are labels underneath the Sony BMG umbrella.
- 10 Q. Can you explain what a label is.
- 11 A. A label is the business unit that an artist is
- 12 | associated with and used to market a record.
- 13 Q. Are any of the Sony BMG entities or labels plaintiffs in
- 14 this case?
- 15 A. Yes. Sony BMG Music Entertainment itself is a plaintiff
- 16 and Arista Records, LLC, which is a label, is a plaintiff as
- 17 well.
- 18 Q. Would you, ma'am, please describe for the ladies and
- 19 gentlemen of the jury what has led Sony BMG and Arista to
- 20 become in lawsuits -- become involved in lawsuits like this
- 21 one.
- 22 A. Sure. This case is about music piracy and piracy is a
- 23 tremendous problem that is affecting the music industry. It
- 24 is causing billions of dollars of harm to the industry over
- 25 the past four or five years.

MR. TODER: Objection, relevance.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: And it is important for Sony BMG to combat this problem. If we don't, we have no business anymore. And that's why we're here.

BY MR. GABRIEL:

- Q. Would you, ma'am, describe the physical process that brought Sony BMG Music and Arista to this case specifically.
- A. Sure. It starts with the retention of a company called SafeNet and what SafeNet does is they go on the Internet and they go to various places on the Internet where music can be obtained illegally, what are called peer-to-peer sites, and they go on the Internet and they look for unauthorized copies of the sound recordings owned by Sony BMG and the other plaintiffs in the case.

When they find them, they make a request of the person who is making them available and they get a copy from that person, they download copies. After that occurs, they then get additional data from the website where the music is being posted that is associated with the different music files and they deliver all that information to the Recording Industry Association of America, which organizes this for us.

Q. And can you just a little bit describe the kind of information they send to the Recording Industry Association.

- A. Sure. They send -- in the case of one particular user, what they will capture is downloads of a handful of files, the file names associated with those files, and a picture, what we call screen shots, of the entirety of the music that that person is making available or delivering to other people on the Internet.

  O. And then what does the recording industry or record
  - Q. And then what does the recording industry or record companies --
  - A. I'm sorry. There's one other piece of information that is captured which I forget to mention, which is what's called the IP address of the person who is making this available.

When these transactions occur, it's done anonymously. What is captured by SafeNet is just a numerical string that is associated with the person who is making those files available, but that numerical string is captured as well and that is also delivered to the RIAA.

Q. All right. And then what does the RIAA do with that

- A. Well, they do a few things with it. The first thing they do is they listen --
- MR. TODER: Objection, foundation, relevance.
- THE COURT: Overruled.

information?

1.3

24 THE WITNESS: The first thing they do is they
25 listen to the files that were downloaded. When I say

- 1 "files," I mean music. These are music files. They're not
- 2 documents. They're sound -- copies of sound recordings.
- 3 And the first thing the RIAA does is they listen to the
- 4 files that were downloaded to make sure that the music is
- 5 what the file name represents them to be.
- After that occurs, they take the IP address, that
- 7 | numerical string, and they deliver it to the RIAA, which
- 8 then attempts to find out who is behind that numerical
- 9 string.
- 10 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 11 Q. Can you describe, just briefly, the relationship between
- 12 your company and the RIAA.
- 13 A. The RIAA, which stands for the Recording Industry
- 14 Association of America, is the trade organization of the
- 15 record industry. It represents the major record companies
- 16 as well as all of the -- or most of the small record
- 17 companies around the United States.
- 18 Q. And in your capacity as head of litigation for Sony BMG,
- do you work with the RIAA in these lawsuits?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. So the RIAA now has this information and it's listened
- 22 to the recordings. Then what happens with the process that
- 23 brought us here?
- 24 A. The next thing that happens is because these
- 25 transactions are anonymous, the next thing that needs to

1 occur is the RIAA needs to figure out who is behind that 2 numerical string. 3 So they look at the numbers and you can determine -- if you're technologically savvy and you know 4 5 what you're doing, you can look at those numbers and figure out what Internet service provider made available that 6 7 numerical string. Internet service providers are companies 8 like Charter, Verizon, AT&T, many others. 9 And what will occur is the RIAA will issue a 10 subpoena for information to those Internet service providers 11 to find out who is behind those numerical strings. 12 And did, in fact, the record companies do that? 13 They did -- they do it all the time. They did it in 14 this case. 15 MR. GABRIEL: Your Honor, I have a number of 16 exhibit books. May I approach and bring them up to the witness? 17 18 THE COURT: You may. 19 MR. GABRIEL: Your Honor, we also have a set of 20 exhibit books for the Court and I think we've given them to 21 Mr. Toder. May we approach and give the Court its copies? 22 THE COURT: You may. 23 BY MR. GABRIEL: 24 Q. Ms. Pariser, can I ask you to find Plaintiffs' 25 Exhibit 14 in one of those books in front of you.

- 1 A. Okay.
- 2 Q. I think it's in Volume 3.
- 3 A. Okay. I have it.
- 4 THE COURT: 14?
- 5 MR. GABRIEL: 14, yes, Your Honor.
- 6 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 7 Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 8 A. Yes, I do.
- 9 Q. What is it, please?
- 10 A. This is the subpoena that was issued to Charter
- 11 | Communications to get the name of the persons associated
- 12 with a number of IP addresses.
- Q. And was this subpoena sent by a law firm?
- 14 A. Yes, it was.
- Q. And what's the name of the law firm that sent it?
- 16 A. The law firm is Shook, Hardy & Bacon, which is another
- 17 | firm that represented the RIAA and the industry at the time.
- 18 Q. And was this subpoena done at your direction?
- 19 A. It was.
- 20 MR. GABRIEL: Move Exhibit 14, Your Honor.
- 21 MR. TODER: Your Honor, we have no objection to
- 22 the exhibit, but we have an objection to the attached order
- 23 under Rule 403.
- 24 THE COURT: The attached --
- MR. TODER: There's an order attached to the

- exhibit from the United States District Court for the
- 2 Eastern District of Missouri.
- MR. GABRIEL: If I may, Your Honor, I'll represent
- 4 the order was attached to the subpoena.
- 5 THE COURT: The subpoena as marked as Plaintiffs'
- 6 Exhibit Number 14 will be admitted. The order will be taken
- 7 off.
- 8 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 9 Q. Ma'am, so we serve the subpoena. Then what happens?
- 10 A. We serve the subpoena and then in this particular case
- 11 Charter Communications supplied the RIAA with the name and
- 12 address associated with each of the people on that numerical
- 13 string, one of which is the defendant in this case.
- 14 Q. And that's Jammie Thomas?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And after that identification was made, what did the
- 17 record companies do?
- 18 A. The RIAA gets from Charter the name and address
- associated with the IP address from Charter, at which point
- 20 a letter is sent. A letter -- and in this case that was
- 21 done, a letter was sent to Jammie Thomas.
- 22 Q. Can I ask you to look at Exhibit 19, please.
- 23 A. Okay.
- Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 19?
- 25 A. Yes. This is the letter that was sent.

- Q. And was this also sent by the law firm of Shook, Hardy &
- 2 Bacon?

3

- A. Yes, the same firm.
- 4 Q. Was this also done at your direction?
- 5 A. It was.
- 6 MR. GABRIEL: Move Exhibit 19, Your Honor.
- 7 MR. TODER: Your Honor, we object under Rule 403
- 8 and under Rule 802.
- 9 THE COURT: 19 will be admitted.
- 10 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 11 Q. Ma'am, what was the purpose that this letter was sent?
- 12 A. This letter has a few purposes. It's two pages, single
- 13 | spaced, so there's a lot of information in it. It describes
- 14 the fact that the recipient of the letter has been
- identified by that person's ISP as engaging in unauthorized
- 16 trading of music. It explains that the music belongs to the
- major record companies. They are identified. It explains
- 18 why unauthorized music file trading is harmful to the music
- 19 industry. It invites the recipient of the letter to get in
- 20 touch with the RIAA to talk about the situation. If they
- 21 | have any questions, they may ask them. And it invites the
- 22 person to engage in conversation to settle the matter.
- 23 Q. Thank you. So after this letter then gets sent, what
- 24 happens?
- 25 A. Well, hopefully what happens is that the recipient of

- 1 the letter will take up the invitation to get in touch with 2 the RIAA. As I understand, that indeed occurred here. 3 Ms. Pariser, I'm going to ask you to --4 MR. GABRIEL: Your Honor, I am not sure of the 5 Court's practices. Once the document is admitted, may we 6 put it up on the screen so the jurors can see what we're 7 talking about? 8 THE COURT: You may show it. 9 MR. GABRIEL: Mr. Reynolds, if you would. 10 BY MR. GABRIEL: 11 Ms. Pariser, you indicated the letter gives a fair amount of information to the defendant. Did the letter also 12 talk about duties that the defendant has? 13 14 A. I'm sorry. I neglected that. It does say in the letter 15 that the recipient of the letter may not destroy any 16 evidence that they might be in possession of related to the case. In the unfortunate event that we're not able to 17 18 resolve it amicably and we have to proceed to litigation, it 19 is legally required that the person maintain their --20 Is that shown at the bottom of the first page? 0. 2.1 Yes, it is. Α.
  - Q. And then would you look at the second page.
- MR. GABRIEL: Mr. Reynolds, if you could put that

24 up.

2.2

25 THE WITNESS: That's the -- okay.

1 BY MR. GABRIEL:

- 2 Q. And just the second to the last paragraph, does that
- 3 basically confirm what you just told us, that it basically
- 4 invites the person receiving the letter to contact the
- 5 companies?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. So after this letter gets -- I'm sorry. We're talking
- 8 about the second to the last paragraph, the first sentence,
- 9 are we not?
- 10 A. The second to the last paragraph, the first sentence.
- 11 If you have an interest in discussing this matter, including
- 12 settlement, the record companies request, et cetera, in ten
- days get in touch with us.
- 14 Q. What happens after this letter gets sent in the process
- 15 that brought us here?
- 16 A. In this particular case what occurred is that Ms. Thomas
- 17 got in touch with the law firm as directed in the letter,
- 18 conversations occurred, and unfortunately the matter could
- 19 not be settled.
- 20 O. And then --
- 21 A. And then what happens is a lawsuit starts. We then have
- 22 to commence a legal action in the court where the defendant
- resides -- that's here -- in her name. Previously all
- 24 papers had been -- had not had her name on it. But a
- 25 lawsuit is commenced in the place where the defendant

- 1 resides in her name, discovery is taken, and a trial ensues.
- Q. Ms. Pariser, at any point in time did you take into
- 3 consideration any of the individual characteristics of
- 4 Ms. Thomas?
- 5 A. Absolutely not. We don't know them. When the process
- 6 starts with SafeNet, they look for files on the Internet.
- 7 They have no idea who is at the other end of the computer.
- 8 They capture those files, they download them. They still
- 9 don't know. It's just a string of numbers.
- 10 They then issue a -- we issue a subpoena to
- 11 | Charter. It's a name and an address. There's really no way
- 12 of knowing what characteristics the person may have who is
- getting -- who is at the other end. All we know, what we do
- 14 know is that the person is delivering a huge amount of our
- 15 | copyrighted music to others on the Internet. That's what we
- 16 know.
- 17 | Q. Have you seen documents indicating a listing of the
- 18 | sound recordings that are at issue in this case?
- 19 A. I have.
- 20 Q. Would you look at Exhibit 1, please. It would be in the
- 21 first binder.
- 22 A. Okay.
- 23 Q. Have you seen Exhibit 1 before?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And what is it, please?

- 1 A. It's a list of sound recordings that are at issue in
- 2 this case.
- 3 Q. And that would exclude the first recording on there,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Plaintiffs, you are aware, have decided not to pursue
- 7 the first recording, correct?
- 8 A. I'm aware of that.
- 9 Q. Does this list accurately reflect some of the recordings
- 10 at issue in this case?
- 11 A. Yes. Other than the very first one, all of the
- 12 remaining titles are in the case.
- MR. GABRIEL: Move Exhibit 1, Your Honor.
- MR. TODER: No objection.
- 15 THE COURT: Exhibit 1 will be admitted. Can you
- 16 | excise the first --
- MR. GABRIEL: Yes, Your Honor, we'd be happy to.
- In fact, I won't put it up on the screen for now. We'll
- 19 black it out, with the Court's permission.
- 20 MR. TODER: Your Honor, we'd like to keep it there
- 21 because that is how we got the exhibit and I have questions
- 22 about why that is necessary here.
- THE COURT: Show it.
- 24 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 25 Q. Ma'am, Exhibit -- do you see Exhibit 1?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Do you see on Exhibit 1 --
- 3 MR. GABRIEL: And just for explanatory purposes
- 4 for Your Honor and for Mr. Toder, the Exhibit A refers to --
- 5 in a past iteration this was Exhibit A to something else.
- 6 This is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1 in the case and there's a
- 7 sticker at the bottom that you'll see.
- 8 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 9 Q. Do you see recordings for which Sony BMG and Arista
- 10 owned the copyrights or exclusive rights?
- 11 A. Yes, I do.
- 12 Q. Which ones, please?
- 13 A. In the first column it lists the copyright owner, so we
- are talking about the third, fourth, and fifth titles.
- 15 That's Journey, "Don't Stop Believin'"; Destiny's Child,
- 16 | "Bills, Bills, Bills"; and Sarah McLachlan, "Building a
- 17 Mystery."
- 18 Q. And you understand, ma'am, that Sony BMG and Arista are
- 19 suing on those recordings in this case?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And did Sony BMG and Arista do anything to confirm that
- 22 these were, in fact, its sound recordings?
- MR. TODER: Objection, hearsay.
- 24 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 25 BY MR. GABRIEL:

- 1 Q. Have you personally listened to these sound recordings
- 2 to confirm they're your own?
- 3 A. I have.
- 4 Q. And were they?
- 5 A. They were.
- 6 Q. Now, you indicated, Ms. Pariser, also earlier in terms
- 7 of the information that MediaSentry or SafeNet gets to the
- 8 record companies, that they downloaded the recordings. Do
- 9 you recall that?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. In what format do those come?
- 12 A. They are downloaded from the -- from Kazaa I believe in
- MP3 format and then they are captured on computers owned by
- 14 | SafeNet and then they can be moved into other forms of
- media, you can transfer them onto CD's or whatever.
- 16 Q. And did you actually hear MP3 files of the three
- 17 recordings that we're talking about?
- 18 A. I did.
- 19 | Q. Would you look at Exhibit 12, please.
- 20 A. Okay.
- 21 Q. Have you seen Exhibit 12 before?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. What is Exhibit 12?
- 24 A. Exhibit 12 is a CD. It is a -- technically, this is a
- 25 | CD-R. It is a recordable CD onto which are placed some of

- 1 the recordings at issue in this case.
- Q. And are the MP3 files you just referred to on this
- 3 recording?
- 4 A. Yes, they are.
- 5 Q. You listened to them yourself, correct?
- 6 A. I did.
- 7 Q. And did you confirm that the three recordings that you
- 8 identified were the MP3's on that CD?
- 9 A. Yes.
- MR. GABRIEL: Your Honor, we would -- I suppose
- 11 this is a conditional motion. We would move that portion of
- 12 Exhibit 12 that contains the three recordings owned by Sony
- 13 BMG and Arista.
- MR. TODER: No objection.
- THE COURT: Be admitted, those three songs.
- MR. GABRIEL: Thank you.
- 17 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 18 Q. And have you seen, ma'am, another document listing the
- 19 recordings at issue in this case?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Would you look at Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2, please.
- 22 A. Okay.
- 23 Q. Have you seen Exhibit 2 before?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And what is Exhibit 2?

- 1 A. Exhibit 2 are more recordings at issue in this case.
- 2 Q. And with the exception of the recording by the group
- 3 Godsmack, "Moon Baby," are all of those recordings at issue
- 4 in this case?
- 5 A. That's my understanding, yes.
- 6 MR. GABRIEL: Move Exhibit 2, Your Honor.
- 7 MR. TODER: No objection.
- 8 THE COURT: Be admitted.
- 9 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 10 Q. Do you, Ms. Pariser, see recordings on Exhibit 2 for
- which Sony BMG and Arista own the copyrights or the
- 12 exclusive rights?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Which, please?
- 15 A. Well, again, going down the first column, the first Sony
- 16 BMG listing is the fourth one. That's Gloria Estefan, "Here
- 17 We Are." Then after that is Journey, "Faithfully"; Sarah
- 18 McLachlan, "Possession"; Gloria Estefan, "Coming Out of the
- 19 Dark"; Gloria Estefan, "Rhythm is Gonna Get You." And
- 20 that's it.
- 21 Q. And you understand that Sony BMG and Arista are suing on
- 22 those recordings as well as the ones you've previously
- 23 described?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. And are you -- did you make any efforts in your role as

- counsel to confirm that these are your recordings?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. What did you do?
- 4 A. I've seen the files and they match perfectly the artist
- and song title associated with the copyrighted work owned by
- 6 my company.
- 7 | Q. Ma'am, you identified, as I counted them, eight Sony BMG
- 8 and Arista sound recordings that are at issue in this case,
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. Do you know whether Sony BMG Music and Arista have
- registered copyrights in any or all of those sound
- 13 recordings?
- 14 A. We have registered copyrights in all of them.
- 15 Q. Would you look at Exhibit 3, please.
- 16 A. Yes. Okay.
- 17 Q. And I'd ask you to flip through Exhibit 3 and see if
- 18 you -- tell us if you see any documents that relate to your
- 19 testimony that Sony BMG and Arista registered these
- 20 copyrights.
- 21 A. Okay. The first one that comes up in the book is
- Journey and it's SR number 30-088. We register copyrights
- by album, not by track. So this is for the album Escape.
- 24 That's the first one.
- 25 The next one --

```
1
                 MR. TODER: Counsel, could you refer to Bates
 2
       numbers?
 3
                 MR. GABRIEL: Yes. Thank you.
                 THE WITNESS: It's Bates 0016.
 4
 5
                 The next one is Destiny's Child for the album
       The Writing's On the Wall. This is SR 268-936 and that is
 6
 7
       Bates 28 and 29.
                 The next one is Surfacing by Sarah McLachlan.
 8
 9
       That's SR 243-027, Bates 26 and 27.
10
                 The next one is Gloria Estefan, Cuts Both Ways,
11
       SR 0 -- I'm sorry -- 107-742. That's Bates 583 and 584.
12
                 The next one is Journey for the album Frontiers,
       SR 43-228, Bates number 571 and 572.
13
14
                 And we have Sarah McLachlan's Fumbling Towards
       Ecstasy, SR 200-152, Bates 591 and 592.
15
16
                 The next one is Gloria Estefan, Into the Light,
       SR 208-812, Bates 593 and 594.
17
18
                 Next is Gloria Estefan, Let It Loose, SR 83-468,
       Bates 577 and 578.
19
20
                 I think that's it. That appears to be all of
21
       them.
22
       BY MR. GABRIEL:
23
       Q. Did Sony BMG and Arista register all of these
24
       copyrights?
25
       A. Yes.
```

- 1 MR. GABRIEL: I move Exhibit -- those portions,
- 2 Your Honor, the eight identified in Exhibit 3.
- MR. TODER: No objection.
- 4 THE COURT: Be admitted.
- 5 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 6 Q. Now, Ms. Pariser, as you flipped through and looked at
- 7 all of those, did you not notice that some -- strike that.
- 8 Let me ask first: The copyright registration
- 9 forms have the name of the entity registering the
- 10 copyrights; isn't that correct?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. Did you notice that some of the names of the registrants
- did not match exactly the name Sony BMG Music or Arista?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. For example, you saw some that said, "CBS Records,
- 16 Inc."?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And at least one called CBS, Inc.?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Do Sony BMG Music and/or Arista own the copyrights in
- 21 all of those?
- 22 A. Yes, every one.
- 23 Q. Can you explain that.
- 24 A. Yes. The names on the SR's -- we call them SR's. That
- 25 stands for sound recording. The names on the SR's for

- copyright registrations were the names of the company as it
- 2 was at the time the registration was issued. Those are
- 3 predecessors, predecessor corporations or prior names of the
- 4 companies that are here today.
- 5 Q. Ms. Pariser, prior to the issues that bring us here
- 6 today, did Sony BMG and Arista sell its recordings of
- 7 these -- of the songs at issue?
- 8 A. Yes, they did and still do sell to the public copies of
- 9 each one of these albums.
- 10 Q. And I take it -- have you seen the CD's themselves?
- 11 A. Absolutely.
- 12 Q. And was any language relating to copyright placed on the
- CD's and on the boxes of the CD's?
- 14 A. Sure.
- 15 Q. Would you explain what language is placed on them.
- 16 A. On the bottom of -- typically on the bottom of the back
- of a CD case, formerly a vinyl album, there's that small
- 18 | print and there's a lot of stuff in the small print, but
- among it is something -- there's a "C" in a circle and some
- 20 words and then there's a "P" in a circle and some words; and
- 21 those are what we call the copyright notices that we put on
- 22 the product that we sell.
- MR. GABRIEL: Your Exhibit, Honor 5 is a set of
- all of the CD's at issue in the case. I have pulled out, to
- 25 move us along, just the ones that Ms. Pariser identified.

1 May I approach? 2 THE COURT: You may. 3 MR. TODER: Excuse me, Counsel. Can I see them? MR. GABRIEL: Sure. 4 5 MR. TODER: Thank you. BY MR. GABRIEL: 6 7 Q. Ms. Pariser, do you recognize the CD's that I've just 8 handed you? 9 Yes, I recognize them. There are eight of them here. 10 And do those CD's contain the recordings you just described for us? 11 12 Α. Yes. And do those CD's have the little "C" in the circle and 13 14 "P" in the circle that you just described? 15 Do you want me to check each one? Α. 16 Please do. Ο. MR. TODER: We'll stipulate that they do. 17 18 MR. GABRIEL: Thank you. 19 THE WITNESS: Okay. 20 BY MR. GABRIEL: 2.1 Q. And I recognize the ladies and gentlemen of the jury 2.2 won't be able to see it from there. Could you just point 23 generally where it is. 24 On Journey it's down here (indicating), the bottom

left-hand corner. This is somewhat unusual in my

25

- experience. This Destiny's Child is all the way up here

  (indicating). Sarah McLachlan, down in the bottom, probably

  all the way across the bottom.

  Q. And that's good enough, Ms. Pariser. Are the same
  - A. Yes. On the actual physical CD there will be typically a logo, a trademark in the stylized font associated with the company, and underneath it will be that copyright notice.
- 9 Q. Ma'am, have you listened to all these CD's that we just 10 handed you --
- 11 A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

20

21

22

23

24

- 12 Q. -- at least as to the recordings that are at issue here?
- 13 A. Sure.
- Q. And are they, in fact, the recordings at issue here?
- 15 A. Yes.
- MR. GABRIEL: Your Honor, we would move those eight CD's, which are all part of Exhibit 5.
- 18 MR. TODER: No objection.

notices also on the CD itself?

- 19 THE COURT: Be admitted.
  - MR. GABRIEL: Your Honor, what I would like to do now -- and I will take the Court's preference -- we would like to play the MP3 file versus the CD for one recording, or pieces of it, if we may. We just need to put speakers up, perhaps over there (indicating), so the jurors can hear.
- 25 THE COURT: You may.

- 1 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 2 Q. Ms. Pariser, could I ask you to find Exhibit 12 again.
- 3 That was the MP3 files.
- 4 A. Sure.
- 5 Q. Can I ask you to put it in that player and find track 2,
- 6 if you would.
- 7 A. Okay.
- 8 Q. This is the MP3 files you identified, correct?
- 9 A. Yep, these are -- this is a compilation of the works at
- 10 issue in this case that were taken from the defendant's
- 11 Kazaa files.
- 12 Q. Would you go to track 2, please.
- 13 (Audio recording played.)
- 14 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 15 Q. That's good enough. If you can shut that down. And
- that was the MP3 file of which recording?
- 17 A. That's Journey.
- 18 Q. Now would you find the Journey CD of the same recording
- 19 and play that.
- 20 A. Sure. That's "Don't Stop Believin'." Here's the
- 21 | authorized version. This is the same sound recording that's
- on track 1 of the authorized version.
- 23 (Audio recording played.)
- BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 25 Q. All right. That's good enough, Ms. Pariser. Thank you.

- Now, you have played both the MP3 file and the
- 2 authorized file or track of the same recording, correct?
- 3 A. Yes. I have listened to the complete files of all
- 4 those.
- 5 Q. Ma'am, did Sony BMG Music or Arista ever give the
- 6 defendant here, Jammie Thomas, a license or any
- 7 authorization to copy any of their sound recordings?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Did Sony BMG or Arista ever give the defendant, Jammie
- 10 Thomas, a license to distribute any of their sound
- 11 recordings?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. You talked earlier about the share directory --
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. -- correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Have you seen the share directory yourself?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Would you look at Exhibit 6, please.
- 20 A. Okay. I've got it.
- 21 Q. Is this the share directory you've seen a copy of?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. I'm sorry. Excuse me. Ms. Pariser, could you -- in the
- 24 share folder did you see recordings owned by Sony BMG or
- 25 Arista other than the ones that you are suing on in this

1 case?

- 2 A. Yeah. There's a lot.
- 3 Q. Just cite a couple of examples, would you?
- 4 A. Okay. There's, it looks to be, about 25 or 30 Christina
- 5 Aguilera. There's some Barbra Streisand. There's more from
- 6 the same artists we've seen already, Destiny's Child.
- 7 Celine Dion has a very large number of recordings in here.
- 8 Q. That's good enough.
- 9 A. Okay.
- 10 Q. Could you explain to the ladies and gentlemen of the
- jury why you didn't assert claims for all of those.
- 12 A. Copyright damages in a civil case like this one can be
- based on a couple of different ways of measuring. In this
- case we're suing for what's called statutory damages.
- 15 There's a range of damages in the Copyright Act and it's a
- 16 very large range. It goes all the way to \$150,000 per work.
- 17 If we had sued on every one of the files in Ms. Thomas's
- 18 | share directory, the damages would be astronomical; and we
- 19 have no interest in pursuing cases like that.
- 20 Q. Ma'am, you've heard the actual recordings, the
- 21 | legitimate recordings. Can you again briefly describe what
- 22 goes into creating and marketing one of the legitimate
- 23 recordings in this case.
- 24 A. Sure. It's a very lengthy process. It starts with
- 25 what's called A&R. That's -- A&R stands for artist and

```
1
       repertoire. We have --
 2
                 THE COURT: Excuse me. Maybe this would be a good
 3
       place to stop, before she gets into her answer. We'll stop
       here. We'll start up again at 1:30. Have a good lunch.
 4
 5
       All rise for the jury.
 6
           (Jury excused.)
 7
                               IN OPEN COURT
                             (JURY NOT PRESENT)
 8
 9
                 THE COURT: Please be seated. Counsel, anything
10
       that we need to go over before we get started again after
11
       lunch?
12
                 MR. GABRIEL: Not from the plaintiffs, Your Honor.
13
       Thank you.
14
                 MR. TODER: Not from the defendant.
15
                 THE COURT: All right. We'll recess.
16
           (Lunch recess taken at 12:30 p.m.)
17
18
           (1:30 p.m.)
19
                               IN OPEN COURT
20
                             (JURY NOT PRESENT)
21
                 THE COURT: Counsel, you wanted to speak to me.
22
       Is there some issue that you wish to --
23
                 MR. GABRIEL: I'm not sure, Your Honor. I know
24
       that there was an e-mail from a witness.
25
                 THE COURT: Yes.
```

1 MR. GABRIEL: I mean, it is what it is. 2 subpoenaed him two weeks ago and his boss called, I guess, 3 this morning and was raising an issue about it. He's a He was properly subpoenaed. I don't know --4 witness. 5 THE COURT: I just wanted to let you know about it. 6 7 MR. GABRIEL: We appreciate that. 8 THE COURT: Any other issues? 9 MR. GABRIEL: Yes, Your Honor, one other issue. 10 I'm not quite sure how the Court treats sequestration 11 orders. We would like to have our expert have permission to 12 sit in the courtroom and listen to the testimony because he 13 relies on it for the opinions that he gives. I understand 14 Mr. Toder is going to object to that, but I do believe that 15 experts are permitted to hear the other evidence. 16 MR. TODER: Generally experts are, Your Honor, but 17 we -- he's not an expert yet in this court and we expect to 18 vigorously challenge his status as an expert here. 19 THE COURT: He may sit in and you still will be 20 able to challenge. 21 MR. TODER: We have one other item we would like 22 to bring to your attention. I see that the Court now has 23 instructed the jury that this is Capitol Records, et al. vs. 24 Jammie Thomas, you dropped Virgin. 25 THE COURT: Yes.

1 MR. TODER: We just want to make a point or a 2 record that we certainly hope this isn't changing the 3 caption of the case generally, because as a prevailing party we intend to seek attorney's fees from Virgin Records and I 4 5 think that will certainly -- there will be more proceedings 6 involving Virgin Records long after we're done here this 7 week. The Court doesn't feel 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 that with the Court dropping Virgin from the caption that's 10 going to stop you from bringing your motions to the Court 11 dealing with attorney's fees. 12 MR. TODER: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Anything further? 14 MR. GABRIEL: No, Your Honor. Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Let's bring the jury out. 16 IN OPEN COURT 17 (JURY PRESENT) 18 THE COURT: Let's continue. 19 MR. GABRIEL: May I proceed, Your Honor? 20 THE COURT: You may. 2.1 MR. GABRIEL: Good afternoon to you. Good 22 afternoon, Ladies and Gentlemen. 23 BY MR. GABRIEL: 24 Ms. Pariser, before we broke for lunch you had played 25 the MP3 recording of Journey and also the actual CD. It

occurred to me, as I thought about it, I'm not sure your testimony was clear.

A. Okay.

- Q. Could you explain what the MP3 was that you played for the jury.
  - A. Sure. I believe that's marked as Plaintiffs'

    Exhibit 12. I described it as a CD-R. It's a recordable CD medium. What's on it is copies of the downloaded files that SafeNet captured from the defendant's share directory on Kazaa. In other words, SafeNet in doing its work downloads a copy of various of the sound recordings at issue in the case.

That copy when they first capture it is on SafeNet's computer, it's on the memory of SafeNet's computer. And we couldn't possibly bring that big thing into the courtroom, so we made another copy and that is what is contained on Exhibit 12. And so this, what is on Exhibit 12, is a copy of what was in the share directory that SafeNet downloaded from the defendant's computer.

Q. Thank you for that.

When we broke I may have asked you or started to ask you the following question and that is if you would explain to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what goes into creating and marketing recordings at Sony BMG and Arista that are at issue in this case.

1 Sure. And can I just get the CD's back? Α. It starts --2 MR. GABRIEL: May I approach, Your Honor? 3 THE COURT: You may. I have an objection to relevance. 4 MR. TODER: 5 THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: It starts with A&R. A&R stands for 6 7 artists and repertoire. That is a group of people within 8 the record company who go out and look for musicians, for 9 They go -- they're all over the country. They go 10 to clubs. They go to performances. They go to -- they're 11 college students, often, who hear bands. They hear local 12 They hear things on the radio. talent. 13 And all of this data gets fed into the company, 14 who then makes decisions about what artists are going to be 15 signed to the label. And it's a rather -- it's thought to 16 be the most important part of making a record because this is where the fundamental creative decisions are made, what 17 18 artists are going to sell records. 19 Somebody might sound great in a particular venue 20 but isn't going to translate very well or performs a certain 2.1 genre of music that just isn't popular anymore. I mean, I'm 2.2 sure everybody has seen sort of waxes and wanes in 23 popularity of certain genres of music. 24 It's the job of the A&R people to have their

finger on the pulse of what is going to be -- not what is

25

currently popular, but what is going to be popular. And they go out and look for it and they find it and they bring it back to the record company and then artists are signed to recording contracts.

Then more work happens. The artists are given money by the company to make records. They go into -typically they go home for a while and they write music, if they're songwriters in addition to performers. And they go write music wherever they write it, in their home studios or in Starbucks or their basement or whatever. And they write music and they make demos, they make unfinished homemade copies of their music.

And they bring it back to the record company and they play it for the executives at the company. And the executives then get involved and say, We like this track a lot. We don't like this one so much. Let's do something -- we'll do some more with this. We'll add some more vocals. This one would sound great with a violin, et cetera. And that process goes on for a very long time and a lot of creative energy and money is spent on this process.

Then the artist goes to the recording studio, often with a group of other musicians who play along with them. All of these people are paid and compensated for their time. And recording studio time is purchased. It's fairly expensive.

And tracks are laid down, often a lot more than the ones that ultimately get on a CD. They might record two dozen tracks at a given recording session, which might take place over a number of days and weeks, and then decisions are made about which of those tracks are going to sound best on a finished album. A group of them are selected.

Often a decision will be made about what albums -what tracks are going to be considered the lead-off or hit
singles. Those are the ones that go on the radio. Not
every track on an album is going to be pulled out as a
single. So singles are created.

And then there is marketing that goes around the release of an album. Even before an album is distributed to retailers to be sold, there's what we call pre-release marketing. So the company will purchase ads in Rolling Stone and other music magazines. They will set it up with local radio stations. They'll send advance copies of the single to local radio stations. We'll send the artist to talk shows, radio talk shows and television talk shows, to talk about the album that's going to come out. Often an artist will tour, which is to say perform live in venues, will tour in support of an album before the album is even released. This is all done ahead of time so that by the time the album comes out, you have enthusiasm built into the marketplace before the product even arrives.

1 And then the album is released by the company to 2 retail stores and is made available for sale on the 3 Internet. And then more marketing occurs after the album is 4 released. We also pay for an artist to go on tour. 5 hopefully we sell records. BY MR. GABRIEL: 6 7 You mentioned just a minute ago marketing on the

- 8 Internet. You're talking about legally, correct?
- 9 I'm sorry? Α.
- 10 When you talked about marketing, the albums are made 11 available on the Internet?
- 12 Oh, yes, yes. I mean through services such as iTunes, 13 Rhapsody, any other legitimate source of acquisition of
- 14 music.
- 15 Ms. Pariser, has Sony BMG and Arista been harmed here?
- 16 Yes. Α.

22

23

24

25

- Would you describe that harm. 17 Ο.
- There's one thing you have to understand about 18 Α. 19 the record industry that I have found is a very common 20 misperception, which is that we only make money by selling 21 CD's and now by selling digital downloads.

Although we use radio as a tool to promote the sale of albums, when a song is played on the radio, record companies make absolutely nothing. When the artists tour, we make absolutely nothing. When artists sell T-shirts or

posters or pens, when they perform in videos or in movies, we make nothing. There is one and only one way that a record company makes money and that is selling these CD's or selling them digitally on the Internet.

So when people steal it either at Target -- if they steal it, if they shoplift it out of stores, if they copy it off Kazaa, if they take it without compensation, it kills the company. So of course we're harmed.

- Q. And has the harm been felt in terms of the size of your companies?
- A. I haven't done an actual study, but it's my personal belief just based on estimates -- I suppose I could find this stuff out if I wanted to -- that the company is half the size today that it was when I started in the year 2000, which is to say it employs half as many people worldwide. I have seen entire divisions cut, I have seen foreign offices closed, I've seen thousands of hardworking people lose their jobs because of piracy.
- Q. And does that -- when you talk about employees being cut, does that relate to the artist roster as well?
- A. Oh, for sure. The artist roster is a term of art that means the list of artists that are currently assigned to the company and who are putting out new records. We still sell a catalog of a lot of artists, but the artists who are making new music is on the artist roster and the artist

1 roster represents, you know, how many artists we stand 2 behind and market and promote; and it has shrunk 3 dramatically and that's a lot less music that is made 4 available to the public. 5 And you've heard us talking about and you've talked about copying and distribution on these peer-to-peer file 6 7 trade networks, correct? A. Yes. 8 9 Is there any difference in the harm between copying 10 illegally or distributing illegally? 11 Α. Yes. The terminology gets a little complicated, but 12 when an individual person makes a copy for themself, which is a nice way of saying steals one copy and just uses it for 13 14 their personal consumption, I suppose we've been harmed to the tune of one CD. 15 16 However, when that person takes that copy and then gives it to tens of thousands of other people, a vast 17 network of people have now gotten a copy for free that they 18 19 didn't have to buy and so the harm is increased 20 exponentially. 21 MR. GABRIEL: One moment, Your Honor. 22 (Pause.) 23 MR. GABRIEL: I have no further questions. Thank 24 you. 25 THE COURT: You may inquire.

- 1 MR. TODER: Thank you, Your Honor. I've got to
- 2 fire this up here. Good, it works.

## 3 CROSS EXAMINATION

- 4 BY MR. TODER:
- 5 Q. Good afternoon.
- 6 A. Hi.
- 7 Q. Earlier in your testimony today I think you referred to
- 8 an IP address of a person?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. IP addresses don't identify individual human beings, do
- 11 they?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. They actually identify accounts that are held by ISP's
- or Internet service providers, do they not?
- 15 A. That's true.
- Q. Let me show you Plaintiffs' Exhibit 6. I'm not real
- 17 good at this.
- MR. GABRIEL: While Mr. Toder is doing that, I'd
- 19 | note Exhibit 6 is not yet in evidence. We would stipulate
- 20 to its admissibility.
- 21 MR. TODER: I'm sorry. Your Honor, we have no
- 22 | objection to their Exhibit 6, which is right here.
- THE COURT: 6 will be admitted.
- 24 BY MR. TODER:
- 25 | Q. Exhibit 6 is a screen shot, is it not?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And you were talking about screen shots a little
- 3 | earlier. As best as you can see this, do you see over on
- 4 the left-hand side we have "tereastarr@kazaa"?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Is there an IP address anywhere published on this screen
- 7 shot?
- 8 A. I can't see one on the screen shot, no.
- 9 Q. Well, you know generally that IP addresses don't appear
- on screen shots, such as the ones we're looking at today?
- 11 A. That's right.
- 12 Q. That's true. So really the only way that you have any
- clue as to who might do this is by virtue of the fact that
- 14 | someone called themselves tereastarr@kazaa.com, correct?
- 15 A. No, no. Screen shots don't sort of float around out
- 16 there on their own.
- 17 Q. I'm not saying that they do. But these screen shots
- 18 were screen shots that were acquired by MediaSentry, who
- works for plaintiffs, correct?
- 20 A. Yeah. It's MediaSentry, SafeNet, same thing, right.
- 21 Q. Or SafeNet. And when they got these screen shots, I
- 22 understand that if you put this and some other evidence
- 23 together you come up with some theory as to who did this,
- 24 | but these in and of themselves don't identify an individual
- 25 person, correct?

- 1 A. In and of themselves -- well, let me think about that.
- 2 I'm not quite sure what you mean by "in and of themselves."
- 3 We have a host of evidence that --
- 4 Q. I'm not talking about the other evidence. I'm just
- 5 looking at this screen shot. I mean, you can look at all
- 6 kinds of little letters, you can look at numbers, and you
- 7 can look at all kinds of things on there, but the thing that
- 8 | identifies who that could be is tereastarr@kazaa, correct?
- 9 A. That's right.
- 10 Q. Now, you testified that Exhibit 12, these contain some
- 11 MP3 files?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 | Q. And you compared them to WAV files, the files you have
- on a CD? You played them here, I believe, didn't you?
- 15 A. Those aren't WAV files.
- 16 Q. Well, they're -- what are they? They're the files on
- 17 | the CD, though, right?
- 18 A. Yeah, you could say that.
- 19 Q. But you acquired -- or I should say MediaSentry acquired
- 20 those songs, those MP3 songs, by downloading from a list
- 21 | that was displayed to them on the screen shot, correct?
- 22 A. In speaking -- breaking it up and putting aside the
- 23 | legitimate copy, what's on Exhibit 12 is copies of the files
- 24 | that were downloaded by SafeNet from the files made
- 25 available to it on the Kazaa system. I --

- 1 Q. That's --
- 2 A. I don't believe that comes from the screen shot.
- 3 Q. I don't mean to interrupt you, but you testified that
- 4 these were downloaded from defendant's computer.
- 5 A. They are downloaded from the share directory on
- 6 defendant's computer.
- 7 Q. How do you know it's defendant's computer? Just by
- 8 looking -- you can't just look at the MP3's to tell what
- 9 computer it came from.
- 10 A. You -- that's correct. You need to get the evidence
- 11 from Charter linking the information back to the defendant,
- 12 which we did.
- Q. Okay. But what you did was is that you had MediaSentry
- download files that were offered by someone on the Internet
- 15 through Kazaa?
- 16 A. Right.
- 17 Q. And copies were made of those files?
- 18 A. Right.
- 19 Q. And these are the files that you have on Exhibit 12,
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. So to say that it was actually defendant's computer,
- 23 that's ultimately what you hope to prove during these
- 24 | proceedings, but there's nothing on the screen shots,
- 25 | there's nothing that came from what MediaSentry brought down

1 that identifies anything other than ultimately defendant's 2 IP address? 3 Yes, exactly. Α. And if someone, if someone hypothetically was in 4 5 defendant's house and took their -- let's just say there's a culprit out there. Let's say there's a culprit out there 6 7 who calls themselves tereastarr@kazaa and they had a 8 computer with all this information on there and they plugged 9 it into defendant's modem in her home. You could 10 conceivably have what you have here in Exhibit 12, correct? 11 MR. GABRIEL: Objection, calls for speculation. 12 THE COURT: Overruled. It's a hypothetical. Go 13 ahead. 14 THE WITNESS: Somebody masquerading as tereastarr 15 breaks into someone's house, a house that has an IP address 16 associated with it, and calls up Kazaa from that property, I 17 suppose that's hypothetically possible. 18 BY MR. TODER: 19 Well, hypothetically another computer with all this 20 stuff on there could have -- MediaSentry could have 21 downloaded it from it if that was true, correct? 22 It could have -- that's true. The person also -- the Α. 23 person has to intend -- as I understand the evidence in the

case, that person would have to have intended to implicate

the defendant because that hypothetical marauder is using

24

25

- 1 Ms. Thomas's known handle, tereastarr.
- Q. I didn't mean to come into a hypothetical where we keep
- 3 adding things to it. My simple point is that you testified
- 4 that the songs, the MP3 files, that were on Exhibit 12 you
- 5 said came from defendant's computer.
- 6 A. It came from the computer that was at the other end of
- 7 the line associated with the IP address from Charter.
- 8 Q. Thank you. And you believe that was defendant's?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. Thank you very much. Now, do you recognize Exhibit A
- 11 here? I have some highlighting on there.
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. I don't think it's going to get any better. You can
- 14 read it. Anyway, this is an exhibit that was attached to
- 15 | the Complaint in these proceedings?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. And I believe that you actually signed a verification to
- 18 the Complaint, among other people?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. At the time you said that Virgin Records America, Inc.,
- 21 you identified them as one of the other plaintiffs in this
- and now we find out that Virgin Records America, Inc., has
- 23 withdrawn from this case, that they are no longer claiming
- 24 | that that particular song and recording title should be
- 25 | subject to this lawsuit. Can you tell us why?

- 1 A. I don't know. I didn't verify as to the facts related
- 2 to the other plaintiffs.
- 3 Q. But you certainly are associated, you said, with the
- 4 RIAA. You all seem to sort of work together on this.
- 5 You're co-plaintiffs. You have the very same lawyer. Are
- 6 you telling me that you don't know why one of your fellow
- 7 co-plaintiffs decided to bail out of the case when --
- 8 recently, today as a matter of fact?
- 9 A. That's exactly what I'm telling you. I'm doing all I
- 10 can to keep all the facts related to my own company in my
- 11 head. If I have to add Virgin in there too, I think I'd
- 12 explode.
- Q. We don't want you to explode.
- 14 A. Okay. Thanks.
- 15 Q. We don't want you to explode.
- 16 Speaking of signing things and verifying things
- under oath, on August 28, 2007 in New York City you signed
- 18 under oath a declaration that was used in a motion in these
- 19 proceedings, did you not?
- 20 A. I did.
- 21 Q. You did. And one of the things that you said in that is
- 22 that plaintiffs observed defendant infringing them. Do you
- 23 recall that?
- 24 A. Plaintiffs observed defendant infringing the recordings
- 25 at issue.

1 MR. TODER: May I approach, Your Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

- 3 BY MR. TODER:
- 4 Q. I don't want to be unfair. Just take a look at
- 5 paragraph 4 of your declaration.
- 6 A. Okay.
- 7 Q. You say there under oath that plaintiffs observed
- 8 defendant infringing. What plaintiffs actually observed
- 9 Jammie Thomas infringing something? Was it Virgin? Was it
- 10 Capitol? Was it somebody that works for Sony? Did you
- 11 people actually observe defendant infringing?
- 12 A. Obviously nobody sat next to her in her computer room
- and watched her infringe the recordings, but it's very clear
- 14 to us, based on the evidence we received from Charter and
- from SafeNet, that she infringed our sound recordings.
- 16 | Q. May I have that back?
- 17 A. Sure.
- 18 | Q. So it's your testimony under oath that you really didn't
- observe, but you signed this because you said it's clear to
- 20 you, correct?
- 21 A. I think "observation" can mean a number of different
- 22 things. I observe it in the way that people take notice of
- 23 something. I have taken notice of the fact that Ms. Thomas,
- 24 who owns a particular IP address --
- MR. TODER: Object. This is nonresponsive, Your

- 1 Honor. It was a "yes" or "no" question.
- THE COURT: Sustained.
- 3 BY MR. TODER:
- 4 Q. Do you recall testifying a little bit about what you
- 5 have here in Exhibit 19?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And I again apologize for the fact this is out of focus.
- 8 I was going to put these in the computer, but --
- 9 THE COURT: Excuse me. Can you help him out on
- 10 this?
- MR. TODER: I hit auto focus. You think computers
- are a fad that are going to go the way of the Hula Hoop when
- 13 you use things like this. All you can do is auto, right?
- 14 That's close. I think we can still read that.
- 15 BY MR. TODER:
- 16 Q. Can you read this okay?
- 17 A. Honestly, no, but I have a copy in front of me.
- 18 Q. That's great.
- 19 A. If it's all right, I will refer to the piece of paper.
- 20 Q. Absolutely. That's great. You were explaining this
- 21 letter, you were talking about that a little bit. How much
- 22 are you suing, you Sony, how much are you suing the
- 23 defendant for?
- $24 \mid A$ . We are suing her for a number that will ultimately be
- 25 | the jury's determination, but in the statutory range between

- 1 | 750 and 150,000 dollars per work.
- 2 Q. So you want at least \$750 from her for each song that
- 3 | Sony claims was stolen?
- 4 A. That is what is derived from the Copyright Act, so yes.
- 5 Q. You're not suing for actual damages?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. You actually have a choice, you could sue for actual
- 8 damages or you could sue for statutory damages, right?
- 9 A. Exactly.
- 10 Q. And what are your actual damages caused by whoever
- downloaded 26 songs, 25 songs now, off the Internet on
- 12 | February 21st? Have you ever stopped to think about what
- 13 those damages might be?
- MR. GABRIEL: Objection, relevance.
- 15 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Yes, but first let me -- can I
- 17 | please correct something you said in your question?
- 18 THE COURT: Excuse me. You answer the question
- 19 that's before you.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Okay. No, we haven't stopped to
- 21 | calculate the number that -- the amount of damage that has
- been suffered by persons downloading 25 tracks, but I don't
- think that's what's at issue in this case either.
- 24 BY MR. TODER:
- 25 Q. You don't? Well, first of all, you said that people who

- download, they give it to tens of thousands of other people?
- 2 A. Exactly.
- 3 | Q. Do you know whether or not whoever downloaded those 26
- 4 songs on February 21st, do you know if they gave it,
- 5 actually gave it to tens of thousands of other people; do
- 6 you know that?
- 7 A. I know that 25 songs, among others, were distributed on
- 8 the Internet to any -- to the millions of Internet
- 9 subscribers.
- 10 Q. Well, the first -- so the answer to my first question
- would be no, correct, you don't know?
- 12 A. Yes, I do know.
- 13 Q. All right. I'll ask the question again because I think
- I lost it somewhere in that. Of the 25 songs that were
- offered on February 21st by someone who used the name
- 16 tereastarr@kazaa.com --
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. -- do you know that these songs went out to tens of --
- 19 tens of thousands of people actually acquired those songs
- and put them on their computer?
- 21 A. I don't know how many people made downloads from the
- 22 particular copies available in this share drive, but --
- 23 Q. The only evidence we have in this case right now is that
- 24 one entity did that, MediaSentry, who works for you,
- 25 correct?

- 1 A. We know that -- I cannot identify the particular other
- 2 entities, other than SafeNet, who acquired copies from the
- 3 defendant, but I know that many people did.
- 4 Q. You do? How do you know that many people did of those
- 5 | 25 songs that were allegedly downloaded by someone using
- 6 Kazaa on February 21st; how do you know that?
- 7 A. That's the way the system works.
- 8 Q. Oh. So that's the way the system works, but you don't
- 9 know about those particular songs, correct, from that screen
- 10 shot?
- 11 A. I don't know from the screen shot.
- 12 Q. What do you know from?
- 13 A. I know that the music that -- I know that each one of
- 14 | the 25 songs was distributed through the Kazaa network
- 15 and --
- 16 Q. What do you mean by -- I'm sorry. What do you mean by
- 17 "distributed"?
- 18 A. It is -- they were copied. They were copied from her.
- 19 They were made available for copying and they were copied.
- 20 Q. Okay. You have to have two people to -- at least two
- 21 people to distribute something, do you not?
- 22 A. Typically, yes.
- 23 Q. So you've got somebody acting as tereastarr@kazaa.com.
- 24 In order for them to distribute these 25 songs, somebody had
- 25 to acquire these 25 songs in order for there to be a

1 distribution, correct? 2 MR. GABRIEL: Objection, Your Honor, relevance and 3 this calls for a legal conclusion. MR. TODER: It's --4 5 MR. GABRIEL: There's a legal issue here and I can explain it at the bench if Your Honor prefers. 6 7 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. TODER: 8 9 Q. You can't identify an entity other than MediaSentry or 10 SafeNet that actually copied songs off of someone using the 11 name tereastarr@kazaa.com on February 21, 2005, correct? 12 I don't know particular names of entities. 13 Q. You just think that they exist because that's how it 14 usually happens, right? 15 It has to happen that way. Otherwise the system breaks 16 That's the way Kazaa works. No one is --17 THE COURT: Excuse me, ma'am. 18 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 19 THE COURT: You are going to have to listen to the 20 question and answer the question. What is your specific 2.1 knowledge, not some hypothetical, about how the system 22 works? We're talking about a specific song. 23 BY MR. TODER: 24 On February 21st, those 25 songs, as to who they were 25 distributed to.

- 1 A. I don't know the reference to the particular date. I
- 2 know that SafeNet acquired copies of them. That's all I
- 3 know in terms of who I can identify.
- 4 Q. Thank you. And SafeNet works for you guys, right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- Q. Do you work with SafeNet or MediaSentry when they go
- 7 about acquiring names in their investigations?
- 8 A. They work for us, yes.
- 9 Q. Do you supervise them?
- 10 A. Not directly.
- 11 Q. Do you make certain that they're careful about what
- 12 they're doing?
- 13 A. I hope they are careful, yes.
- 14 Q. How many dead people have you sued?
- 15 A. I don't know.
- 16 MR. GABRIEL: Objection, Your Honor, relevance,
- 17 403.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Can I expound on that a little bit?
- THE COURT: Excuse me.
- 20 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
- 21 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 22 BY MR. TODER:
- 23 Q. Have you sued people that turned out not to be people
- 24 who ended up sharing or copying or distributing copyrighted
- 25 materials, as alleged in the complaints?

1 MR. GABRIEL: Same objections, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled. She can answer that.

3 THE WITNESS: I know that we have terminated

4 lawsuits against certain defendants who we felt we didn't

have sufficient evidence to continue the case against.

- 6 BY MR. TODER:
- 7 Q. Do you recall the name Gertrude Walton as being one of
- 8 them?

- 9 A. No, actually.
- 10 Q. You've never heard the name Gertrude Walton?
- 11 A. I don't want to say I've never heard of it. It doesn't
- 12 | come to mind right now.
- 13 Q. Perhaps I can refresh your recollection.
- MR. GABRIEL: Your Honor, objection again,
- 15 relevance, foundation, 403.
- 16 MR. TODER: Your Honor, I am testing the witness's
- credibility about how carefully they're going about their
- 18 business.
- 19 THE COURT: Overruled. Continue.
- 20 BY MR. TODER:
- 21 Q. You're not aware that Gertrude Walton was deceased at
- 22 the time that she was sued?
- 23 A. Deceased at the time she was sued? Well, she might have
- 24 been, but that doesn't mean that she wasn't alive when the
- 25 infringements occurred.

- Q. Do you know whether or not she was -- that when she was
- 2 alive she did any infringing?
- 3 A. I assume --
- 4 Q. We don't want you to assume anything.
- 5 A. Okay.
- 6 Q. We want to know what you know.
- 7 A. Well, I don't remember that case at all, so I don't know
- 8 anything, but --
- 9 Q. Thank you. How about the name Sarah Ward, are you
- 10 familiar with the Sarah Ward case, someone who was sued and
- 11 | it turned out it wasn't Sarah Ward? Have you ever come
- 12 across that name in your work with MediaSentry?
- 13 A. It rings a bell, but I don't recollect the
- 14 circumstances.
- 15 Q. How about Candy Chan?
- 16 A. Again, I recollect the name, but I don't recall with
- 17 precision what the circumstances of that case are.
- 18 | O. Paul Wilke?
- 19 A. No memory of that.
- 20 Q. Do you know what percentage of the people that
- 21 | MediaSentry identifies as having been a culprit of some
- 22 sort, how many of them turn out not to be, do you have any
- 23 percentages that you know of as opposed to, you know, assume
- 24 or guess; or is that something I should take up with
- 25 MediaSentry?

- 1 A. They would certainly be a more accurate source than
- 2 myself.
- 3 Q. Okay.
- 4 A. I've been very satisfied with their work and know that
- 5 any problems we have are exceptional.
- Q. You've been very satisfied with their work?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. And that's because -- how many suits do you think the
- 9 RIAA has filed in the last three or four years?
- 10 A. A few thousand.
- 11 Q. Would you say more like 20,000?
- 12 A. No, I think that's probably a bit of an overstatement.
- 13 Q. Probably or is it an overstatement? Do you have a
- 14 number?
- 15 A. I would have to take out a calculator, but I would say
- something less than 20,000.
- 17 Q. Okay. And you guys have gotten millions and millions of
- dollars from these suits, have you not?
- MR. GABRIEL: Objection, relevance, 403.
- 20 MR. TODER: Your Honor, the witness testified
- 21 | about how piracy has killed the company and how it's half
- 22 the size it is today. She opened this up.
- THE COURT: Overruled.
- 24 THE WITNESS: We've lost money on this program.
- 25 BY MR. TODER:

- 1 Q. You said that Sony is half the size that it is today
- 2 because of, you said, piracy?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. It couldn't be because a CD costs \$18 nowadays, could
- 5 it?
- 6 A. A CD doesn't cost \$18 now.
- 7 Q. Some do, don't they?
- 8 A. I haven't seen one at that price point in a very long
- 9 time.
- 10 MR. TODER: I have no further questions. Thank
- 11 you.
- 12 THE COURT: Any redirect?
- MR. GABRIEL: Yes, Your Honor, just very briefly.
- 14 Thank you.

## 15 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- 16 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 17 Q. Mr. Toder asked you a fairly lengthy hypothetical -- I
- don't remember it all -- about someone getting into
- 19 Ms. Thomas's house, plugging in a hard drive, using her
- 20 name. Do you remember generally the hypothetical you were
- 21 asked?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Do you have any evidence, have you seen any evidence in
- 24 this case to support any such thing?
- 25 A. No.

1 Do you believe that that's what happened in this case 2 based on what you know about this case? 3 MR. TODER: Objection, opinion, 701. MR. GABRIEL: He opened the door. 4 5 THE COURT: She may answer. THE WITNESS: No. 6 7 BY MR. GABRIEL: 8 Why not? 0. 9 Well, I've seen the deposition testimony that the 10 defendant gave and that wasn't her contention. 11 Q. Mr. Toder showed you a declaration that you gave in this 12 case about observing Jammie Thomas. Do you believe, ma'am, 13 that the record companies did, in fact, observe who they now 14 know to be Jammie Thomas distributing their recordings on Kazaa? 15 16 MR. TODER: Objection, relevance, foundation. THE COURT: Foundation. Sustained. 17 18 BY MR. GABRIEL: 19 Q. You gave a declaration that Mr. Toder showed you, 20 correct? 2.1 Α. Yes. 2.2 Q. And it indicated or it made a statement to the effect --23 I don't have it with me -- that you observed Jammie Thomas 24 distributing on Kazaa, correct?

25

A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Was your testimony under oath true?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. You've said a couple of times -- or Mr. Toder asked you
- 4 about whether SafeNet works for us guys, the record
- 5 companies. What's the relationship between the record
- 6 companies and SafeNet?
- 7 A. SafeNet is -- was hired through a contractual
- 8 arrangement by the Recording Industry Association, which I
- 9 explained is the industry's trade association. So
- indirectly they work for the record companies, but they work
- primarily on a day-to-day basis with the recording industry
- 12 people.
- 13 Q. They have a contract with the record --
- 14 A. Yes, they have a contract.
- 15 Q. They are not a division of any of the companies?
- 16 A. No, no.
- Q. Can I ask you to look at Exhibit 6, the share directory,
- 18 | please. Ma'am, can you see the bottom? There's a line
- along the bottom that's kind of in fuzz. Can you read it?
- 20 It's kind of relatively low.
- 21 A. The one that starts, "Found"?
- Q. No. We've pulled it out on the screen so you can
- perhaps see it better. It's at the very bottom.
- 24 A. I have it.
- 25 Q. Now, this is the share folder that you believe to be the

- defendant's, correct?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. And Mr. Toder asked you questions about how many people
- 4 were sharing files, did he not?
- 5 A. He did.
- Q. Does the Kazaa share folder say how many people were
- 7 | sharing files at the time?
- 8 A. It does.
- 9 Q. Can you tell us what it says.
- MR. TODER: Objection. Can you tell which time?
- 11 The question propounded was on February 21st.
- 12 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 13 Q. On February -- do you understand when this share folder
- 14 | was shot, the pictures were shot?
- 15 A. My understanding is that it was February 21st.
- 16 Q. All right. And does this document show how many files
- 17 | were being shared at the date and time this was shot?
- 18 A. It does.
- 19 Q. What does it say?
- 20 A. It says that 2.3 million users were online at that
- 21 | moment and they were sharing 848 million files.
- MR. GABRIEL: Thank you. Nothing further.
- 23 MR. TODER: Can you just leave that up for a
- 24 | second, please?

## 1 RECROSS EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. TODER: 3 Do you know what the date of this screen shot is? The screen shot doesn't have a date on it. 4 Α. 5 So we don't know if it was February 21st, do we? I assume SafeNet can --6 Α. 7 Q. We don't have to assume anything. We don't know what 8 day it is we are looking at on the screen? 9 A. We don't know by looking at this piece of paper. 10 MR. TODER: Okay. Thanks. I have no further 11 questions. 12 THE COURT: You may step down. 13 Call your next witness, please. 14 MR. GABRIEL: The plaintiffs call Mark Weaver, Your Honor. 15 16 Your Honor, while we're waiting, we do not 17 anticipate calling Ms. Pariser again. May she stay in the 18 courtroom? 19 THE COURT: She may. 20 (Witness sworn.) 2.1 THE COURT: Good afternoon. Would you state your 2.2 true and correct name for the record, please. 23 THE WITNESS: Mark Weaver. 24 THE COURT: Would you spell your last name for the 25 record.

```
1
                 THE WITNESS: W-e-a-v-e-r.
 2
                 THE COURT: And your first name.
 3
                 THE WITNESS: M-a-r-k.
                 THE COURT: You may inquire.
 4
 5
                 MR. GABRIEL:
                                Thank you, Your Honor.
                                (Mark Weaver)
 6
 7
                            DIRECT EXAMINATION
       BY MR. GABRIEL:
 8
 9
           Good afternoon, sir.
10
       Α.
           Hi.
11
           Mr. Weaver, what do you do for a living?
12
           I am the director of MediaSentry operations at SafeNet.
       Α.
13
           And do you do that today?
       Q.
14
       Α.
           I do.
15
           Who did you work for in February of 2005?
16
           I worked for MediaSentry. MediaSentry was acquired by
17
       SafeNet in July of 2005.
18
           So if we use MediaSentry and SafeNet interchangeably,
19
       we're talking about the same company?
20
       Α.
          Certainly, yes.
2.1
           Can you explain to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury
2.2
       what is SafeNet.
23
           SafeNet is a company that provides Internet information
24
       security products and services to companies and to the
```

government. They provide things like secure routers,

- 1 encryption devices, hardware that enables companies to
- 2 manage software licenses, things like that. SafeNet is a
- 3 division of -- excuse me. MediaSentry is a division of
- 4 SafeNet that focuses on online antipiracy services.
- 5 | Q. You used some phrases that I'm not sure all of us know.
- 6 You talked about secure routers and encryption devices.
- 7 What are those? Just at a high level, please.
- 8 A. Sure. They're pieces of hardware that are used on the
- 9 Internet to secure transmissions either on the Internet or
- 10 by phone and so forth. So if you want to -- for example, if
- 11 the President wants to encrypt his phone conversations, he
- would use a secure phone, for example. Things like that.
- 13 Q. Since you have been at SafeNet, Mr. Weaver, has SafeNet
- 14 done work for the recording industry?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And have you personally done work for the recording
- 17 industry?
- 18 A. Yes, I have.
- 19 Q. Could you explain the work that SafeNet has done for the
- 20 recording industry.
- 21 A. Basically we search online file trading networks for
- 22 songs that are owned by the recording companies. We find
- users that are distributing these songs and then we download
- 24 a sample of what they are offering and we provide a detailed
- 25 | capture report to the record companies.

- 1 Q. And can you describe in a little more detail the
- 2 detailed capture report you just mentioned.
- 3 A. It's a list of all of the things that we found. It
- 4 | would include the log files for the transactions that we
- 5 make between the user's computer and our computer. It would
- 6 contain, of course, the song files that we downloaded.
- 7 Things like that.
- 8 Q. Are you familiar with a program called Kazaa?
- 9 A. I am.
- 10 Q. What is Kazaa?
- 11 A. Kazaa is a file sharing application or program that can
- be used to access the Kazaa or it's also called the
- 13 FastTrack network to find people that are offering files for
- download and actually download those files. It can also be
- used to play your media collection as well.
- 16 Q. Mr. Weaver, are you familiar with the term "capture" as
- it relates to SafeNet's work for the recording industry?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. What does that mean?
- 20 A. Well, a capture is whenever we would use the Kazaa
- 21 program to search for users that are distributing our
- 22 clients' songs. We would find users using the Kazaa
- 23 program. We would then use the Kazaa program to look at
- 24 everything that that user is distributing using the view of
- 25 user's collection feature.

We would then take screen shots, which are basically sort of photographs of the screens, the thing that your print screen on your keyboard does. We will take screen shots of that share folder.

And then we use the Kazaa application to actually download a sample of the songs that the user is distributing. And while we're doing that, we'll also -- we have a program which monitors the traffic going back and forth.

And so when that process is finished, we just combine all of those things up into a bundle of data that we then pass onto the record companies. And that's basically a capture or a capture report.

- Q. You just used the phrase "monitors traffic." Could you describe --
- A. When I use the term "traffic," I'm talking about the data that goes back and forth over the Internet. So whenever I, for example, were to download a file, the file has to get to me, so it will be streaming to me. And that's what I mean when I say "traffic."
- Q. Did you, Mr. Weaver, make a capture that has a bearing on this case?
- 23 A. I did.

- Q. And when was that?
- 25 A. It was on February 21st of 2005 at about 11:00 p.m.

- Q. And can you explain generally what you did to make the capture that's at issue here.
- 3 A. Sure. It was pretty much what I just said. We used the
- 4 Kazaa application to search for users. We found a user by
- 5 the name of tereastarr that was sharing copyrighted songs.
- 6 We looked at all of the songs in that user's share folder.
- 7 We took a screen shot of everything that we saw in that
- 8 | share folder. We downloaded a sample of the songs that were
- 9 in the share folder and provided that and other evidence
- 10 | that I've already mentioned to the record companies.
- 11 Q. And what is a share folder, please?
- 12 A. A share folder is a location on your computer that a
- 13 user puts material, content, audio files, video files, that
- 14 the user wants to distribute to other people out on the
- 15 Internet that are using that particular application.
- So if I want to distribute a favorite album, I'll
- put all the audio files from that album into my share
- 18 | folder. So everything that's in the share folder is shared
- 19 using that application. If it isn't in the share folder,
- 20 it's not shared in the application.
- 21 Q. There are a number of notebooks in front of you. If you
- 22 can find Exhibit 6. It would be in Book 2 of 3.
- 23 A. Excuse me. If I can ask for a cup of water.
- 24 | MR. GABRIEL: May I approach, Your Honor?
- THE COURT: (Indicating.)

- 1 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 2 Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 6, Mr. Weaver?
- 3 A. Yes, I do.
- 4 Q. What is Exhibit 6, please?
- 5 A. Exhibit 6 are the screen shots that we took of the share
- 6 folder of the user tereastarr.
- 7 Q. And when did you take these screen shots?
- 8 A. These were taken during the capture on February 21st.
- 9 Q. About what time?
- 10 A. 11:00, a little after 11:00 p.m.
- 11 Q. And can you just explain what this share folder shows.
- 12 A. Sure. It's just a snapshot of the library of the user.
- 13 The first column here identifies the user name, which is
- 14 tereastarr. The second column identifies the file name of
- 15 ultimately all of the files. Then there is data relating to
- 16 the artist, the size of the file, and then the media type.
- 17 | If you go forward a couple pages --
- MR. GABRIEL: Go to page 3, Tim.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Right here you're looking at
- 20 song files here on this screen capture. You have the file
- 21 | name in the second column, you have the artist in the third
- 22 | column, the size of the file, and then the media type. All
- 23 of these are audio.
- BY MR. GABRIEL:

Q. Do you know, Mr. Weaver, at the date and time you made

- 1 this capture how many files were in the share folder?
- 2 A. Kazaa is advertising 1,955 files.
- 3 Q. When you say "Kazaa is advertising," that's what Kazaa
- 4 reports?
- 5 A. Kazaa is reporting that down in the lower left of the
- 6 screen capture.
- 7 Q. And that's based on how Kazaa counts?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. And how many music files were in this share folder?
- 10 A. We counted over 1,700.
- 11 Q. At the bottom of the screen, maybe you can see --
- MR. GABRIEL: Tim, can you pull that out?
- 13 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- Q. Do you see on the bottom of this Exhibit 6 there's a
- 15 statement that says, "Not sharing any files"?
- 16 A. Right.
- 17 Q. Are you familiar with that?
- 18 A. I am.
- 19 Q. Could you explain to the ladies and gentlemen of the
- 20 jury what that means.
- 21 A. Yes. As I mentioned, we use the Kazaa application to
- 22 actually find the user and to look at the user's share
- 23 directory. So what you're looking at is the MediaSentry
- 24 | computer and what this is indicating is that MediaSentry is
- 25 | not sharing any files. Tereastarr is sharing files, they're

indicated on the screen, but MediaSentry is not.

2 Q. So you described your process of capture. So after you

have now captured and taken these screen shots, which you

4 have said are like photographs, then what did you do?

A. Then what we do is we actually select all of the items

6 in the user's share directory and you do that in Kazaa by

7 just saying select all, and then we select the option to

8 download. We actually start a download of everything that's

9 displayed in the share directory.

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What that does is Kazaa creates files called DAT files in the MediaSentry -- on the MediaSentry computer, which contain all of this metadata that you are seeing up on this screen and enables us to download these songs.

At that point we, however, stop the downloads, shut down the Kazaa application, and we proceed to analyze all of the DAT files that were created on our computer as a result of starting the download from this user's share directory.

- Q. Let me stop you there for a minute, Mr. Weaver. You used the phrase or term "metadata" in your answer. Could you explain for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what metadata is.
- A. Sure. Metadata is the data about the file, about the song. So if you have a file that's an MP3 audio file, the file itself plays music. There's, however, some information

in that file that doesn't play music that tells you about the audio portion of the file. And it doesn't inherently tell you anything. It gives users the ability to actually include information about the file.

So you may look at this first file. The file name itself is called "08 - Eric Serra" -- I can't quite read it -- "Five Millenia Later.mp3," but a user somewhere has assigned the artist tag in that metadata to be the artist Eric Serra. There's a metatag -- there's metadata in there as well for an audio type of audio. There presumably could be some metadata assigning the album, assigning the artist, assigning the actual song name, et cetera.

What this does is gives you the ability to catalog your 1,955 files in a way that you can find things and sort things, et cetera.

- Q. And I'll come back and talk about metadata a bit more in just a while. You also used the phrase "DAT files." What are DAT files?
- A. DAT files are specific creations of the Kazaa program. It's the way Kazaa actually handles the download. Without getting too technical, when you start to download a file, the first thing that happens is a DAT file is created on your computer and then as you download information, traffic we talked about before, that DAT file grows in size with the contents of that MP3 file. And when the download is

- completed, that file becomes, then, your MP3 file. The process is done and you have your music file.
  - Q. Thank you. Now, you indicated -- just picking up where you left off, you said you began the download and it starts running and these DAT files get created and then you stop the download, correct?
  - A. That's right.

- Q. And what happens after that?
  - A. After that we do an analysis of all of the files in the user's collection. We try to separate out the number of audio files and video files, et cetera, and then from that list we just take a sampling of audio files to actually download.

So then using the Kazaa application we download those files. The way we do it is restart the Kazaa application with only the DAT files belonging to the songs we picked in that folder.

So when we open it up, Kazaa starts the download from the user in question and we complete the download. We actually get the entire MP3 file that's being distributed from the user, in this case tereastarr.

- Q. So would it be accurate, Mr. Weaver, you actually started the download for all -- however many files, over 1,700?
- A. We started the process, right.

- 1 Q. And you stopped, so you don't get complete recordings of
- 2 all the 1,700, but then you did get complete recordings of a
- 3 sampling of 11; is that right?
- 4 A. That's correct. We got complete MP3 files for 11 of the
- 5 shared files.
- 6 Q. Would you find Exhibit 12, please.
- 7 A. For 12 I have a green sheet of paper.
- 8 Q. There should be a CD somewhere in a box, a white CD.
- 9 Maybe look around you there.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Does that have a label with Exhibit 12 on there?
- 12 A. It says, "Pltf. #12."
- 13 | Q. Mr. Weaver, did we ask you to listen to the MP3 files
- 14 that you downloaded?
- 15 A. We do that as a matter of course.
- 16 Q. And we asked you to do that before you came here today
- 17 as well, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Could you verify -- or did you verify that Plaintiffs'
- 20 Exhibit 12 has eight of the recordings that you downloaded?
- 21 A. Yes, it does.
- 22 Q. And you did that in the evening of November -- excuse
- 23 | me -- February 21, 2005 at about 11:00 p.m.?
- 24 A. We didn't listen to them then. We downloaded them at
- 25 | that point. We listened to the files the next business day.

- 1 Q. And confirmed that those were the ones you downloaded?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 MR. GABRIEL: Your Honor, I had moved in three of
- 4 the MP3 files, I think, in that exhibit, maybe two. I'd
- 5 move the entire exhibit at this point.
- 6 MR. TODER: No objection.
- 7 THE COURT: Be admitted.
- 8 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 9 Q. Mr. Weaver, with respect to these MP3 files, where did
- 10 those come from?
- 11 A. The MP3 files came from the share directory of songs
- 12 distributed by tereastarr on the Kazaa network.
- 13 Q. So tereastarr distributed at least those to you,
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. Would you look at Exhibit 1 now, please. Sorry for
- 17 | making you jump around.
- 18 A. It's a different book.
- 19 Q. We'll put it up, if that's easier, too.
- 20 A. Okay.
- 21 Q. Mr. Weaver, have you seen Exhibit 1 before?
- 22 A. Yes, I have.
- 23 Q. To move this along, do you recognize this as the same
- 24 eight with the exception of the Janet Jackson recording --
- 25 strike that.

- These recordings are recordings you downloaded
- 2 from MP3 files that evening --
- 3 A. Yes, they are.
- 4 Q. -- February 21st?
- And is it accurate, then, that every one of these
- 6 recordings -- with the exception of the Janet Jackson
- 7 recording, which I'll take out for now, the other ones were
- 8 in the share directory?
- 9 A. They were in the share directory, yes.
- 10 Q. On February 21, 2005 around 11:00 p.m., correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Would you look at Exhibit 2, please. We can just put
- 13 | that up. Well, you've got it.
- 14 A. I have it.
- 15 Q. Mr. Weaver, are you able to confirm that every one of
- 16 the recordings listed on Exhibit 2 was also in the share
- directory on the evening of February 21, 2005 at around
- 18 | 11:00 p.m.?
- 19 A. Yes, I can.
- 20 Q. Now, are these the ones that are among those that you
- 21 began a download on each of them?
- 22 A. We began a download of everything in the share
- 23 directory, so yes.
- 24 Q. And then did Kazaa report back what was there to you?
- 25 A. Yes. The DAT files contain information on what is there

- 1 in the share directory, so yes.
- 2 Q. And what did Kazaa report back to you regarding these
- 3 files?
- 4 A. It reported back that they were available for download
- 5 with a specific file name and other metadata that's outlined
- 6 in our system log -- excuse me -- in our user log.
- 7 Q. Did Kazaa report back that there were MP3 files there?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And, again, you've indicated you started a download.
- 10 You could have downloaded every single one of these,
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. We could have, yes, assuming something else didn't
- happen that would interrupt that process, but yes.
- 14 Q. Some computer problem or something?
- 15 A. Right.
- 16 Q. Okay. And so all of these were there for MediaSentry or
- 17 | SafeNet to download, it started downloading, and then chose
- 18 | not to complete the download?
- 19 A. We chose not to complete the download, that's right.
- 20 Q. But you did enough to recognize there were MP3 files
- 21 there, as reported, correct?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. Now, you mentioned that MediaSentry or SafeNet
- 24 downloaded a bunch of other data, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. I would like to turn to that now. Would you look at
- 2 Exhibit 7, please.
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 7?
- 5 A. I do. It's our system log.
- Q. Could you describe for the ladies and gentlemen of the
- 7 jury what a system log is.
- 8 A. System log is something of MediaSentry's creation. It's
- 9 an accounting for our purposes of what happened and when we
- initiated and completed the download process of songs from
- 11 this user.
- 12 Q. And was this system log created on the evening of
- February 21, 2005 at approximately 11:00 p.m.?
- 14 A. It was actually created about an hour and a half later
- when we finished the downloads. So that would put it into
- 16 the following day, the morning of the 22nd of February.
- 17 Q. And does it accurately reflect the system log
- information as of that evening?
- 19 A. It does.
- 20 Q. And is this the kind of document that's created in the
- 21 ordinary course of SafeNet's business?
- 22 A. Yes, it is.
- 23 Q. And is it maintained in the ordinary course of SafeNet's
- 24 business?
- 25 A. Yes, it is.

1 MR. GABRIEL: Move Exhibit 7, Your Honor. 2 MR. TODER: No objection. 3 THE COURT: Be admitted. BY MR. GABRIEL: 4 5 And can I ask you, Mr. Weaver -- I recognize it's a little hard to read up here. I apologize. Would you give a 6 7 very high level explanation of what this document shows. 8 A. Sure. The first block of data, everything above the 9 equal signs there, basically says that our system is ready 10 to go on a download process for a particular user, that 11 section right there (indicating). So it identifies the user 12 tereastarr, other internal information. 13 And then we go ahead and start the downloads and 14 the next block of data in the system log shows us when each 15 of those downloads finish. So the first line, we logged on 16 the 21st, February 21st, at 11:41 and 29 seconds and that we finished downloading a file named "08 - Finger Eleven - Last 17 18 Scene of Struggling.mp3." And then it has the hash ID, 19 which is a unique identifier on that network. 20 And it does that for each of the 11 songs that we 21 downloaded, just one after another. If this was on a wider 22 piece of paper, it wouldn't look so messy. It would be one 23 per line. And then the next block of data is where the 24

system logs when we actually started the transaction, the

download transaction through the Kazaa application. So after we finish the download, we'll then go ahead and put in the log when that happened.

So you look at the very first line, we logged at 12:25 on the 22nd that we started the download, which is the handshake acknowledgement, for the Janet Jackson song "Come Back to Me" at 11:09:01 on the 21st. And we logged that information for each of the 11 songs that we downloaded.

- Q. Have you described what Exhibit 7 basically shows now?
- A. I have, yes. I mean, the whole thing finishes up and shows that we finished the downloads. And then we logged into our system in the final section.
- Q. And that's on the second page here?

2.1

2.2

- A. It's on the second page, right. This is an internal use document that we use for just verifying everything is working okay and keeping a log of everything that we did.
- Q. Would you explain, what is a handshake acknowledgement?
  - A. Whenever you communicate with another machine over the Internet, in essence you say, in painfully layman's terms, you say, Are you there? Yes, I'm there. And so you have a handshake. So we ask for a file. May I have it? Well, sure. And that's the handshake.
  - Q. Thank you for that.

There's a question I neglected to ask you. You don't have to go back to the share directory, but you were

- 1 looking at Exhibit 6. The ladies and gentlemen of the jury
- 2 haven't seen that yet. We've shown one page at a time.
- 3 It's a lot of pages, is it not?
- 4 A. The screen shots of the share, yes, some 63 or 64 pages,
- 5 yes.
- 6 Q. Can I ask you, sir, to look at Exhibit 8, please.
- 7 A. Okay.
- 8 Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 8?
- 9 A. I do. This is our user log, the compressed version.
- 10 Q. And what is that?
- 11 A. I indicated that we started the download by getting
- 12 these DAT files from this user. Well, this is a shortened
- version of everything that we found. So this represents --
- 14 what the screen shot showed in a visual format, the user log
- displays in a textual format. So it's everything that the
- 16 user was distributing.
- 17 Q. And was this document created in the evening of
- 18 | February 21, 2005 when you were making the capture?
- 19 A. Yes, it was.
- 20 Q. And was it -- is everything on here accurate?
- 21 A. Yes, it is.
- 22 Q. Is this the kind of document that SafeNet makes in the
- ordinary course of its business?
- 24 A. It is.
- 25 Q. And keeps in the ordinary course of its business?

1 | A. It is.

3

5

6

7

2 MR. GABRIEL: Move Exhibit 8.

MR. TODER: No objection.

4 THE COURT: Be admitted.

BY MR. GABRIEL:

- Q. Mr. Weaver, now that the ladies and gentlemen of the jury can see it, can you explain what this shows.
- A. Sure. The very first block identifies the log and this
  is a log for the user that goes by the name
- tereastarr@kazaa, meaning it's a Kazaa user name. It shows
  the time and date that the log was generated and then gives
  the Kazaa user information, user tereastarr@Kazaa, and then
- the IP address for that user, which is 24.179.199.117.
- 14 Q. Can you explain what an IP address is.
- 15 A. At a very high level, an IP address is simply the
- 16 | mailing address, I guess, on the Internet. It's the way in
- which -- it's the address for any device on the Internet.
- 18 It's a unique number. It's like a phone number. If I want
- 19 to access a phone on the phone network, I dial a unique
- 20 | number and I'm going to get the device that is assigned to
- 21 that number. So it's like a phone number on the Internet.
- 22 That's a layman's example -- explanation, to be sure.
- 23 Q. Does this document, sir, show -- are you familiar with
- the term "formats" for files?
- 25 A. File formats, yes.

- 1 Q. And what is a file format?
- 2 A. Well, a file format is a way of describing the type of
- 3 | file. Whenever you save a file that you have composed in
- 4 Microsoft Word, for example, it can be saved in the Word
- 5 format, so it will be a DOC file.
- 6 Q. When you say "DOC file," what --
- 7 A. I'm sorry. When you save it, by default it's going to
- 8 get a file name that's going to be myfile.doc; and that .doc
- 9 is called an extension that reflects the format of the file
- 10 that has been saved.
- 11 Q. And you've already described a number of music files you
- 12 | found here. What format were the music files in in the
- share directory on the evening of February 21, 2005 for the
- 14 user tereastarr?
- 15 A. Looking through these, we found all but two were in the
- 16 format MP3.
- 17 Q. And we can see an MP3 on this first page of Exhibit 8,
- 18 true?
- 19 A. That's correct. You look at -- let's just start at the
- 20 very bottom because it shows up very easily. The very
- 21 | bottom file there is the name, the file name, "02 Eric
- 22 | Serra Mondoshawan.mp3."
- 23 Q. Thank you. And there are other formats by which you can
- 24 download music, correct?
- 25 A. Other formats which music can be formatted, yes. I

- mean, there's the Windows Media format, WMA. There's the
- 2 WAV format, which is sort of a raw format, ACC format, AIFF
- 3 format. There are many different formats for music.
- 4 | Q. And I think you indicated that all but two of the files
- 5 on the share directory --
- 6 A. Right. There's one that's in a Real Audio format, which
- 7 is .ra, I think it's actually on this first page, and
- 8 there's one which is in a Windows Media Audio format or .wma
- 9 format.
- 10 Q. So there's only one in WMA format?
- 11 A. Just one, yes.
- 12 Q. And there's 1,700 plus files?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. And all the other ones, except the one you just
- mentioned, are in MP3 format?
- 16 A. All the other audio files are in .mp3 format.
- 17 Q. Can I ask you to look now, sir, at Exhibit 9. Are you
- 18 there?
- 19 A. I'm there. Sorry.
- 20 Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 9, please?
- 21 A. T.do.
- 22 | O. And what is Exhibit 9?
- 23 A. This is the user log, the uncompressed version.
- Q. And what is the uncompressed version?
- 25 A. The uncompressed version contains all the information in

- 1 the DAT files. So it contains not just the file names and
- 2 the byte size that you saw on the compressed version, it
- 3 contains everything, all of the metadata that was associated
- 4 with these files that was contained in the DAT files that we
- 5 received after we started the downloads from tereastarr's
- 6 share directory.
- 7 Q. And was Exhibit 9 created on the evening of February 21,
- 8 | 2005 at approximately 11:00 p.m.?
- 9 A. Yes, it was.
- 10 Q. Is it accurate?
- 11 A. It is accurate.
- 12 Q. Was it in the ordinary course of SafeNet's business to
- 13 | create a document like this?
- 14 A. It is.
- 15 Q. And is it in the ordinary course of SafeNet's business
- 16 to retain a document like this?
- 17 | A. Yes, it is.
- 18 MR. GABRIEL: Move Exhibit 9, Your Honor.
- MR. TODER: No objection.
- THE COURT: Be admitted.
- 21 MR. GABRIEL: If I can ask Mr. Reynolds to put it
- 22 up.
- 23 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 24 Q. Now that the ladies and gentlemen of the jury can see
- 25 it, could you explain what this shows. And if there's a

- 1 page that would be better for us to go to, please let us
- 2 know.
- 3 A. Okay. The top of the document is identical to the
- 4 compressed version of this. It simply shows that we're
- 5 generating a log for a particular user at a particular time
- and it again identifies the user, tereastarr, and the IP
- 7 address and then it contains other information about that
- 8 user's collection. And then from there it goes through and
- 9 shows in expanded form all of the metadata for every song
- 10 listed in that user's share directory.
- 11 Q. And we see at the top the IP address. That's the same
- one you mentioned before, correct?
- 13 A. Yes, it is.
- 14 Q. The 24.179.199.117, true?
- 15 A. Yes, it is.
- 16 Q. And this also shows, I think you indicated, there's a
- total number of audio files here and it's 1,702?
- 18 A. That's right.
- 19 Q. Now, Mr. Weaver, based on your experience in doing this
- 20 work, is there some significance to the metadata? What does
- 21 that show us?
- 22 A. Well, from a pirate's perspective, you will often find
- 23 indicators that the person who was the first to rip this
- 24 material was, in fact, a pirate.
- 25 Ripping is the process of taking something from a

CD format that you can play in your car CD player and turning it into a digital format that you can play on your computer, that you can distribute over the Internet, et cetera. That's called ripping.

The process of getting a lot of these files onto the Internet is initialized -- is instigated by a lot of these pirate rip groups, which are --

Q. When you say "pirate" --

A. Yeah, let me explain that. Pirate rip groups are -- basically they're organized copyright infringers. They're pirates. They are very competitive at trying to be the first people to obtain and to put on the Internet albums that haven't been released in the stores yet.

So, for example, they will have members or will know people that work at CD pressing plants, for example, and they will pull the CD off the production line and put it online or they work or know somebody who works at a recording studio where the album was mixed or they'll have access to pre-release review copies that go out to media, like newspapers and radio stations. And through those connections they obtain these files before they're actually available for sale and they'll be the first to distribute them online.

They're very competitive, so they want to make sure that they get credit for being the people that actually

- get this thing out there. So they will usually put some

  evidence of their exploit, they'll put their group name in

  there and some other sort of boisterous type of indicator of
  - Q. Can you point us to some examples of that.
- A. Sure. Let me flip through here. It's pretty big. Go to page 104.
- 8 Q. We can pull that out.

how good they are.

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. Just if you could expand one of those blocks right in the middle of the page. That's fine.
  - So, again, this is metadata for a file name. I've got "File Name: 02 Dream Theater Invaders.mp3." The song title is "Invaders" and the artist is Dream Theater, et cetera. This is all metadata.

Included in that metadata in the description field is this. It says, "Uploaded by Off\$3+." That's the way pirates write. The dollar sign is an "S," the three is an "E," and the plus is a "T." So that's the pirate group Offset and they're claiming props or respect for being the ones to get Dream Theater's album out onto the Internet before anyone else. So Offset is saying we're the ones that uploaded this first.

- Q. Can you cite maybe one more example.
- 24 A. Sure. Just kind of flipping through here, 29 --
- 25 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Let's back up to that

1 last one. How do you know that this album has not been 2 distributed? 3 THE WITNESS: That it's already been commercially distributed? 4 5 THE COURT: Right. THE WITNESS: I don't know that. What I'm 6 7 saying --THE COURT: How can you make that statement that 8 9 they took credit for getting it out first? 10 THE WITNESS: Whenever a CD is produced and sold 11 in the stores, a person, of course, can rip that CD to their 12 own collection. Whenever you rip that CD, there's no metadata which inherently is a part of your MP3 file that 13 14 you get. You have to put that information in there. BY MR. GABRIEL: 15 16 I want to make sure everybody understands that. 17 you buy a CD from the store and you rip it, there is no 18 metadata on it? 19 There's no metadata as part of the CD. Α. 20 Now, some of the programs that rip these for you, 2.1 like Windows Media Player and iTunes, will give you the 2.2 ability to use these databases that will recognize the CD 23 and will input some of the common things, like the album 24 title, the artist, and the actual song title, but that's in

there because the user has chosen to accept that as part of

the software.

But what you don't get is anything in the description field. And looking at the data which is in the description field, it says, "Uploaded by Off@3+." That simply is an indicator that someone put that in there somewhere.

And for those of us that deal with pirate communities a lot, where those typically come from is where a pirate is the first to put something out and they want to get credit for it. So in the versions of the MP3's that they put out, the metadata is going to include a mention of that group.

So by the time we found this, this album could very well, Your Honor, have actually been released, but it seems to indicate that at the time this file was first ripped someone put -- someone asked for credit and was claiming credit for having put that on the Internet.

THE COURT: Okay.

## BY MR. GABRIEL:

- Q. You were citing us one other example.
- A. Yeah, I was looking at page 29. There's a similar example. Pick any one of these blocks. It's really the same sort of thing where in the metadata you have standard information about the song, but then there's a description that says, "MRO, who else?", implying who else is going to

- get you this material first. So that is also an indicator
  that there was a pirate group that was the first to have
  ripped and to have put data into this -- into the metadata
  of this file.
  - Q. Thank you, Mr. Weaver. With respect to the data that you downloaded or recovered on the date in question, did you see anything else that are indicators of known pirate groups?
  - A. Sure. Some of the file names themselves have indications in them that they were released by pirate groups. I'm looking at page number 6. I'm waiting for us to get it up.
- 13 Q. All right. It's there. Which --

A. Very, very bottom, take the block at the very bottom.

There we go. This file name is -- this is actually a

playlist. If you look at the extension, it's M3U. Again,

it's the last three letters in that file name there on the

second line. That's a playlist.

If you look at the name of the file, it's in a format that conforms to the pirate they call them release standards. If you go on Wikipedia, you can actually see the pirate release standards for being the first to put this music online.

So it starts -- because it's a playlist, it starts with "00." And then it names the artist, Wumpscut, and then

it has the person who released it, DJ Dwarf Four. Then the album name, which is *Bone Peeler*. But then most interestingly, it says, "read nfo."

2.1

An NFO file is an information file. NFO just simply stands for information. It's like a read me file that you'll see with some software. It's a file that pirate release groups will include with an album that they've put out that says we were the first to do it, you weren't. It will include standard sort of taunts between these groups. It will thank other members of the group for their assistance. Sometimes it will even solicit help from other people. But it's basically sort of their claim to credit.

So this file right now, included in the file name is the words "read\_nfo," which indicates that there was an NFO associated with the cluster of files associated with this album whenever this file was created.

- Q. Thank you. And are there any other things that you saw in the data you collected that would suggest that these were not put here by someone just loading their own CD's?
- A. Just to summarize, the first thing was evidence of release groups taking credit in the description files. The second was that there are file names themselves that show evidence of conforming to release group standards and make mention of things that only are included with release group releases. And the last, which is rather interesting, is

- 1 that the file naming conventions throughout the share folder
- 2 is not consistent.
- 3 Q. When you talk about naming conventions, what are you
- 4 | talking about?
- 5 A. Well, you can open up, really, any one of these, but
- 6 probably the next page would be better because right now
- 7 you're looking at a bunch of playlists.
- 8 Q. And can I ask you before you answer that, what's a
- 9 | playlist? I neglected to ask you that.
- 10 A. A playlist is where a user can create a file that simply
- defines, according to some criteria, a set of songs to play.
- 12 | So if you want to play a party mix, you'll pick all your
- party songs, put them in a playlist, and then when you want
- 14 to play those songs, you play the playlist. You can do it
- by artist. You can do it by, you know, ratings and these
- 16 | sorts of things. So it's just a user defined file of
- 17 | favorites according to some criteria.
- 18 | Q. Forgive me for interrupting you. You were talking about
- 19 naming conventions.
- 20 A. Right. We're on page 7. If you look at some of these
- 21 | files, like the second block, it says, "Pull Me Under." The
- 22 | file is simply named the name of the song, "Pull Me Under."
- 23 If you go to the next block after that, the file is named
- 24 the track number, 01, the artist name, and then the song
- 25 | number. The next few are like that. If you just flip

ahead, you'll find some that are named just simply the artist's name and the song. You find some that are the album and the song and so forth.

Typically when a person burns their own CD's, the format of the file name is determined by settings that take place when you first start to use the program. So there's very little variation whenever someone does that. Whenever you see a whole lot of different file naming conventions, it seems to indicate a whole lot of different sources for these files.

- Q. And what does that tell you?
- A. That these files likely didn't come from the user tereastarr burning them from CD's in that user's collection.
  - Q. Thank you for bearing with me with that, Mr. Weaver.

And so based on all the things you've testified, do you know, based on your experience, that the files in the share directory that you captured were downloaded from someone else as opposed to ripped by Ms. Thomas?

- A. The information I pointed out indicates that several of the files came from other sources.
- 21 Q. All of the things that you pointed out?
  - A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 23 Q. Would you look at Exhibit 10, please.
- A. I'm there.
- 25 | O. What is Exhibit 10?

- 1 A. Exhibit 10 is our download data log.
- 2 Q. And what is a download data log?
- 3 A. When we start the download of the songs that the user is
- 4 distributing, we will also capture the packets of data that
- 5 go from our machine requesting the file and the packets of
- data that come from the user's machine starting to give us
- 7 the file; and that's essentially what this log is.
- 8 Q. Was this log created on the evening in question,
- 9 | February 21, 2005, at about 11:00 p.m.?
- 10 A. Yes, it was.
- 11 Q. And was it created in the ordinary course of SafeNet's
- 12 business?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Was it kept in the ordinary course of SafeNet's
- 15 business?
- 16 A. Yes.
- MR. GABRIEL: Move Exhibit 10, Your Honor.
- 18 MR. TODER: Your Honor, may I just voir dire the
- 19 witness on this one document?
- THE COURT: You may.

## 21 **VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION**

- 22 BY MR. TODER:
- 23 Q. This document, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 10, who physically
- 24 created this document?
- 25 A. Who physically created it?

- 1 Q. Yeah.
- 2 A. It was created by an automated process. We have a
- 3 program that looks at the traffic that's coming in and grabs
- 4 the relevant packets and logs them into a text file such as
- 5 you see here.
- 6 Q. Is the information manipulated at all before the --
- 7 after it comes out of that?
- 8 A. No, it's not. What we do to make it readable is to
- 9 clarify what is written in hex. Any binary files that are
- 10 transmitted over the Internet are in a format that just
- 11 | can't be read. It will be, you know, squiggles and marks
- and blocks and these kinds of things. So before each packet
- we have included a translation of the binary component, but
- beyond that, they are exactly as they came to us.
- 15 Q. Even the third line from the top would say,
- "[MediaSentry IP address]"?
- 17 A. The MediaSentry IP addresses were all redacted from the
- 18 information that we translated out of the hex data. If you
- 19 look at the hex data, though, with a hex editor, you will
- 20 see the MediaSentry IP address.
- 21 Q. So who changed that, the MediaSentry IP address?
- 22 A. The service, the program that captures the packets,
- 23 whenever it does the translation of the hex portion, it goes
- 24 | ahead and does that as well.
- Q. Automatically?

1 A. Automatically, yes. 2 MR. TODER: I have no objection, Your Honor. 3 Thank you. THE COURT: Admitted. 4 5 MR. GABRIEL: Would you put up Exhibit 10, please. DIRECT EXAMINATION (Cont.) 6 7 BY MR. GABRIEL: Q. Mr. Weaver, now that the ladies and gentlemen of the 8 9 jury can see this, would you explain what Exhibit 10 is. 10 This is the download data log. 11 Q. And would you -- now that they can see it, can you 12 explain what it shows. Sure. It may be helpful if we zoomed in on the first 13 14 The first line here just simply defines what these 15 packets are. The first one says this is the download 16 information for the Janet Jackson file. Okay? And then the next three lines, sent packet, 17 18 source, and destination, are information from the hex line, 19 which is where you see all the dots and the funny characters 20 and so forth. And that's part of -- this is an IP packet, 21 an Internet protocol packet. 22 And so the Internet protocol packet is like an 23 envelope, if you will, for transmitting information out on 24 the Internet. If I were to mail something, I couldn't just

put the letter in the mail. I would have to put it in

something that tells it where to go, where it's coming from, et cetera.

And so that's the part of the packet that says here's the date, here's who it's to, and here's who it's from. So we render that information there in the sent packet and source and destination lines. And then you have that same information there in hex, which doesn't print well.

And then you can see the content of it. It's a get. So basically what this is on a FastTrack network, the Kazaa network, is let's get this song and it's the Janet Jackson "Come Back to Me" song. The rest of that is the detail that Kazaa uses to route this packet.

- Q. When you said, Mr. Weaver, this is "a get," is this kind of the request that was sent from MediaSentry to capture --
- A. I'm sorry. Yes, this is the request from MediaSentry to the user tereastarr at IP address 24.179.199.117.
- Q. And MediaSentry is asking for this recording; is that correct?
- 20 A. That's correct.

2.2

- 21 Q. And what else does this document show?
  - A. And then you can see the response, which is the next packet, which is the next full block. And, again, the first three lines is just titling that packet, that's a received packet, and then there's the date, the source IP address.

So this packet came from 24.179.199.117 and it was addressed to our IP address, which is replaced with that text.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

You see the hex code, which indicates -- which actually is the information that's in those first three lines, and this is the beginning of the song coming back to us. So we see the information that the Kazaa application uses and we see the -- which actually corresponds to the metadata for the song.

And then what would happen after this would be the actual song itself, which was sort of useless to provide because it would just be page after page after page of just gibberish, because that's what music looks like when you print it out.

- Q. And does it indicate the user name from which this was coming as well?
- A. It does. Inside the Kazaa packet Kazaa itself identifies the user name as tereastarr.
- Q. Can I ask you lastly to look at Exhibit 11, please. Oh,
- 19 I'm sorry. One more before you leave. Do you know,
- 20 Mr. Weaver, why MediaSentry redacts out its own IP address?
- 21 A. A lot of these documents are finding their way onto the
- 22 Internet and in order to avoid malicious action against our
- 23 servers, we've redacted, we've taken out our IP addresses.
- 24 In truth, if you look at the hex, they're right in there.
- 25 If you look at the hex of the actual file, they're right

1 there.

- 2 Q. Now would you look at Exhibit 11, please. Do you
- 3 recognize Exhibit 11?
- 4 A. I do.
- 5 Q. What is Exhibit 11?
- 6 A. Exhibit 11 is the trace route that we performed after we
- 7 downloaded all of the songs. So when the downloads were
- 8 | complete, we did a trace route.
- 9 Q. What is that?
- 10 A. A trace route is a standard Internet tool that's used to
- display all of the different hops, all of the different
- 12 ports, all of the different routers that a packet took to
- get from the source to the destination.
- It's like if I was going to go to send mail from
- Duluth to Seattle, you know, it might go down to Milwaukee
- 16 and then to Chicago and then to Kansas City and then to
- 17 Boise and then to Seattle. A trace route would return
- 18 Milwaukee, Chicago, Kansas City, Boise, and Seattle, showing
- 19 the names of those -- of each of those routers that the
- 20 packet took to get to its destination.
- 21 It also establishes in another way that the user
- 22 was live at the point that a trace route was performed
- 23 because the destination computer doesn't respond if it's not
- 24 turned on.
- Q. What do you mean "the user was live"?

- 1 A. We send a trace route to the IP address 24.179.199.117.
- 2 If that IP address was not connected to the Internet at the
- 3 time, this trace route would have been unsuccessful, it
- 4 would not have been able to route.
- 5 O. So this was another check on --
- 6 A. Just another check verifying that there was a machine
- 7 live at that IP address.
- 8 Q. Was this document created in the evening of February 21,
- 9 2005 at about 11:00 or thereafter?
- 10 A. Yes, it was created when the downloads were finished, so
- it would be the following day.
- 12 Q. And is it accurate?
- 13 A. It is accurate.
- 14 Q. And is this the kind of document that SafeNet creates in
- 15 | the ordinary course of its business?
- 16 A. Yes, it is.
- 17 Q. And it maintains it in the ordinary course of its
- 18 business?
- 19 A. Yes, it does.
- 20 MR. GABRIEL: Move Exhibit 11, Your Honor.
- MR. TODER: No objection.
- THE COURT: Be admitted.
- BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 24 Q. Mr. Weaver, have you now actually gone through and
- 25 described for us -- well, let's do it real quickly now that

the jury can see it. Can you just point out again what this shows.

A. This is the trace route. If it's expanded, it may be easier to see. Again, it wraps a little bit because of the size of the page. But actually you can see the MediaSentry IP address there. It's on the first line. We are 64.132.210.161.

You can see the route that the packets took. It goes through each of the routers which are listed. You can see it go outside of our network through the Time -- a lot of these routers will bear names that give some indication of both the locations and the company that maintains them.

So you can see it actually going through the Time Warner Telecom system and you can see it going through the AT&T system as you get down to lines 13, 14, and 15, and then it's ending up, lines 17, 18 and 19, out in Charter in Minnesota. You can actually see it says, "dul" -- presumably Duluth -- ".minnesota.charter.com" and that's where it terminated. So it says, "Success." The trace is complete.

- Q. So in terms of -- I think you indicated this is a check, correct?
- 23 A. Yes, it is.

Q. So is it accurate, then, that this also confirms that the recordings that you downloaded from the IP address that

- 1 you described came from that IP address?
- 2 A. Strictly speaking, the trace route simply confirms that
- 3 | I could get to that machine.
- 4 Q. Thank you. Have you now, Mr. Weaver, described the
- 5 process you went through to get --
- 6 A. That is the process for each of the captures that we
- 7 performed.
- 8 Q. And all of this data was provided to the recording
- 9 industry?
- 10 A. Yes, it was.
- 11 Q. Did SafeNet do anything else relating to the computer
- 12 | that you captured on the evening in question?
- 13 A. We did.
- 14 Q. What did you do?
- 15 A. We sent instant messages through the Kazaa network to
- 16 this user on two occasions.
- 17 Q. And perhaps everyone knows, but can you explain what an
- 18 instant message is.
- 19 A. An instant message you can think of as sort of real time
- 20 e-mail. It's a message that pops up in the middle of your
- 21 screen and says what it has to say.
- 22 The Kazaa network allows -- the Kazaa application
- has a program that would allow someone to send an instant
- 24 | message to any other user that was actively sharing files at
- 25 | the time, and so we sent those instant messages using that

- 1 application.
- 2 Q. Could you look at Exhibit 13, please.
- 3 | A. Okay.
- 4 Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 13, sir?
- 5 A. I do.
- 6 Q. What is it, please? And I know there are two pages.
- 7 Describe one page at a time, if you would.
- 8 A. The first page is a log indicating when we sent the
- 9 message, to whom it was sent, by both Kazaa user name and by
- 10 IP address. The second page is the text of the instant
- 11 message that was sent.
- 12 Q. And can you confirm that these are accurate?
- 13 A. They are accurate.
- 14 | O. This was the instant message that was sent to tereastarr
- at that IP address, correct?
- 16 A. At the time, yes.
- 17 Q. And the log of when it was sent is a document that
- 18 MediaSentry created?
- 19 A. Yes, it is.
- 20 Q. And did so in the ordinary course of its business?
- 21 A. Yes, we did.
- 22 Q. And kept it in the ordinary course of its business?
- 23 A. Yes, we did.
- MR. GABRIEL: Move Exhibit 13.
- MR. TODER: Your Honor, may I voir dire the

```
1
       witness on this exhibit, please?
 2
                 THE COURT: You may.
 3
                           VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
       BY MR. TODER:
 4
 5
           At the top of this exhibit --
       Α.
 6
           Yes.
 7
           -- you'll see there's some -- above the box.
 8
           "IM Log, Thomas, Jammie."
       Α.
 9
           Is that something that MediaSentry did or something the
10
       lawyers put on?
11
       Α.
           I didn't put that on there.
12
           MediaSentry didn't put that on?
       Q.
          No.
13
       Α.
14
                 MR. TODER: Your Honor, I would have no objection
15
       as long as the stuff the lawyers put on is redacted.
16
                 MR. GABRIEL: No problem with that, Your Honor.
                 THE COURT: Be admitted with the redaction.
17
18
                 MR. GABRIEL: We won't put it up for now.
19
                        DIRECT EXAMINATION
                                             (Cont.)
20
       BY MR. GABRIEL:
2.1
       Q. Mr. Weaver, could you just tell us, now that the
2.2
       document is in, when instant messages were sent to
23
       tereastarr at the IP address that you described.
24
       A. Yes.
                 The first one was sent on February 21, 2005 at
25
       11:00.
```

- 1 Q. Is that Eastern Time?
- 2 A. That is Eastern Time.
- The second one was sent on February 22, 2005 at --
- 4 let me convert the military time -- 10:34 p.m., also Eastern
- 5 Time.
- 6 Q. So the first one was sent right about the time you were
- 7 | making the capture, correct?
- 8 A. Right before it, yes.
- 9 Q. And then the second one was sent the next day?
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. And would you look at the second page now.
- MR. GABRIEL: Mr. Reynolds, would you put that up.
- MR. REYNOLDS: I have to pull up the first page
- 14 first.
- MR. GABRIEL: Then we won't. We can't show the
- 16 first page until it's redacted.
- 17 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 18 Q. This is the text of the instant message, correct?
- 19 A. Page 2 is, yes.
- 20 Q. And it indicates, "Copyright Infringement Warning,"
- 21 correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And --
- 24 A. The title is "Copyright Infringement Warning."
- 25 Q. I think the last question I have for you, Mr. Weaver,

```
1
       after SafeNet collected all of the data that you've
 2
       described, did it provide the data to the record companies?
 3
       A. Yes, it did.
          And did that conclude SafeNet's role in the
 4
 5
       investigation of this particular user?
 6
       A. Until today, yes.
 7
                 MR. GABRIEL: I have no further questions.
                 THE COURT: All right. We'll take our afternoon
 8
 9
       break. We'll take a 15-minute break at this time, 15
10
       minutes. All rise for the jury.
11
           (Recess taken at 3:10 p.m.)
12
13
           (3:30 p.m.)
14
                               IN OPEN COURT
15
                               (JURY PRESENT)
16
                 THE COURT: You may continue.
17
                            CROSS EXAMINATION
18
       BY MR. TODER:
19
          Good afternoon.
       Ο.
20
       Α.
          Hello.
2.1
           I just have a few questions. I want to start with
22
       looking at Exhibit 6. At the bottom down here, do you see
23
       where it says, "Not sharing any files"?
24
          Yes, I do.
       Α.
25
       Q. And you testified that what that meant was is that
```

- 1 MediaSentry, SafeNet, you guys weren't sharing any files,
- 2 correct?
- 3 A. That's correct, yes.
- 4 Q. But what I think you said was is that tereastarr@kazaa,
- 5 whoever he or she is, they were sharing files, correct?
- 6 A. Yes. You're looking at the share directory.
- 7 | Q. But isn't it a more accurate statement to say that they
- 8 were actually offering files for sharing as opposed to
- 9 sharing files?
- 10 A. Well, in the parlance of P2P, this is file sharing.
- 11 Q. That's a term of art?
- 12 A. I believe so, yes.
- 13 | Q. Exhibit 7, there's no IP address here, correct?
- 14 A. No, there's not.
- 15 Q. And you really can't identify from this the real name of
- 16 a human being, you can only identify it as tereastarr@kazaa,
- 17 | correct?
- 18 A. Right. According to this, tereastarr, that's correct.
- 19 Q. And then we'll go to Exhibit 8. I just want to look at
- 20 the top of this. Here, now, we have an IP address. Now,
- 21 the IP address is part of the metadata, correct?
- 22 A. The metadata for the MP3 files, no.
- 23 Q. Well, can -- metadata can be manipulated, correct?
- 24 A. I'm not sure I understand what you mean.
- 25 Q. Well, where did whoever used tereastarr@kazaa -- and

- this is not part of the stuff you have below. Where did you actually get the IP address you have there?
- A. We got that from our processes, which were -- which

  pulled down the DAT files, which monitored the transactions

  between the target user and our computer to produce a user

  name and an IP address.
- 7 Q. And how does it actually extricate it, an IP address?
  - A. It does it two ways. One, when we start the downloads the DAT files will reveal the IP address of the user. And then also whenever we look at the packets, we'll also see an IP address in there. The system goes and confirms that the two are the same before allowing any more of the process to finish.
- 14 Q. How do you get the IP address in the DAT files?
- 15 A. You just open a DAT file and it will be displayed there.
- 16 Q. And who creates the DAT file?

8

9

10

11

12

13

2.1

2.2

23

24

- A. The DAT file is created by the Kazaa application or program.
- Q. And where does the application get the information to put in the DAT file?
  - A. It gets it from the Kazaa network. Whenever you search for a user -- when you search for a song, it will return to you the users that are offering it. When you select one and start to download any one of the songs from that user, the network says here's where you go to get that. So that's

- 1 what really enables you to get the file, is having the
- 2 user's IP address.
- 3 Q. And the other method you talked about was through the
- 4 packet?
- 5 A. That's really the same. The two confirm one another.
- 6 In other words, I'll get it from the program and then I'll
- 7 confirm it, I'll confirm what the program is doing through
- 8 the packet. Think of the packet as standing over the
- 9 program looking at what it's doing.
- 10 Q. Is there any way that a user can manipulate what IP
- address is ultimately shown?
- 12 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 13 Q. Can someone -- strike that. We'll go to Exhibit 10.
- 14 A. Can I have clarification on that question?
- 15 Q. Yes.
- 16 A. If it were possible -- and, again, I'm not so sure that
- 17 | it is -- when I got that DAT file, if it had an incorrect IP
- 18 address, the rest of the process wouldn't work. You
- wouldn't be able to get the songs that were advertised there
- 20 because my computer would be using that wrong information to
- 21 go nowhere.
- 22 Q. And that IP address could -- is actually an account
- 23 | number that's with Charter Communications, correct?
- 24 A. I'm not so sure it's an account number, but it's a
- 25 | routing number. Again, to use very much layman's terms, it

- 1 is managed by Charter Communications.
- 2 Q. And there has to be some device attached to -- in
- 3 | conjunction with that IP address?
- 4 A. That's right. It's like a phone number. If there's no
- 5 phone, then it really isn't going to work even if it's
- 6 assigned.
- 7 Q. And one of the devices could be a modem, correct?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. And that modem -- you could have any number
- of computers, maybe one at a time, but you could put one
- computer in and come back and use another computer?
- 12 | A. Sure.
- Q. So if people were having a computer party and they each
- had a computer, they could just take turns using that modem?
- 15 A. Yes, they could.
- 16 Q. And whoever was -- if they were communicating with
- 17 | people, whoever they were communicating with, they would all
- 18 | see the same IS -- the IP address for all these, correct?
- 19 A. On the Kazaa network?
- 20 Q. No. Just generally speaking.
- 21 A. Just generally, yes.
- 22 | O. On the Kazaa network as well?
- 23 A. You see the same IP address, but you would see different
- 24 user names.
- 25 Q. But if they used different user names, correct?

1 If everyone -- in the scenario of the computer party, if 2 everyone decided to be tereastarr on their individual 3 computers and then connect to the modem, then they would look the same. 4 5 So if you've got five people and a modem and they all 6 connected to Kazaa using tereastarr, whoever was looking at 7 this, the screen shots, they would see tereastarr and they 8 would see, ultimately see -- your information, your software 9 would pull down the IP address that we've seen here, 10 correct? 11 Α. Yes. 12 And if the next person came along with tereastarr and 13 had the same tereastarr and used the same modem, again, it 14 would be a different human being, but you would still pull 15 down tereastarr and the same IP address, correct? 16 If I was to -- to see that user, I would have to try to 17 download some songs. So they would also have to have the 18 same songs on the computer as well. But if they had the 19 same songs as well, same user name, and plugged into the 20 same router, then they would continue to look the same. 21 MR. TODER: I have no further questions. 22 you. 23 THE COURT: Mr. Weaver.

LORI A. SIMPSON, RMR-CRR (612) 664-5104

I apologize.

THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. I heard that and I'm

24

25

out of here.

- 1 THE COURT: Not yet.
- 2 MR. GABRIEL: I'll make this very brief.

## 3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- 4 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 5 Q. There were 1,700 music files in this case; is that
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. That's right, yes.
- 8 Q. I may have --
- 9 A. 1,702, yes.
- 10 Q. Based on your experience, how long does it take someone
- 11 to download from Kazaa that many files? You don't have to
- 12 give an exact time.
- 13 A. Yeah, I mean, I would say I've never tried to download
- 14 1,700 files simultaneously. It would depend upon a user's
- connection speed, but that many files would probably take
- 16 more than a day.
- 17 Q. And for just the average user?
- 18 A. For the average user it would probably take more than a
- 19 day.
- 20 Q. A long time to download 1,700 --
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Does that tend to undermine this theory that there was a
- 23 party and everyone plugging in and replugging in?
- 24 A. Well, in what way? I'm sorry.
- 25 Q. The fact that it takes a long time, does that suggest to

1	you that this party that Mr. Toder suggested to you didn't
2	happen?
3	A. Well, the party just seems incredible to me in the sense
4	that everyone would have to have the same number of songs
5	actually already on the computer, not that they were
6	downloading them, but actually already be on there, and then
7	have different machines which were then plugged in and have
8	the Kazaa application set up using the same user name.
9	That's what sounds strange. I don't think that that
10	scenario has them actually downloading them.
11	Q. You saw no evidence of anything like that, did you?
12	A. No.
13	MR. GABRIEL: Nothing further.
14	MR. TODER: Nothing further.
15	THE COURT: Sir, now you may step down.
16	Call your next witness, please.
17	MR. GABRIEL: Plaintiffs call David Edgar.
18	(Witness sworn.)
19	THE COURT: Good afternoon, sir.
20	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
21	THE COURT: Would you state your true and correct
22	name for the record, please.
23	THE WITNESS: Yes. It's David Loring Edgar.
24	THE COURT: Spell your first, middle, and last
25	name for the record.

```
1
                 THE WITNESS: D-a-v-i-d. Middle name Loring,
 2
       L-o-r-i-n-g. Last name Edgar, E-d-g-a-r.
 3
                 THE COURT: You may inquire.
                 MR. GABRIEL:
                               Thank you, Your Honor.
 4
 5
                                (David Edgar)
                             DIRECT EXAMINATION
 6
 7
       BY MR. GABRIEL:
 8
           Good afternoon, sir.
 9
       Α.
           Good afternoon.
10
           Mr. Edgar, who do you work for?
       Q.
           I work for Charter Communications.
11
       Α.
           And what is Charter Communications?
12
       Q.
13
           Charter Communications is a company that provides cable,
14
       telephone, and high-speed Internet.
15
           And what are your responsibilities for Charter
16
       Communications?
           I am a manager in the Internet security department.
17
       Α.
18
           And what does that involve?
       Ο.
19
           We -- I manage a group of investigators that fulfill
       Α.
20
       requests from our legal department for customer information.
2.1
       Q. And would that include things like subpoenas for
22
       information?
           Absolutely, yes.
23
       Α.
24
           Would you look at Exhibit 14, which is in evidence,
```

please. There are a number of books in front of you. I

- 1 think it's Volume 3 or Book 3.
- 2 A. Okay.
- 3 Q. Have you found that, sir?
- 4 A. Yes, I have.
- 5 Q. Do you recognize this as a subpoena received by Charter?
- 6 A. Yes, I do.
- 7 Q. And did you -- you've seen this before, I take it?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And this subpoena sought certain identifying
- 10 information; is that correct?
- 11 A. Yes, it does.
- 12 Q. And can you confirm --
- 13 MR. GABRIEL: Tim, if you would turn to
- 14 the second -- I'm sorry. Let me start out on the first
- 15 page. Bring that out.
- 16 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 17 Q. Specifically the subpoena asks for information,
- including name, address, telephone number, e-mail address,
- and media access control addresses, sufficient to identify
- 20 the alleged infringers of copyrighted sound recordings
- 21 listed by IP address in Attachment A, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- MR. GABRIEL: Could you turn us to Attachment A.
- BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 25 Q. And this is the list of -- or the beginning, at least,

- of a list of IP addresses, correct?
- 2 A. Yes, it is.
- 3 Q. And would you confirm, sir, that the third one down --
- 4 you can look either in the book or there -- is
- 5 24.179.199.117 and a date of February 21, 2005 at 11:09
- 6 Eastern.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. So you were being asked to provide identifying
- 9 information for the person who had that IP address, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And you saw in the first page that there was also
- requesting information about a modem or MAC address,
- 13 | correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. What is a modem -- or media access control address?
- 16 A. A MAC address is an address assigned to a piece of
- 17 hardware by the manufacturer.
- 18 Q. And does Charter use the MAC address for some purpose?
- 19 A. Yes, we do. We use the MAC address to identify the
- 20 modem that we have leased to our subscribers.
- 21 Q. And I take it Charter keeps a record of who has what
- 22 modems?
- 23 A. We do.
- O. And what is an IP address?
- 25 A. An IP address is an Internet protocol address. It is an

address that is used to communicate on a network. This particular IP address is a public IP address. It is an address that is assigned to a subscriber to communicate on the Internet.

An address on the Internet is like an address for your home address. It is -- if you are going to send information to this person, you need to know what their IP address is. Just like if you were going to send something in the postal mail, you need to know what the person's home address is.

- Q. Does Charter keep records of who had what IP address at what date and time?
- 13 A. We do.

- Q. Is there some reason for that?
- A. We keep a record because we run dynamic hosting configuration protocol.
- 17 Q. What does that mean?
  - A. We have a finite amount of IP space that is assigned to Charter to use for our residential customers. So dynamic hosting configuration protocol is a protocol where the subscriber doesn't have to configure their Internet connection, it's automatically done for them. We provide them a pool -- from a pool of IP's we provide them and dynamically configure their equipment to talk on the

- 1 being used and what IP's are available.
- 2 Q. And what does Charter use the IP address for?
- 3 A. The IP address for -- is used by us, you know, as I
- 4 said, to allow our customers to communicate on the Internet.
- 5 Q. And what does Charter use the MAC address for?
- 6 A. We use the MAC address and it is actually kept in our
- 7 billing system and it's identifying the modem that has been
- 8 leased to a subscriber.
- 9 Q. And you say it's in the billing system. Do you actually
- 10 use the MAC address to identify who gets a bill?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. That's somewhat important to Charter?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. After Charter received the subpoena that we're looking
- at, Exhibit 14, did it take action to determine the
- 16 information requested?
- 17 A. Yes, we did.
- 18 | Q. Could you describe for the ladies and gentlemen of the
- 19 jury what it did.
- 20 A. Yes. We received a request, my team of investigators
- 21 received a request from legal for subscriber information
- 22 based upon an IP address and a date in time. So our
- 23 investigators went to our logging tool that has the DHCP
- 24 | IP's in there with the accordant modem MAC address. We put
- 25 in the date range and specify the time as per the order and

- 1 request from legal, and we were provided and returned
- 2 results of a modem MAC address assigned to that IP address.
- 3 Q. You talked about a DHCP IP address, I think. What is
- 4 that?
- 5 A. DHCP, again, is dynamic hosting configuration protocol
- and it is from the DHCP server that says this IP address is
- 7 associated to this MAC address during this particular time
- 8 period.
- 9 Q. And so you've done this search looking for these IP
- addresses. And with respect to that 24.179.199.117 number,
- 11 | what did Charter determine?
- 12 A. We were able to identify a modem MAC address assigned to
- 13 that IP.
- 14 | O. And who was that? Was there a person connected to that?
- 15 A. After we received the modem MAC address, we then went to
- our billing system to see which Charter account had that
- modem MAC address associated to it for that time period and
- 18 | we were able to identify a Charter subscriber.
- 19 0. And what was the name of the Charter subscriber?
- 20 A. The name on the Charter subscriber account was Jammie
- 21 Thomas.
- 22 Q. And, sir, if I understood, you took the IP address and
- 23 that connected you to the modem MAC address, which connected
- 24 you to the identity of the person?
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 Q. And does Charter do something to confirm the accuracy of
- 3 A. Absolutely. We have -- my team of investigators has a
- 4 primary investigator, a lead primary investigator. That
- 5 person will do their investigation and they're also
- 6 responsible for recording the information that they keep --
- 7 or that they find, rather, electronically. We also have a
- 8 second person on our team considered the verifier. The
- 9 verifier does their own independent investigation. Then the
- 10 verifier and the primary investigator compare notes and sign
- off and agree that it is a successful identification.
- 12 Q. And did that happen in this case?
- 13 A. In this case, yes.

a search like that?

- 14 Q. So this backup check confirmed the identification of
- 15 Jammie Thomas?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. Was anyone else associated with IP address
- 18 | 24.179.199.117 on February 21, 2005 at 11:09 p.m.?
- 19 A. No.

- 20 Q. Before responding to the subpoena that we were just
- 21 | looking at, did Charter communicate with its customer,
- Ms. Thomas, about the subpoena?
- 23 A. Prior to releasing the information back to the
- 24 requester, we did notify the subscriber that we had a
- request for their customer information. We are compelled by

- a subpoena to release this information and we have a date
- 2 upon which we are compelled to reply. And we did send a
- 3 letter to the subscriber in this case.
- 4 Q. And is it Charter's policy and practice to do that?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Would you look at Exhibit 15, please. Do you have that?
- 7 A. Yep.
- 8 Q. Is this the letter that you sent to Ms. Thomas?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And it's dated April 22, 2005?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Is this letter prepared in the ordinary course of
- 13 Charter's business?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Was it prepared on or about April 22, 2005?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And is it kept in Charter's records in the ordinary
- 18 | course of its business?
- 19 A. Yes.
- MR. GABRIEL: Move Exhibit 15, Your Honor.
- MR. TODER: No objection.
- THE COURT: Be admitted.
- 23 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 24 Q. Now the ladies and gentlemen can see the letter, sir.
- 25 Is it the practice of Charter to indicate at the top that

- 1 this is an important legal notice?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. You want to make sure your customer understands that?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 MR. GABRIEL: Mr. Reynolds, can you blow up the
- 6 last paragraph on the first page here.
- 7 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 8 Q. If I can ask you, Mr. Edgar, to look at the last
- 9 paragraph of the page, it refers to something called the
- 10 terms of use of your Charter high-speed account and
- 11 | Charter's Acceptable Use Policy. Are you familiar with
- 12 Charter's Acceptable Use Policy?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Could you generally describe what is an Acceptable Use
- 15 Policy.
- 16 A. In general the Acceptable Use Policy is that we set
- forth terms and conditions with our subscribers of what our
- 18 | service we believe is acceptable use.
- 19 We also believe that our customer's privacy is
- 20 paramount. But if we are asked and compelled to by a
- 21 | court of law or subpoena for customer information, we will
- 22 do so.
- We also state that things -- in accordance with
- 24 | the law, Charter will be in accordance with the law as well.
- 25 | Specifically, copyrighted material, we do not allow that to

- 1 be disseminated illegally on our network.
- 2 Q. Why does Charter have such a policy?
- 3 A. Because we conform with the laws, you know, in the way
- 4 that we operate.
- 5 Q. You expect -- Charter conforms with the law?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And you are asking, by this policy, your customers to do
- 8 the same?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Did Charter receive a response from Ms. Thomas after
- 11 this letter was sent, to your knowledge?
- 12 A. In response to this letter?
- 13 Q. Yes, sir.
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. And ultimately did Charter respond to the subpoena?
- 16 A. Yes, we did.
- 17 Q. Could you look at Exhibit 16, please.
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. Do you see that, sir?
- 20 A. Yes, I see it.
- 21 Q. And what is Exhibit 16?
- 22 A. Exhibit 16 is our response to the requesters of the
- customer information for the subpoena that we received.
- 24 Q. And the requesters are a law firm called Shook, Hardy &
- 25 Bacon; is that correct?

1 A. Yes, it is. 2 Q. And if you would look at the third page of the document, 3 did, in fact, Charter provide the information regarding IP address 24.179.199.117 in response to the subpoena? 4 5 Yes, we did. And was this response prepared on or about May 19, 2005? 6 Ο. 7 A. Yes. 8 Was it prepared in the ordinary course of Charter's 9 business? 10 Yes. Α. 11 And was this document kept and maintained in the 12 ordinary course of Charter's business? 13 Α. Yes. 14 MR. GABRIEL: Move Exhibit 16. 15 MR. TODER: May we approach? 16 (At sidebar.) 17 MR. TODER: I don't anticipate a problem, but I 18 would just like to know the nature of their redactions. 19 MR. GABRIEL: Your Honor, the document reflected 20 other people's identifications. What was redacted was 21 everybody other than Jammie Thomas's name, address, and 22 identifying information that were included. 23 MR. TODER: Thank you. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 (In open court.)

- 1 MR. TODER: We have no objection, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: 16 will be admitted. Go ahead.
- 3 MR. GABRIEL: Thank you.
- 4 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 5 Q. Now that the ladies and gentlemen of the jury can see
- 6 it, Mr. Edgar, is this the response that was provided?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And some identifying information that related to people
- 9 other than the defendant here is redacted, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Could I ask you to look at the third page of this
- 12 Exhibit 16, please.
- MR. GABRIEL: Tim, would you maybe highlight that
- 14 or bring it out.
- 15 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 16 Q. Mr. Edgar, is this the information that you -- that
- 17 | Charter provided in response to the subpoena?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And so Charter does indicate the IP address that was
- asked about, and the date and time, correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | O. And it shows the name of Jammie Thomas?
- 23 A. Yes.
- O. And her address?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And the telephone number there, correct?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. It also shows an e-mail address here as
- 4 tereastarr@charter.net?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And you keep records of that information?
- 7 A. Yes, we do.
- Q. And then it shows that modem MAC address that you
- 9 referred to before, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And, again, that's a serial number on the modem that you
- 12 leased out?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. So you actually leased, physically leased a modem to
- 15 Ms. Thomas, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 O. And that number is on there?
- 18 A. Um-hmm.
- 19 Q. Yes?
- 20 A. Yes, it is.
- 21 Q. And it acts as a serial number, does it not?
- 22 A. Yes, it is.
- 23 Q. At some point after you provided this information did
- 24 you have communications with Mr. Toder here, with
- Ms. Thomas's counsel?

- 1 A. Yes, we did.
- Q. Can I ask you to look at Exhibit 17, please. Have you
- 3 | found that, sir?
- 4 A. Yes, I have.
- 5 Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 17?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And would you explain what that is.
- 8 A. Yes. This is our response to Mr. Toder for his request
- 9 for account records for Jammie Thomas.
- 10 Q. So Mr. Toder had asked for certain information and you
- 11 provided it, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And was this a letter that Charter sent on or about
- 14 April 3, 2007?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Is it a letter prepared in the ordinary course of
- 17 | Charter's business?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And maintained in the ordinary course of Charter's
- 20 business?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And are all the records kept -- made on or about the
- 23 dates that they bear?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Are they created in the ordinary course of Charter's

- 1 business?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And are they maintained in the ordinary course of
- 4 | Charter's business?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 MR. GABRIEL: Move Exhibit 17.
- 7 MR. TODER: No objection.
- 8 THE COURT: Be admitted.
- 9 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 10 Q. Mr. Edgar, is all the information provided in Exhibit 17
- consistent with the information that you provided to my
- 12 clients, the record companies, in response to the subpoena?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. I'd ask you, sir, to turn to -- you get to kind of a
- horizontal page that I think says, "Page 1 of 11." It has
- 16 five or six, seven columns.
- 17 A. Yes, I have it.
- 18 Q. Have you found that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And do you see a line -- a date and time for
- 21 | February 21, 2005 that starts at 4:00 in the morning and
- goes on to 9:00 in the morning on February 23rd?
- 23 A. Yes.
- MR. GABRIEL: Mr. Reynolds, will you highlight
- 25 | that line, please. Just bring it out. I'm sorry. Well,

- 1 maybe highlight it. That didn't help too much.
- 2 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 3 Q. All right. Mr. Edgar, do you see that there's an IP
- 4 address here in the column "Client IP" of 24.179.199.117?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. And that's the same IP address you were asked about in
- 7 the subpoena that we looked at before, correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And then there's a modem number. Do you see that? It's
- 10 on the left.
- 11 A. Yes, "Modem MAC."
- 12 Q. That's the number that's on the modem, correct, that was
- 13 leased?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And does this indicate that between the times of
- 16 | February 21, 2005 at 4:00 a.m. and February 23, 2005 at
- 17 9:00 a.m. the same person had the same IP address and the
- 18 same modem MAC address?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And does this tend to show what you mentioned before,
- 21 | that the IP addresses will change or get recycled over time?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. But your records do show that in the date range of
- 24 | February 21, '05 at 4:00 in the morning through February 23,
- 25 | '05 at 9:00 in the morning the subscriber here, Jammie

- Thomas, had the IP address 24.179.199.117, correct?
- 2 A. This shows us that an IP address of 24.179.199.117 is
- assigned to a modem MAC address of 0002.8acf.5590.
- 4 Q. And, in fact, that doesn't change because the customer
- 5 has the same modem, correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And you were able to tie that to the defendant, Jammie
- 8 Thomas, correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Mr. Edgar, do you have any doubt in your own mind that
- 11 Charter identified the person that was asked for in the
- 12 subpoena?
- 13 A. I believe that Charter was successful in this
- identification based on IP address and time. We
- 15 successfully identified the modem MAC address and we
- 16 | successfully identified that modem MAC address to a Charter
- 17 subscriber.
- 18 | O. And that Charter subscriber was Jammie Thomas?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 MR. GABRIEL: No further questions.
- 21 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 22 BY MR. TODER:
- 23 Q. Mr. Edgar, the MAC address identifies the modem,
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. The MAC address identifies the modem, yes.

- 1 Q. It doesn't identify the computer that's being used
- 2 behind the modem, correct?

- A. The modem MAC identifies the modem.
- 4 Q. And as a matter of fact, you don't have a MAC address
- 5 that you can see -- you can't see what computer is hooked up
- 6 to your system when you issue an IP address, you can only
- 7 see what modem ID, what modem MAC address is used?
- 8 A. We can see -- after a cable modem, right, that has a
- 9 modem MAC we can see the very next device that is after that
- 10 cable modem and that we consider the CP or client premise
- 11 equipment. And we do have -- we do not keep in the billing
- 12 system a record of that, but in the logs that we just were
- 13 looking at, yes, we can see a client -- a CPE MAC address.
- 14 Q. And when you say "a CPE MAC address," are you talking
- about the computer?
- 16 A. We have no way of knowing. It's the next device located
- 17 | right after the cable modem. It could be a router. It
- 18 | could be a computer. It is a piece of hardware, but we
- 19 don't know what that would be.
- 20 O. You don't know what it would be?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Do you know whether Ms. Thomas had a wireless or a hard
- 23 wired modem?
- A. I do not know.
- 25 Q. Well, if it was a wireless modem, somebody else could

- 1 intercept that signal, could they not, someone who was,
- 2 let's say, right outside her window?
- 3 A. Are you talking about a wireless cable modem?
- 4 Q. Yeah.
- 5 A. I do not know if she had a wireless cable modem.
- 6 Q. But if she did, if she did have a wireless cable
- 7 modem -- you guys lease those out, don't you?
- 8 A. Actually, Charter Communications had leased out wireless
- 9 routers, but those are not the same as a modem.
- 10 Q. Do you know whether Ms. Thomas had a wireless router or
- 11 not?
- 12 A. I do not know.
- 13 Q. But if she did have a wireless router and someone was
- 14 outside her window with a wireless card in their computer,
- they could intercept that signal, could they not?
- 16 MR. GABRIEL: Object, lack of foundation.
- 17 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 18 THE WITNESS: It depends on her security settings
- of the wireless router. It depends if it was secure or not.
- 20 BY MR. TODER:
- 21 Q. Okay. So if it was not secure, someone could, right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. A neighbor could do that too, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- MR. TODER: Nothing further. Thank you.

1 MR. GABRIEL: Nothing further, Your Honor. 2 **EXAMINATION** 3 BY THE COURT: 4 Is that called spoofing? 5 No, that's actually not spoofing. That's just what we would consider stealing somebody's Internet --6 7 What's spoofing, then? Ο. 8 Spoofing would be when you are not -- I'm David Edgar 9 and I've got a cable modem and I've got a wireless router 10 and then somebody actually spoofs the wireless router IP or 11 spoofs the wireless router's MAC address. That would be 12 considered spoofing. They would do that -- they would look 13 like me, but they're actually not me. 14 THE COURT: Anything further? 15 MR. GABRIEL: No, Your Honor. Thank you. 16 MR. TODER: Well, I do, actually. RECROSS EXAMINATION 17 18 BY MR. TODER: 19 Q. You say that if someone spoofed a wireless router they 20 would look like you, but they wouldn't really be you, right? 2.1 They could convince someone else that they were you, 22 correct? 23 With spoofing, yes. Α. 24 MR. TODER: Thank you. Nothing further. 25 MR. GABRIEL: Nothing, Your Honor. Thank you.

```
1
                 THE COURT: You may step down.
 2
                 Call your next witness, please.
 3
                 MR. GABRIEL: The plaintiffs call Dr. Doug
       Jacobson.
 4
 5
           (Witness sworn.)
                 THE COURT: Good afternoon.
 6
 7
                 THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
                 THE COURT: Would you state your true and correct
 8
 9
       name for the record, please.
10
                 THE WITNESS: Doug Jacobson.
11
                 THE COURT: Would you spell it for the record.
12
                 THE WITNESS: D-o-u-q, J-a-c-o-b-s-o-n.
13
                 THE COURT: You may inquire.
14
                 MR. GABRIEL: Thank you, Your Honor.
15
                               (Doug Jacobson)
16
                            DIRECT EXAMINATION
       BY MR. GABRIEL:
17
18
         Good afternoon, sir.
19
       A. Good afternoon.
20
          Would you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury
2.1
       where you work.
2.2
           I'm employed by Iowa State University and I'm also
23
       employed by a company called Palisade Systems.
24
           And what do you do for Iowa State University?
25
           I am a professor of electrical and computer engineering
```

at Iowa State University. I'm also the director of undergraduate programs with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering.

THE COURT: You are going to have to speak up.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. All right. There we go.

BY MR. GABRIEL:

- Q. What are your responsibilities, sir, in those positions you just described?
- A. As a professor in the department, my responsibilities include teaching. I teach courses in computer security, computer networking, information warfare. I also direct the center we have on campus in computer security. We have about 3,500 members that are part of the center, all of which do research in the area of computer security, computer networking.

As the director of undergraduate programs, I'm in charge of the undergraduate curriculum in the department.

I'm chair of the curriculum committee. So I manage the curriculum and manage the development of the curriculum as it moves forward in time.

- Q. You mentioned a few times, sir, the phrase "computer security." What does that mean?
- A. Computer security in a broad sense -- actually the term we like to use is "information assurance." Basically it's keeping information safe, digital information that may be

- 1 stored on a computer, information that is transmitted across
- 2 the network, keeping it safe from people who intend on doing
- 3 harm either to the computer or to the data.
- 4 Q. And then you mentioned a company called Palisade Systems
- 5 that you're involved in, did you not?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. What is Palisade Systems?
- 8 A. Palisade Systems is a company that I founded 11 years
- 9 ago based off of patented technology that I created at Iowa
- 10 State, and the company focuses on computer security. My
- 11 | primary product today is in the area of what they call data
- 12 loss prevention. Basically it's a product that tries to
- keep your Social Security numbers from leaking out on the
- 14 Internet from banks and credit card companies and other
- organizations that tend to use those things.
- 16 Q. Thank you. Dr. Jacobson -- and you are a Ph.D., are you
- 17 not.?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 O. You are Dr. Jacobson?
- 20 A. Yes. I have a Ph.D. in computer engineering.
- 21 Q. You have prepared a CV that has been provided in this
- 22 | case, correct --
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 | 0. -- or resumé?
- Would you look at Exhibit 21, please.

- 1 A. All right.
- 2 Q. Have you found that, sir?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 21?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 | Q. What is it, please?
- 7 A. It is my curriculum vitae last revised January '06.
- 8 Q. And that was provided earlier in this case, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. Since that time have there been some updates to it?
- 11 A. Yeah, there's been a few additions to the vita. I was
- recently promoted to professor, so my title has changed, and
- there's been a few more awards and a few more students have
- 14 | graduated. So just the general things that you find as time
- marches on.
- 16 Q. And with those additions to your CV or your resumé, is
- 17 | the document Exhibit 21 accurate?
- 18 A. Yes.
- MR. GABRIEL: Move Exhibit 21.
- MR. TODER: Objection, hearsay.
- 21 THE COURT: Overruled. Be admitted.
- 22 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 23 Q. Dr. Jacobson, I know your CV is quite long. Could you
- just perhaps start -- just give us the educational
- 25 | highlights. The jurors will get a chance to see it, so keep

- 1 it brief, but would you trace your educational highlights
- 2 for us.
- 3 A. Yes. I received a bachelor's degree in computer
- 4 engineering in 1980, a master's degree in electrical
- 5 engineering in 1982, and a Ph.D. in computer engineering in
- 6 | 1985, all from Iowa State University.
- 7 Q. And did you have a focus of your study?
- 8 A. Yeah. My Ph.D. dissertation was in the area of local
- 9 area networks, which is basically the study of computers
- 10 that are close together, in close proximity, and how they
- 11 intercommunicate.
- 12 Q. And you've indicated, sir, that you teach at Iowa State,
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. Can you highlight again for the jury experience that is
- 16 relevant to your task here today, your academic experience.
- 17 A. Yeah, I teach -- I actually developed and teach a couple
- 18 courses in the area of computer network security.
- 19 Iowa State University was one of the first seven
- 20 universities that was designated as a Center of Excellence
- 21 by the National Security Agency in the area of computer
- 22 | security. And so as a Center of Excellence, we have to meet
- a certain set of standards as far as the courses that we
- offer and the material that we teach.
- 25 And I teach two of the core courses. One is

- 1 network security, which deals with all the aspects of how a
- 2 | network can be used or misused by bad people. And I teach a
- 3 course on information warfare, which is a course that sort
- 4 of teaches the dark side. We actually have students go
- 5 through exercises of attacking computers and learning the
- 6 tools and then learning the defenses to protect against
- 7 that.
- 8 Q. You were talking about networks. What are you talking
- 9 about when you're talking about a network?
- 10 A. Basically our focus is on the Internet and the security
- of the Internet, and so the course on network security
- 12 really could probably better be called a course on Internet
- 13 | network security because it does focus on security of the
- 14 applications and things like the Web or e-mail and security
- of the computers themselves.
- 16 Q. Dr. Jacobson, do you have nonacademic experience
- pertinent to your work here?
- 18 A. Yeah. I have, of course, industrial experience through
- 19 the founding of Palisade Systems. The company employs about
- 20 | 20 people right now. As I said, it works in the area of
- 21 | computer security and selling computer security product.
- 22 And so that's my primary --
- 23 Q. All right. Have you received honors -- some honors and
- awards in connection with your work?
- 25 A. Yes, I have received several honors and awards. Some of

the more recent ones:

The national chapter of InfraGard, which is an FBI organization. It's a public/private partnership focusing on computer security. I was given a national award last year for my work in the area of education and computer security.

I was given the state award Educator of the Year in the state of Iowa in the area of technology teaching.

I've received a couple R&D 100 awards, which are awards given out each year to the top 100 research and development based products. And so I have received two of those over the last several years.

And then I've gotten various awards from the university, teaching awards and the like.

- Q. All right. Were you, in fact, teacher of the year last year?
- A. Yeah, the Technology Association of Iowa's teacher of the year.
  - Q. Have you done research, Dr. Jacobson, that's pertinent to the work that brings you here today?
  - A. Yeah. My area of research is the area of network security. My primary focus is in recreating the Internet for the study of network security. I received close to \$2 million from the Department of Justice to build a recreation of the Internet that we call ISEAGE, and that recreation is used for us to study network attacks and study

- 1 how attackers attack the Internet.
- 2 Q. And I think you've indicated you supervise grad
- 3 students?
- 4 A. Yes, I have supervised -- over my tenure at Iowa State
- 5 I've supervised over 150 graduate students at the master's
- 6 and Ph.D. level.
- 7 Q. Have you written papers or publications pertinent to
- 8 your work here today?
- 9 A. Yes, I have some papers in the area of computer network
- 10 security. I'm also under contract to write a book in the
- 11 area -- on network security. The book is close to
- 12 | completion, not done.
- 13 Q. All right. And I think you mentioned you're an inventor
- 14 yourself, correct?
- 15 A. Yes, I hold two patents. One patent was the patent that
- 16 started Palisade Systems and I have another patent that is
- 17 currently under review by the Patent Office.
- 18 Q. And those relate to Internet security as well or network
- 19 security?
- 20 A. Yes, all three of the patents relate to Internet network
- 21 security.
- 22 Q. Are you a member of any professional societies,
- 23 Dr. Jacobson?
- 24 A. Yes. I'm a member of IEEE, which is the organization
- 25 | that is a professional society for electrical and computer

- 1 engineers. I'm a member of ACM, which is the professional
- 2 society for computer scientists. I'm a member of ASEE,
- 3 which is the professional society for engineering educators.
- 4 I'm a member of the International Association of Computer
- 5 Investigating Specialists, which is an organization that
- 6 certifies computer forensic analysts.
- 7 Q. And do you hold any certifications?
- 8 A. Yes, I hold a certification by that organization as a
- 9 certified computer forensic examiner.
- 10 Q. And what is a certified computer forensic examiner?
- 11 A. Well, the certification process involves, of course,
- 12 | education to learn what to do and then we're given eight
- 13 | cases to work on. In those cases we have to write detailed
- reports and those reports are then graded. And then you
- 15 take a comprehensive exam and you basically have to get --
- 16 | there's 80 questions on the exam and the passing score is
- 17 | 80. And then every three years you have to be recertified
- 18 by the organization.
- 19 Q. Have you done forensics work yourself?
- 20 A. Yes, I have.
- 21 Q. For whom? And I'm sorry. Let me back up. What is
- 22 forensic work?
- 23 A. Okay. Computer forensics is examining the computer,
- 24 | examining other digital information. It's a little bit like
- 25 | CSI where you're looking for information on the computer.

- 1 It's a lot more boring than CSI, which is why you've never
- 2 seen a *CSI* episode focusing around the computer forensics
- 3 person. But it basically is going through and examining and
- 4 analyzing all the digital evidence on the computer and
- 5 drawing conclusions based on that evidence.
- 6 Q. And have you done this kind of work?
- 7 A. Yes, I have.
- 8 O. For whom?
- 9 A. I've done this work for the Iowa State Police
- 10 Department, done this work for various individual
- organizations, school boards, companies in the area, and
- 12 I've done this work for the recording industry.
- 13 Q. Have you given testimony before -- maybe not in a
- 14 | courtroom, but have you given testimony in various places
- 15 relating to these issues?
- 16 A. Yes. I testified in front of the Senate Judiciary
- 17 Subcommittee on peer-to-peer networks back in 2003.
- 18 O. That's the United States Senate?
- 19 A. I'm sorry. The United States Senate.
- 20 MR. GABRIEL: At this point, Your Honor -- strike
- 21 that.
- 22 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 23 Q. Is everything you just described in your resumé just in
- 24 more detail?
- 25 A. Yeah.

- 1 MR. GABRIEL: Your Honor, we would move
  2 Dr. Jacobson's admission as an expert in computer networking
- 3 security and forensics.
- 4 MR. TODER: We would object. May I voir dire the
- 5 witness?
- 6 THE COURT: You may.

## 7 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION

- 8 BY MR. TODER:
- 9 Q. Dr. Jacobson, good afternoon.
- 10 A. Hello.
- 11 Q. You're an engineer?
- 12 A. I have three degrees in engineering, yes.
- 13 Q. But you're not a professional engineer?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. You don't have a PE license?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. How familiar are you with the processes and procedures
- 18 used by MediaSentry?
- 19 A. I understand the processes and procedures from an
- 20 operational standpoint. I do not have knowledge of the
- 21 actual inner workings of the organization.
- 22 Q. Do you have knowledge of the inner workings of the
- 23 MediaSentry processes and procedures that were talked about
- 24 earlier?
- 25 A. I have knowledge of the process that was described

- 1 earlier, yes.
- 2 Q. So when you say you don't have the knowledge of the
- 3 inner workings, are you talking about the inner workings of
- 4 MediaSentry or their procedures and processes?
- 5 A. The inner workings of MediaSentry, I don't know how many
- 6 employees, what those employees do to carry -- I don't know
- 7 how many people are sitting in front of a computer, that
- 8 type of information.
- 9 Q. But are you intimately familiar with the actual software
- 10 that they use in getting the information that they acquired?
- 11 A. I'm familiar with the Kazaa program that they use, yes.
- 12 Q. No, not the Kazaa program. MediaSentry's proprietary
- programs that they use to extricate information.
- 14 A. I'm familiar with -- you know, first of all, as I
- 15 stated, they use Kazaa to initiate all the file transfers.
- 16 The software they would use that would be proprietary in
- 17 | nature would be the software they use to generate the
- 18 reports. The software they use to process the DAT files
- 19 that came down and then the software that they use to log
- 20 the traffic that goes back and forth, I have not seen the
- 21 code or any of those applications.
- 22 Q. You have not seen the code. Do you know whether their
- 23 software has been peer reviewed or published?
- 24 A. I do not.
- 25 Q. Have you ever testified as an expert in a court of law

- as opposed to the Senate testimony you talked about?
- 2 A. No, I have not.
- 3 Q. Have you ever testified as an expert in any other type
- 4 of proceeding?
- 5 A. I was deposed.
- 6 Q. You were deposed on February 23rd in another one of
- 7 these kinds of cases?
- 8 A. I believe that was the date.
- 9 Q. Specifically, your deposition was taken in UMG
- 10 Recordings vs. Mary Marie Lindor, correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And UMG Recordings, that's the same plaintiff in these
- 13 proceedings today?
- 14 A. Yes, UMG, I believe it's the same.
- 15 Q. There was no judge involved in that, that was a
- 16 deposition, correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 | Q. So do you have a certain process that you are -- that
- 19 you use in order to come to conclusions or opinions that you
- 20 might ultimately testify about today?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And does this methodology, does that include the
- 23 | protocol? Is there software involved that you have created
- 24 for this?
- 25 A. No.

- Q. Can you just describe your methodology in broad terms.
  - A. Yeah. There's two parts that I am going to be talking about, so I will break them into two pieces.

One is looking at the data that MediaSentry provides and I analyze the data provided me, looking through that data to -- one is to check that data. You know, as MediaSentry pointed out, they produce redundancy in their data. I look at that redundancy also and basically I apply knowledge that's common among people who are experts in networking to draw conclusions based on the data provided by MediaSentry.

The second piece that will come up in this case is the forensics exam, and the processes and procedures I used in the forensics exam are outlined by the professional organization that I'm certified with.

- Q. But there's no forensic exam that you conducted in this case?
- 18 A. Yes, there is.

- 19 Q. Which one was that?
- A. I did a forensics exam of the hard drive provided by the defendant.
  - Q. Okay. This method that you used analyzing MediaSentry's data, the approach that you take to this, is this pretty much the same approach that someone similarly situated with your educational background would use or is there some

- 1 methodology that you use that is -- I won't say proprietary,
- but something that you've developed?
- 3 A. No. The process that goes into it is something that
- 4 anybody versed in the area -- the only thing that I've
- 5 developed is a worksheet that helps me keep track of the
- 6 information.
- 7 Q. Has anyone other than the recording companies ever hired
- 8 you to give an opinion on whether a particular computer has
- 9 been used for uploading or downloading?
- 10 A. I had a school -- a case with a school where a teacher
- was accused of downloading pornography during school time.
- 12 Q. And the same question but for copyrighted works.
- 13 A. No, not for copyrighted works.
- 14 Q. Just the recording companies, correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. How long have you been using this present -- this method
- that you've been using to determine whether a particular
- 18 computer was used for uploading or downloading copyrighted
- works, how long have you been doing that?
- 20 A. Are you asking how long I've been employed by the
- 21 recording industry?
- 22 Q. Yeah, your relationship with the recording industry.
- 23 A. I believe it was September '05 is when I had my first
- 24 interactions with the law firm and I think I started -- I
- 25 think my first case may have been January of '06.

1	Q. Your method of determining from MediaSentry materials
2	whether a particular computer was used for uploading or
3	downloading of copyrighted works, has that ever been, your
4	method, been tested by any kind of testing body?
5	A. I've not submitted it to any testing body. It's common
6	knowledge how this fits together.
7	Q. Is there any known rate of error for your method of
8	doing this?
9	A. Given the way the Internet operates, in order for
10	MediaSentry to do what they did, there really can't be any
11	error. In order for them to transfer a file, those
12	addresses have to be what they are.
13	Q. There's no potential rate of error?
14	A. Not that I'm aware of.
15	Q. Have you had any communications with MediaSentry?
16	A. No, other than I met the MediaSentry person at these
17	proceedings.
18	Q. Do you know how accurate MediaSentry's not your
19	analysis of using MediaSentry material, but just
20	MediaSentry's protocols, do you know what their rate of
21	error is for what they do, if there's any?
22	A. I have not seen any published error rate.
23	
24	

1 We have no objection to Dr. Jacobson MR. TODER: 2 being an expert for the plaintiffs. 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION (Cont.) BY MR. GABRIEL: 4 5 Dr. Jacobson, would you describe for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what you were asked to do here. I 6 7 think you described there are two pieces. By all means 8 break it up if you need to. 9 Yeah. What I'm asked to do is I'm asked to take the 10 information from MediaSentry and to look at that information 11 and to see if there's evidence of file sharing and to -- and 12 what evidence exists to tie that file sharing back to an individual. 13 14 I'm also asked to provide testimony as to how the 15 Internet operates and testimony as to how the peer-to-peer 16 networks work, depending on the particular peer-to-peer network that is in use in the case. 17 18 Q. And then there was a second part of the work that you 19 did? 20 And then in some cases I'm provided a hard drive, a 21

A. And then in some cases I'm provided a hard drive, a forensics copy of a hard drive, and I'm asked to look at that drive looking for evidence of file sharing software, looking for evidence of any music, any copyrighted material. Also looking for identifiers that may be part of the computer, user names, e-mail addresses, web pages, or

22

23

24

- anything that can tie an individual to the computer.
- 2 MR. GABRIEL: Your Honor, I may have overstepped
- 3 or misstepped. I'm not sure if the Court ruled on my
- 4 request that Dr. Jacobson be admitted as an expert. I
- 5 apologize.
- THE COURT: He's an expert. Go ahead.
- 7 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 8 Q. Dr. Jacobson, you did all the work that you described?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And did you issue a report on the work you did?
- 11 A. Yes, I did.
- 12 Q. And at some point did you supplement the -- you did a
- report and then supplemented that?
- 14 A. Yes, I did.
- 15 Q. When did you do that?
- 16 A. I can't remember the exact date of the first report.
- 17 | The supplement would have come out in the May time frame, I
- 18 think, of --
- 19 Q. Of this year?
- 20 A. Of this year, yeah.
- 21 Q. And was there a reason you supplemented the first
- 22 report?
- 23 A. Yeah. After receiving the hard drive and doing a
- 24 forensics examination of the hard drive and also receiving
- 25 | deposition testimony from various individuals, I

- 1 supplemented my report. The supplement was just an addition
- 2 to the original report. So all the information was taken
- 3 out of the original report and just added to the report.
- 4 Q. So the document that's called supplemental report is
- 5 cumulative and has all of your conclusions?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. Could I ask you to look at Exhibit 22, please.
- 8 A. 22, is that what you said?
- 9 Q. Yes, sir. Do you recognize Exhibit 22, Dr. Jacobson?
- 10 A. Yes, I do.
- 11 Q. What is that, please?
- 12 A. 22 is my supplemental report and Exhibit B with the
- 13 | supplemental report. Exhibit A is my vita, which is not
- 14 part of that.
- 15 Q. And we already saw your vita, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Does the report accurately reflect your findings?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Is everything in the report accurate to the best of your
- 20 knowledge, information, and belief?
- 21 A. Yes.
- MR. GABRIEL: Move Exhibit 22.
- MR. TODER: Objection, hearsay.
- 24 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 25 BY MR. GABRIEL:

Q. Dr. Jacobson, I would like to now turn to your initial analysis in the case, that is, the one you did prior to your forensic inspection. Are you with me?

A. Um-hmm.

2.1

- Q. Okay. How did you go about your task here?
- A. What I do when I receive the information from MediaSentry is I'll look through all of the data, most of which you saw earlier from the MediaSentry testimony, and I look for those things that -- the IP address. I look at the screen -- I first look at the screen shots.

I basically follow the same steps that they did when they created the data. I go through those steps to help tie the pieces together. So I look at the screen shots, see how many files were there. I know the user name. I go through the download logs, which would show me the IP address.

And I look at the system log, which shows me dates and times and gives me the time frame for which the files were being transferred from that system. I use that time frame to then re-verify the information that is there from the ISP. So I go back and I look at the dates and times to make sure that what the ISP has provided also matches the date and times of the capture.

And then I also look at the metadata which was presented. I look through the metadata looking for evidence

of those descriptions that indicate the pirate groups and I note a few of those pages. I don't go through every one of them. Sometimes it may be three, four hundred pages in length and so I look for a few for a pattern. And then I take that information and that information is then used to create that report.

And so I can take -- a little bit depends on what I get back from the ISP. In this case the ISP provided an e-mail address and provided a MAC address that indicates a modem. And so that's additional information that I could use, again, to try to make that correlation between what you saw in the screen shots and an individual using the computer to distribute software.

And so I basically take all those pieces of MediaSentry and connect the dots in order to show that what you saw in the screen shot was what was being distributed by the plaintiff -- or by the defendant in the case.

- Q. And so basically you're interpreting -- the first part of your analysis before the forensic inspection is to interpret the data you have, correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And you just described at length what you went through.

  Is that method of analysis of a type reasonably relied on by
- 24 experts in your field?
- 25 A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. In fact, sir, is there any other way to do it?
- 2 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 3 Q. I would like to, Dr. Jacobson, walk through your
- 4 | findings, if I can, and get more focused on the first piece
- 5 before your forensic inspection.
- 6 A. Yes.

- 7 Q. Can you explain, first of all, sir, how Internet
- 8 addressing works.
- 9 A. Okay. You've heard several of these terms throughout
- 10 the afternoon. The Internet address -- everything is based
- on an IP address and people use all sorts of different
- 12 | metaphors to describe that. I like the Post Office metaphor
- myself. Every source, every destination at a snapshot in
- 14 time has to have a unique set of addresses. Otherwise you
- couldn't get the information to where you want it to go to.
- 16 These addresses, these IP addresses look like a
- 17 | bunch of rather strange numbers and have -- in some sense
- may look like they have no rhyme or reason to them. They're
- 19 actually allocated in groups.
- 20 There's an authority that allocates Internet
- 21 | addresses to organizations. Those organizations may be
- 22 corporations. They may be Internet service providers like
- 23 Charter Communications, Verizon, AOL and so on. Educational
- 24 institutions can get blocks of Internet addresses.
- 25 And so these groups are assigned those blocks and

- 1 | then they hand those individual addresses out to the actual
- 2 end user. That way you can -- by this hierarchy you can
- 3 | guarantee that there's uniqueness. It's not just Wild, Wild
- 4 West. There is an order to how these things are handed out
- 5 so we can guarantee that they are unique.
- Q. Can two devices function if they're connected to the
- 7 Internet at the same time at the same IP address?
- 8 A. No, because you wouldn't know how to get the traffic to
- 9 them and you wouldn't -- if there were two like that and
- 10 they sent it, they were sending out traffic, the traffic has
- a "to" and "from" address, so when the traffic was
- 12 | returned -- we talked a little bit about handshaking. When
- you send the response back, where would it go to? If there
- are two that are the same, it wouldn't work.
- 15 Q. And you indicated, I think a moment ago, that an IP
- 16 | address is unique. Would it be more accurate to say it's
- 17 unique at a given time, correct?
- 18 A. Right. At a certain moment in time, yes.
- 19 Q. Dr. Jacobson, have you also drawn some conclusions about
- 20 so-called peer-to-peer networks?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Have you created a demonstrative aid that would help you
- explain to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury how a P2P
- 24 network works?
- 25 A. Yes, I have.

- 1 Q. Will that assist you in doing so?
- 2 A. Yes.
- MR. GABRIEL: Your Honor, this is one of the
- 4 demonstratives we provided. May we put it up?
- 5 THE COURT: You may.
- 6 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 7 Q. Dr. Jacobson, do you recognize this demonstrative
- 8 exhibit?
- 9 A. Yes, I do.
- 10 Q. Did you create it?
- 11 A. Yes, I did.
- 12 Q. With the demonstrative in hand, would you please explain
- for us how a peer-to-peer network works.
- 14 A. As shown in this picture, we have a large number of
- 15 | computers and --
- 16 Q. If I can interrupt, Dr. Jacobson. Would it help if you
- 17 had a laser pointer?
- 18 A. It might, although I'm kind of shooting through -- we'll
- 19 try without it and you may follow along or something.
- 20 Q. I will. Thank you.
- 21 A. And so, as you see, there's a large number of computers
- 22 up there. And the way the peer-to-peer network works is
- 23 | there's nobody really in charge. Now, there are some people
- 24 that are in charge of keeping track of information. These
- 25 | supernodes are individuals that have taken on

responsibility. They volunteer. Anybody can be a supernode. And they keep the lists.

One of the complex things of a peer-to-peer network is the goal behind it is to be able to share information. How do you find it? How do you search? Supernodes let you do that. So there's a catalog, sort of like your library. If you didn't have a catalog index in your library, you would never find a book.

So these supernodes keep these catalog indexes and the goal behind the peer-to-peer network is to allow you to share whatever information you have with everybody else that belongs to this peer-to-peer network.

- Q. Dr. Jacobson, let me ask you about the supernodes. Are they just also other users?
- A. Yeah, they're just other users.
- 16 Q. And they happen to have indexes as well?
- 17 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. But they're using like all the other people who are not supernodes, right?
- 20 A. Yes. They're being helpful.
- 21 Q. Okay. Please continue. I'm sorry.
- A. No, that was -- and so, again, this picture shows

  actually a very small peer-to-peer network. As we saw

  earlier, these networks can have millions of users across

  the world interconnected on an ad hoc basis. You join these

- 1 networks by getting the software and you join the network.
- Q. Based on your experience and training in this field,
- 3 sir, what are peer-to-peer networks used for?
- 4 A. Their primary use is file distribution primarily in the
- 5 area of copyrighted materials.
- Q. Now, Dr. Jacobson, you're aware, are you not, that the
- 7 | file sharing service that's at issue in this case is called
- 8 Kazaa, correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. You're familiar with Kazaa?
- 11 A. Yes, I am.
- 12 Q. What is Kazaa?
- 13 A. Kazaa is one of several programs that support
- 14 | peer-to-peer. We call these things typically by the program
- name and so Kazaa is a program that uses -- communicates
- 16 with something they call FastTrack, which is just a language
- 17 | that these peer-to-peers use to talk with each other. So
- 18 Kazaa is a program that implements basically a picture just
- 19 like that.
- 20 Q. Sir, have you created a demonstrative exhibit that will
- 21 help you explain to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury how
- 22 Kazaa works?
- 23 A. Yes, I have.
- 24 Q. And will that assist you in explaining how Kazaa works
- 25 to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury?

1 A. Yes, it will.

2 MR. GABRIEL: Your Honor, may we put up that

demonstrative? It was provided to the other side.

4 THE COURT: Yes, you may.

5 BY MR. GABRIEL:

- 6 Q. Dr. Jacobson, do you recognize the demonstrative exhibit
- 7 that's now on the screen?
- 8 A. Yes, I do.
- 9 Q. Did you create this?
- 10 A. Yes, I did.
- 11 Q. Using this demonstrative aid, Dr. Jacobson, would you
- 12 explain to the jury how Kazaa works.
- 13 A. Yes. As we see in this picture, this is -- imagine this
- is just a real small part of that picture you saw before.
- 15 So we are showing a supernode and we're showing two other
- 16 individuals on this network.
- And so when an individual joins a peer-to-peer
- 18 | network, they start up their software and they join, they
- 19 provide a user name. And as part of joining, their computer
- 20 provides the supernode with a list of everything that's in
- 21 the share folder.
- 22 Again, back to that thought of using a library
- index, well, how do you populate the index of the library?
- 24 People who join the network provide their list of what files
- 25 that they have.

Q. Where is that shown on your --

2.1

A. That is shown in arc number 1. So if that was Bob in Denver, Bob would connect to a supernode and the shared files -- the files in the share folder listing those files, basically metadata, would be transferred to the supernode, which could be in California or anywhere.

Then when user 3 called Alice, when Alice wants to gain access to material, Alice can enter a search string.

Just like you do in Google or go to the library, you can search for something and that search string is presented to the supernode. The supernode will return --

- Q. I'm sorry, Dr. Jacobson. Where is that shown here?

  A. That's shown in number 2, search supernode index. And
- so Alice could, for example, search for Elvis. You can
- search very specifically for a particular song or just type

in Elvis and you would get all of the Elvis songs.

And then in arc number 3 we show the supernode returning back to Alice the results of the searches and these search results basically contain information about the song and where to get the song. Supernodes do not store the files that are being shared. So Bob's files are still on Bob's computer. And so that's shown in arc 3, getting results back.

Q. What do they look like -- if we were on Kazaa, when that result comes back, what does that look like to Alice?

- 1 A. It looks basically like the screen shot that we saw
- 2 earlier except if I typed in Elvis, all the songs would have
- 3 Elvis in them, all the artists would have Elvis in them.
- 4 And so I would get this list. I get to click on which ones
- 5 I wanted and then I can say I want those files. And that is
- 6 shown in arc number 4. And so Alice's Kazaa program would
- 7 actually go to Bob's computer, ask Bob's computer for
- 8 Elvis -- an Elvis song.
- 9 Q. Dr. Jacobson, does that happen in the form of those data
- 10 packets that Mr. Weaver described?
- 11 A. Yes, those data packets that he showed with the requests
- 12 | where it said "get." And it actually goes after the song
- based on a number of identifiers as opposed to the actual
- 14 name of the song, but it is that song.
- 15 Q. And are the IP addresses related in that get and
- 16 request?
- 17 A. Yeah, because, again, if you look at that picture, if
- 18 you think about what Alice knows, when Alice made that
- 19 search, Alice gets back here's all the Elvis songs. Here's
- 20 the one I want, but the supernode who Alice has been talking
- 21 to doesn't have the song. So Alice needs to know who has
- 22 the song. So as part of the information that comes back
- from the supernode is Bob's IP address so Alice can ask
- Bob's computer for the Elvis song.
- 25 Q. And that's depicted on --

- 1 A. That's number 4.
- 2 Q. All right. Then what happens?
- 3 A. And then Bob's computer takes the song out of the share
- folder and makes a copy of it. The song stays in Bob's
- 5 computer. The digital copy of that song is transmitted over
- 6 the Internet to Alice. That song is then placed in Alice's
- 7 share folder. And that is shown in arc number 5.
- 8 And then now that Alice has a new song, Alice's
- 9 computer tells the supernode that it has one more song in
- 10 its share folder and so the supernode is updated. And that
- 11 way if somebody else, if Carol came along and was looking
- 12 | for the Elvis song, Carol could now get the Elvis song from
- Bob or Alice. And so that's how these things sort of
- 14 geometrically grow as they spread.
- 15 Q. And that last step was 6?
- 16 A. The last step is 6, that's correct.
- Q. So at the end of the day, in your example if Bob was 1
- 18 and Alice was 3, they both have a copy now of that same
- 19 song?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. And then they both can -- someone else can take that
- 22 | song from either one of them now?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 Q. Dr. Jacobson, with respect to -- you are familiar with
- 25 how Kazaa gets downloaded and installed, are you not?

A. Yes.

- 2 Q. Does Kazaa get downloaded and installed by accident?
- 3 A. No.
- Q. Could you describe the process that it takes to download
- 5 and install Kazaa on someone's computer.
- 6 A. Yeah. You retrieve Kazaa from a website and it is
- 7 downloaded as an executable on your computer. You then need
- 8 to open that up and that will launch an install process. I
- 9 don't know if you have ever installed software on your
- 10 computer, but it ends up with all these messages about I am
- installing this and it may have a license agreement that you
- 12 | actually have to click "accept," and that's the one you read
- every time. And you go through that process and that will
- 14 install the software.
- Then you need to tell Kazaa sort of about
- 16 yourself. That's where -- the first time you start up Kazaa
- 17 | it asks you what do you want as a user name, it asks you
- 18 | what preferences do you have. It will ask you a bunch of
- 19 setup information as you're installing the Kazaa program.
- 20 Q. And there are a number of screens that you have to go
- 21 through?
- 22 A. Yes, there's a number of screens that you have to
- 23 navigate through.
- 24 | Q. And I neglected to ask you this as you described how
- 25 Kazaa works. You referred to Bob and Alice. Do Bob and

- 1 Alice have to know each other?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. And we talked about file sharing. Is file sharing in
- 4 the sense of two kids, two friends sharing toys?
- 5 A. No, because there's only one -- the toy doesn't get
- 6 replicated. So here we're talking about sharing as in I'm
- 7 going to make a copy of what you have and walk away with my
- 8 | copy of what you have and now we have two.
- 9 Q. And those persons talking to each other may not even
- 10 know each other?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. In fact, that's typically the case, right?
- 13 A. That's typically the case, yes.
- 14 Q. You described at some length the process on your
- demonstrative for how a file gets requested and distributed,
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. You're aware -- and you've been sitting here, I know,
- 19 for some of the day today -- that there were 1,702 sound
- 20 recordings in the share folder, music files in the share
- 21 | folder at issue, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. Do you have a view, Dr. Jacobson, as to how long it
- 24 | would take a user to go through that process that you
- described and download 1,702 sound recordings?

- 1 A. Boy, if you count the search time, imagine going in
- 2 and -- even if you searched by an artist, you would get the
- 3 hits, you would have to pick the ones you wanted, and then
- 4 you could start the download process. And it's going to
- 5 take, you know, minutes per song to download plus the search
- 6 | time plus sorting through what you want. It's going to take
- 7 days to do 1,700. That would be, you know, 24/7 days. So
- 8 it would take many, many hours.
- 9 Q. Dr. Jacobson, can a user listen to music that is stored
- on another computer in the Kazaa network?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Why not?
- 13 A. Again, Kazaa was designed to share files and so the idea
- behind Kazaa is that I make a copy of somebody's file and
- 15 put it on my computer. The idea of streaming, they call it
- 16 streaming audio, which is what radio stations and so on do,
- 17 | that's a pretty complex process to get it to sound right.
- 18 And so that's just not something Kazaa was designed to do.
- 19 You can use Kazaa to listen to things you already
- 20 downloaded, but those are listening to things that you
- 21 already have on your system.
- 22 Q. To listen to something that someone else has, you need
- 23 to go through the process that you described and make a copy
- 24 of it, correct?
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 Q. You indicated, Dr. Jacobson, you have reviewed the
- 2 various investigative data provided by SafeNet or
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. Dr. Jacobson, do you have any opinions, based on your
- 6 education, training, and experience, as to whether the
- 7 | computer with IP address 24.179.199.117 on February 21, 2005
- 8 at about 11:00 p.m. was used to copy or distribute any of
- 9 the plaintiffs' copyrighted sound recordings?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 MR. TODER: Object to the form of the question.
- 12 May we approach?
- 13 THE COURT: No. Overruled.
- 14 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 15 Q. What opinions do you hold, sir?
- 16 A. It is my opinion that the computer with that IP address
- during that time was distributing over 1,700 music files
- 18 through the Kazaa peer-to-peer network.
- 19 Q. And was it also used to download files, to the best of
- 20 your knowledge?
- 21 A. To the best of my knowledge, that computer was used to
- 22 download files from the Kazaa peer-to-peer network.
- Q. I would like to break that up and take them one at a
- 24 | time. Would you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury,
- 25 Dr. Jacobson, the basis for your opinion that this

1 particular computer was used to distribute music on the 2 Internet using Kazaa. 3 Again, there's several indicators and several of which 4 you have seen as exhibits earlier. 5 The screen shot shows the files available, made available, being distributed. Going from the screen shot, 6 7 the data that was collected from the screen shot, that data 8 comes up with the IP address of that computer. 9 That IP address then -- the fact that MediaSentry 10 was able to download all of those metadata files goes to 11 show you that computer was involved in the Kazaa network and 12 offering files for distribution. The fact that MediaSentry downloaded 11 complete 1.3 14 songs means that there was proof that there were songs on 15 that computer and those songs were able to be completely 16 downloaded. Q. All right. Does the -- we've heard earlier today about 17 18 a MAC address. Does that factor into your opinion as to 19 distribution? 20 The MAC address is another piece of information 21 that really helps tie the IP address to the user, to the 22 computer. 23 As was pointed out earlier, the MAC address is a 24 unique identifier actually within the Charter network.

Charter has to maintain unique MAC addresses in their

- 1 | networks, otherwise the network would also fail. So Charter
- 2 uses that MAC address as not only a way to make their
- 3 | network continue to function correctly, but, as was pointed
- 4 out, as a way to collect revenue.
- 5 So having that MAC address, being able to tie the
- 6 IP to the MAC adds, again, further evidence that that ties
- 7 the IP -- songs, to IP, to MAC, to computer.
- 8 Q. And you saw that Charter data, did you not?
- 9 A. Yes, I did.
- 10 Q. Does the user name play into your opinion?
- 11 A. Yes. The user name, we get the user name from
- 12 MediaSentry and then the user name in this case matched the
- user name of the e-mail address given by Charter. And so
- 14 that helps, again, make that tie-in between what we saw on
- 15 the screen shot to files, to the computer, and now one step
- 16 further to the person.
- 17 Q. And the computer -- and that user name was what?
- 18 A. tereastarr.
- 19 Q. Dr. Jacobson, you've seen -- you've been on Kazaa a fair
- amount yourself in terms of your research and study?
- 21 A. Um-hmm.
- 22 | O. Yes?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Sir, have you seen, based on the work you have done,
- 25 | people who go on Kazaa and use their own e-mail addresses as

1 | their user name on Kazaa?

- A. Yeah. Of the cases I've looked at where I had the e-mail address -- I don't always know the e-mail address -- I would say about 50 percent of the time the e-mail address matches the Kazaa user name. There may be a couple numbers added on the end, but the text part of the e-mail address matches about 50 percent of the time.
- O. So that would not be uncommon?
- 9 A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. Dr. Jacobson, when you're looking at the data that you looked at from either MediaSentry or SafeNet, are you able to tell whether a wireless router was being used at the date and time of the capture?
  - A. I can tell by looking at the download log information that the computer in question was on the public Internet.

    When you use a wireless router, the addresses on the inside, on the house side of the router are what they call private IP addresses. Those are IP addresses that cannot exist on the public Internet. There's no way -- the Internet would go crazy and so these private IP addresses are on the inside of a wireless router.
  - Q. Let me stop you for a minute.
- 23 A. Yes. I'm sorry.
- Q. This is getting a little technical. If you can explain what -- in terms of numbers, what might that look like? You

said there's a public IP address and a private IP address.

- 2 A. The private IP addresses are divvied out to people.
- 3 | There are three ranges that have been allocated to private
- 4 | IP space. Most wireless access points or wireless routers
- 5 have the internal address of 192.168 is how it starts and
- 6 then the rest is up to the person at home.
- 7 Q. So would a person like that have -- would there be a
- 8 public ID address that says one thing and then a private IP
- 9 address as well?

- 10 A. Yeah. If you look at the download data example that
- 11 | was -- I can't remember what exhibit that was -- that was
- 12 | put forth by MediaSentry, if you recall, the person from
- 13 | MediaSentry commented on the public IP, the source, and then
- 14 | the other IP said MediaSentry IP. But if you noticed in the
- packets that came back from the computer that had the files,
- 16 in the payload, in the metadata was the IP address that that
- 17 computer said it had.
- 18 So think of it as when we mail a letter, right, we
- 19 put the "to" and "from" address on the outside of the
- 20 letter. Think of this as also putting the "from" address on
- 21 | the inside of the letter also. So when you open it up, the
- 22 "from" address is also there. That's the "from" address of
- 23 the actual computer.
- 24 And so if it's behind a wireless router, you would
- see typically 192.168 dot something as the address inside

- 1 the envelope. The address on the outside of the envelope
- 2 | needs to be a public address and so the router puts a public
- 3 address on that envelope. That way you could actually have
- 4 | multiple computers in your house, yet there's one mailing
- 5 address.
- So, again, you open up the envelope. You would
- 7 look inside. Oh, this piece of mail is for you, this piece
- 8 of mail is for you, this is for you. That's what the
- 9 wireless router does as it opens it up.
- 10 Q. So if a wireless router was in use, what would you see?
- 11 | Would you see a private IP address?
- 12 A. Yeah, you would see a private IP address in the payload
- of that download.
- 14 Q. You don't have to look for this.
- MR. GABRIEL: Can you put up Exhibit 10,
- 16 Mr. Reynolds.
- 17 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 18 Q. You were talking about payload. Is this the document
- 19 that you were referring to?
- 20 A. Yes, it is.
- 21 Q. And this shows data packets going back and forth?
- 22 A. Right. So we would be interested in the received
- 23 packet, which is the second block down. So you see, as was
- 24 | already previously testified to, we have the source -- let's
- see. There we go. We have the source address up above.

- 1 That is the identifier. That first source address is the
- 2 | identifier of the actual packet on the Internet. That is
- 3 the public address. That's what's used to route e-mail.
- If you see down where it says, "X-Kazaa-IP,"
- 5 that's the IP address that the Kazaa software is saying
- 6 belongs to the computer that is running Kazaa. So the Kazaa
- 7 software is reporting back the IP address of the computer
- 8 that is running the Kazaa application.
- 9 Q. And, in fact, this also shows the supernode's IP
- 10 address?
- 11 A. It shows the supernode's IP address also.
- 12 Q. Based on all of the data that you saw, Dr. Jacobson, do
- 13 you have an opinion as to whether a wireless router was
- 14 being used in this case?
- 15 A. There was no wireless router used in this case.
- 16 Q. And that's based on all the reasons you described?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. There is no private IP address, is there?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. So -- and you were sitting in the courtroom for much of
- 21 the afternoon?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. So there was no one outside the window who was, you
- 24 know, accessing a computer in Ms. Thomas's house through a
- 25 | wireless router; is that right?

- A. Based on this information, that's correct.
- 2 Q. I would like to turn now -- so based on all of what you
- 3 | have described, is it your -- it's your opinion, is it not,
- 4 that the computer with that IP address, the 24.179,
- 5 et cetera, on February 21, 2005 in the evening was
- 6 distributing files on Kazaa, correct?
- 7 A. That's correct.

- 8 Q. You indicated that it is also your opinion that the same
- 9 computer was downloading files, music files, on Kazaa at
- 10 that IP address at that date and time, correct?
- 11 A. Yes, that that computer downloaded files from Kazaa.
- 12 Q. Would you please explain the basis for your conclusion
- that that computer downloaded files using Kazaa.
- 14 A. This goes back to the metadata that was earlier
- 15 testified to by MediaSentry, about the nature of the
- 16 metadata and the fact that many of the songs had in the
- metadata the bragging rights of the various groups that
- 18 placed that music in the network.
- 19 Also due to the fact that the naming conventions
- 20 were inconsistent among the files. If somebody were to rip
- 21 | their own CD's with a particular piece of software, that
- 22 | would have a default naming convention and it's very
- 23 unlikely that an individual changed the default naming
- 24 | convention several times through the process of ripping
- 25 their own music.

- 1 Q. In other words, someone who is ripping their own music
- 2 | would likely use the same convention?
- 3 A. It makes it easier to find your own music, yes.
- 4 Q. Dr. Jacobson, are you familiar with file formats?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And did you note in the share folder that you saw from
- February 21, 2005 with that IP address, 24.179, et cetera,
- 8 what format were the music files in?
- 9 A. All but, I think, two were in the MP3 format.
- 10 Q. And that's different from the WMA format, correct?
- 11 A. That's one of several file formats for audio.
- 12 Q. Now, with respect to metadata, a minute ago you just
- described the kind of indicators of pirate groups on there,
- the ripped by, the name, et cetera, correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. If a file does not have that metadata, does not have
- 17 | that kind of description, does that mean that it was not
- 18 | ripped improperly by someone?
- 19 A. No. Just because they're not bragging about it doesn't
- 20 mean that they didn't -- that somebody didn't rip the song
- 21 and put it up there.
- 22 Q. So if someone is bragging about it in the way you
- described, that would be an indicator of a pirate group, but
- 24 if there's nothing on there, that really doesn't say one way
- 25 or the other?

- 1 A. Right.
- Q. Have you told us, Dr. Jacobson, all of the reasons, the
- 3 bases for your opinion that this computer was used to
- 4 download sound recordings on the date and time in question?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Now, you indicated, sir, that there was a second piece
- of the work that you did in this case, correct?
- 8 A. That is correct.
- 9 Q. And that was a forensic inspection?
- 10 A. Yes, that was a forensic inspection.
- 11 Q. Could you describe for the ladies and gentlemen of the
- 12 jury what that involved.
- 13 A. Yeah. I received --
- 14 THE COURT: Excuse me. Before we move on, let's
- take a stretch break, stand and stretch.
- 16 (Pause.)
- 17 THE COURT: Let's continue.
- MR. GABRIEL: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 19 BY MR. GABRIEL:
- 20 Q. Dr. Jacobson, would you describe what was involved in
- 21 the forensic inspection that you did in this case.
- 22 A. Yes. I received a hard drive, which was what they call
- a forensics copy of the original drive.
- Q. Can you explain what that is.
- 25 A. Yes. The copy was created by -- there's various groups,

organizations, companies that actually do this for a living, that make forensic copies.

A forensics copy is basically taking all of the information on the hard drive, making an exact copy of everything, including the unused space in a hard drive, and that is then placed in a file format that is compatible with forensics examiner software. And so I received a disk with the hard drive in this file format.

One of the advantages of -- the reason you do this is that you don't want to touch or play with the original hard drive, so you make -- you need to make a copy of it.

And this forensics software makes a copy in such a way that during the process of making the copy, it creates an identifier which can be used later on to tell if anybody tampered with the evidence. So this identifier is used to ensure that nobody manipulates the data. You can always go back and re-verify that, yes, what I have is an exact copy of the original hard drive. And so that's what I received.

Q. Are there protocols based on -- now we're talking about your experience as a certified forensic examiner. Are there protocols that you have to follow?

A. Yeah, there's protocols that the person who actually

A. Yeah, there's protocols that the person who actually collects the evidence has to follow. Again, these are reputable organizations that do this. Those protocols are there to ensure that you don't write on the hard drive and

don't destroy any of the evidence.

Then when I receive the hard drive, since it's in this format I can open up the contents of the hard drive using this software and the software basically opens up the hard drive in a read only manner. So it lets me read every last aspect of the hard drive and I can't change anything.

And I can search through the hard drive. I can search for key words. I can search for strings of data. I can get a listing of absolutely every file on the hard drive in a giant -- usually on a modern computer there's over 100,000 files on a hard drive if you count all the applications and Windows and so on. So you can get a list of all the files, sort through it.

And so this software is a tool. This is the most common -- the software is called EnCase by Guidance Software and it is probably the most widely used tool in law enforcement for forensics examination.

- Q. And you used that tool?
- 19 A. I used that tool, yes.
  - Q. Is the method -- have you described the method that you used to inspect the hard drive here?
    - A. In very high level terms. There's a more detailed process or steps that I go through, but that's sort of the high level.
      - Q. And are the steps -- are there protocols that dictate

your taking certain steps?

2.1

2.2

A. Yeah. Again, the hard drive is a read only, so I can't manipulate any of the data on the hard drive.

Generally what you do is you take a -- like you do any time you're investigating something new, you take a cursory look and see what's out there. And then based on what you're trying to discover, then you start to dig down and follow where the path takes you.

When you're actually examining the evidence, there's no preset set of steps you can take because it's a puzzle that you're trying to solve and so you see this and then you go there and you see this and you see this. And so you're following the evidence on the computer.

So it's difficult to say there's an actual step by -- I look at this file, I look at this file, I look at this file, because the files take you in various places as you're examining.

- Q. Is the method that you've described, Dr. Jacobson, a method reasonably relied on by other experts in your field?
- A. Yes. It's the method outlined by the forensics examiners association that I belong to.
- Q. Is there any other way to do it properly?
- 23 A. No, not that I'm aware of.
  - Q. Could you describe generally, sir, what you found in the course of your forensic inspection.

- A. On the hard drive I found a large number of audio files,
- 2 almost all in the Media -- Windows Media Player format.
- 3 They were all nicely tucked away in a directory and a series
- 4 of subdirectories. I found several instances of the user
- 5 name tereastarr, both as associated with e-mail addresses
- 6 and as the user name associated with the computer. I found
- 7 no evidence of the Kazaa peer-to-peer network software or
- 8 any other peer-to-peer network software.
- 9 I found that the computer appeared to be fairly up
- 10 to date as far as Windows, running the latest service packet
- 11 of Windows. It appeared to have had at least some amount of
- 12 virus checking and spyware checking software on it, so it
- appeared to be reasonably secure.
- 14 Q. Are you working with a forensic copy of the hard drive?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. You did not have Ms. Thomas's original hard drive?
- 17 A. That is correct.
- 18 Q. So there wouldn't be any markings or dates on what you
- saw that would matter because it's a copy?
- 20 A. That's correct. The hard drive I get is one that the
- 21 | company bought probably just prior to providing me the data.
- 22 Q. The company that was making the image, the copy, gets a
- 23 | hard drive and copies it on --
- 24 A. One of the steps in the process they take is that the
- 25 hard drive that receives the forensics copy has to be

- 1 cleaned and so you have to forensically -- what's called
- 2 | forensically wipe the drive so it's clean. It's sort of
- 3 | like if you're dealing with DNA. You wouldn't want to go in
- 4 with a DNA sample and put it in the same beaker as the last
- 5 DNA sample without thoroughly cleaning that. So oftentimes
- 6 these are brand-new drives that I get the data on.
- 7 Q. Prior to the time you were reviewing the drive, had you
- 8 heard testimony as to whether this drive -- when this drive
- 9 was last replaced or did that come later?
- 10 A. I believe that came later.
- 11 Q. Can I ask you to look at Exhibit 24, please. Have you
- 12 found that document?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Could you describe what is in Exhibit 24, please.
- 15 A. Yeah. Exhibit 24 shows the contents of three different
- 16 | files that were found on the computer. These files were
- 17 | left over from using the Internet, what they call the
- 18 Internet cache.
- 19 Whenever you go somewhere on the Internet, the
- 20 pictures that you see and often a lot of the text that you
- 21 | see is actually stored on your computer and it stays there
- for a while. The reason it does is so the next time you go
- 23 to that web page, it will come up quicker because the
- 24 | pictures are already there and some of the pages are there.
- 25 | So it's done to speed up the Internet.

1 So these are three of the cache -- so-called cache 2 files. 3 And these were on the hard drive that you inspected? That's correct. 4 Α. 5 And that you received from the defendant, correct? Α. That's correct. 6 7 MR. GABRIEL: Move Exhibit 24, Your Honor. 8 MR. TODER: Your Honor, we object. The last 9 couple pages are personal e-mails between my client and her 10 boyfriend. If they want to show the first two pages, that 11 should certainly --12 THE COURT: Any relevance to those last two pages? 13 MR. GABRIEL: Well, yes, Your Honor, there's 14 relevance, but we can work with Mr. Toder and redact out the 15 private e-mails. I don't have a problem with that. They 16 show, for example, the e-mail address, that would be the relevance, but we can white out the text for sure. 17 18 MR. TODER: That's fine with me. 19 THE COURT: All right. Be admitted, 24 will be 20 admitted with the whiting out of the contents of the text 21 except for the e-mail address. 22 MR. GABRIEL: Mr. Reynolds, would you put up 23 Exhibit 24, please. BY MR. GABRIEL: 24

The copy is not great, Dr. Jacobson, but can you explain

- 1 what this shows that's relevant to your opinion in this
- 2 case.
- 3 A. The relevant piece is the --
- 4 Q. I'm sorry. First, could you tell us what this one is,
- 5 the first page.
- 6 A. This is a page from a site called Club Pogo. I didn't
- 7 go to the site, so I'm not exactly sure what that is. The
- 8 relevant information is you notice at the top it says,
- 9 "Welcome, tereastarr." And it says if it's not you, you
- 10 should sign in as who you really are. And then her name
- also -- the name also appears on the left, down just a
- 12 | little bit farther.
- 13 Q. Where is that, please?
- 14 A. Right there (indicating), yep.
- 15 Q. Thank you. All right. Would you look at the second
- page of Exhibit 24, please.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 | O. And what is this?
- 19 A. This again is going to a site and again indicates -- the
- 20 user name is right above the picture and just slightly above
- 21 | that you will also see it there.
- 22 Q. This was on the hard drive you reviewed?
- 23 A. This was also on the hard drive, yes.
- 24 Q. I won't ask you to put up the third page because of the
- 25 | things we're going to redact, but can you describe what the

1 third page is.

account.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

A. The third page is an e-mail message and it's an e-mail message from tereastarr@charter to tereastarr@yahoo. And so it's an e-mail from a Charter account to a Yahoo

And one of the other interesting -- or one of the other pieces of this is that the "from" also expands the name Jammie Thomas, which is common for e-mail services to do. You know, often e-mail names don't make a lot of sense and so when you see the e-mail message in the inbox, you'll see the person's full name. That's not their e-mail address. The e-mail systems do that to help you with your e-mail.

- Q. And the jurors can't see this, but it says, "Jammie Thomas," and then there's a little caret, a little mark, tereastarr@charter.net, and then a closed mark?
- A. Right. The two little marks, the greater than and less than symbols, are used to bracket the actual e-mail address itself and then in quotes is where the user's name shows up.
- Q. Dr. Jacobson, I think you indicated you also found a lot of music files in the hard drive that you reviewed, did you
- 22 | not?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 Q. Could you look at Exhibit 26, please. Do you see that,
- 25 Dr. Jacobson?

1 THE COURT: Excuse me. I think we should stop. Ι 2 think they turned off the ventilation. 3 MR. GABRIEL: It's pretty warm in here. That would be fine. 4 5 THE COURT: I think they turned off the ventilation on us, so let's stop here. We'll pick up 6 7 tomorrow at 9:00, 9:00. Have a good evening. All rise for 8 the jury. 9 (Jury excused.) 10 IN OPEN COURT 11 (JURY NOT PRESENT) 12 THE COURT: Counsel, anything that we need to go 13 over before tomorrow morning? 14 MR. GABRIEL: Not from the plaintiffs' side, Your 15 Honor. 16 THE COURT: Where are you in your case? 17 MR. GABRIEL: Going faster than I thought we were 18 going to, Your Honor. We will call tomorrow the 19 representatives of the three other record companies. We 20 will call Ms. Thomas. I believe we'll call her 21 ex-boyfriend, Mr. Havemeier, if he shows up. That's the guy 22 who sent the e-mail. We would call the defendant's expert, 23 Mr. Stanley, and then Cary Sherman. 24 I anticipate the witnesses will be fairly short. 25 I never promise because I don't want to be a liar, but I

1 think there's some chance we could get done tomorrow with 2 our evidence, probably more likely Thursday morning, but the 3 case is going actually quicker than I thought it would go. I'm almost finished with my direct of Dr. Jacobson. 4 5 THE COURT: And defense, if the plaintiff calls 6 your client, will you do an examination at that time or will 7 you wait until after plaintiff rests? You don't have to 8 make a decision at this time, but if you have an idea, let 9 me know. 10 MR. TODER: I won't call her back in the case in 11 chief unless for some unusual reason I have to, as long as I 12 have leeway as to what I can --13 THE COURT: All right. Your examination, how long 14 do you think your examination of your witness will go? One or two hours? Three hours? 15 16 MR. TODER: My witness? You mean Ms. Thomas? THE COURT: Yes. 17 18 MR. TODER: It all depends on what happens in 19 their cross examination, Your Honor. It could go -- there's 20 no way of knowing. 21 THE COURT: All right. How much time do you need 22 for your final arguments? 23 MR. GABRIEL: I would say, Your Honor, half an 24 hour, but I'd ask for 45 minutes just in case, but I think I 25 can get it done in half an hour.

1 MR. TODER: I can certainly get mine done in half 2 an hour. 3 THE COURT: All right. Well, let's -- we'll see where we're at tomorrow, but we'll shoot for half an hour. 4 5 The jury has heard everything and they don't need you to 6 repeat it. 7 So we need to meet for jury instructions. 8 Hopefully tomorrow morning I will have a set of jury 9 instructions that we can work off of. And then depending on 10 how things are going, maybe we can have a working lunch 11 without the court reporter, because she needs a break, and 12 go over the instructions. And then one final time make --13 after the corrections are made, we'll have the objections 14 for you to put on the record and then we'll be able to argue 15 either tomorrow or Thursday. How does that sound? 16 MR. GABRIEL: That sounds fine, Your Honor. 17 MR. TODER: Sounds good to us. 18 MR. GABRIEL: Your Honor, I did think of one --19 really just a question. I do understand the local rules 20 here generally have in closing the defendant goes first. Is 21 that the Court's practice as well? I was surprised to see 22 that. 23 THE COURT: Counsel. 24 MR. TODER: What? 25 MR. GABRIEL: In terms of order of closing, do you

1	have I think the local rules say the defendant goes
2	first.
3	MR. TODER: That's what we were expecting.
4	MR. GABRIEL: It makes no difference to me, Your
5	Honor.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Welcome to Minnesota.
7	MR. GABRIEL: Thank you.
8	THE COURT: Anything else?
9	MR. GABRIEL: Not from the plaintiffs, Your Honor.
10	Thank you.
11	THE COURT: Have a good evening. Enjoy our
12	beautiful city by Lake Superior.
13	MR. GABRIEL: Your Honor, I did have one question.
14	Can we leave things here?
15	THE COURT: Yes. I am going to leave my things
16	here. Hopefully they'll be here tomorrow.
17	(Court adjourned at 5:30 p.m.)
18	* * *
19	
20	I, Lori A. Simpson, certify that the foregoing is a
21	correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
22	above-entitled matter.
23	
24	Certified by: <u>s/ Lori A. Simpson</u>
25	Lori A. Simpson, RMR-CRR